Evaluation Guide for Poster Presentation

Criteria	Excellent (A/A+)	Good	Satisfactory	Fair	Poor	Score
		(B/B+)	(C/C+)	(D/D+)	(Fail)	
Visual	Overall visually	Overall visually	Visual presentation	Not very visually	Unappealing	
Presentation /	appealing, well	appealing; well	adequate; colors,	appealing;	visual	
style	organized; colors,	organized; colors,	font size and	cluttered; colors,	representation;	
	font size and	font size and	patterns detract	font size and	messy	
	pattern enhance	patterns support	from readability;	patterns hinder	organization;	/25
	readability from a	readability, but	readability of the	readability or	colors, font size	
	distance $(2-3)$	might not allow for	poster is somewhat	distracting	and patterns	
	meters)	easy reading from a	inconsistent or	*** 1 1	hinder	
		distance	distracting	Visuals and	readability or is	
	Visuals and	X 7' 1 1 1 1 '	T7' 1 1	graphics do not	completely	
	graphics are	Visuals and graphics	Visuals and	enhance the text	inadequate	
	engaging and	enhance the text	graphics support	content	Visuals and	
	enhance the text	content but could be	the text content	Content		
	content	more engaging	Content		graphics do disturb reader	
		Contant is among ad	arrangement is	arrangement is somewhat	and hinder	
	Content is clearly	Content is arranged so that the viewer	somewhat	confusing and does	understanding of	
	organised and	can understand the	confusing and does	not adequately	the text content	
	arranged so that the viewer can	poster without	not assist the	assist the viewer to	the text content	
	understand the	narration	viewer to	understand the	Content	
	poster without	narration	understand the	poster without	arrangement is	
	narration		poster without	narration	confusing and	
	Harration		narration	narration	hinders the	
			11411411011		viewer to	
					understand the	
					poster without	
					narration	

Critical Appraisal	Thoroughly but concisely present and critically assess the main points of introduction, hypothesis, methods, results and conclusion in a well-organised manner Significance/contributions of study are clearly articulated	Adequately present and critically asses the main points of introduction, hypothesis, methods, results and conclusion in a fairly well-organised manner Significance/contributions of study are articulated	Present the main points of introduction, hypothesis, methods, results and conclusion but not assessed critically, with sufficient detail or presentation is not as well-organised Significance/contributions of study are partially articulated	Does not sufficiently present the main points of introduction, hypothesis, methods, results and conclusion and is not well- organised Significance/contri butions of study are not sufficiently articulated	Does not present or critically assess the main points of introduction, hypothesis, methods, results and conclusion and is not well-organised Significance/con tributions of study are not articulated at all	/25
Oral presentation skills	Presenter's response to questions demonstrate excellent knowledge of subject matter Responses to questions are engaging, thorough, and add greatly to the poster presentation	Presenter's response to questions demonstrate good knowledge of subject matter Responses to questions are adequate, and add to the poster presentation	Presenter's response to questions demonstrate some knowledge of subject matter Responses to questions are satisfactory, but does not complement the poster presentation	Presenter's response to questions demonstrate limited knowledge of subject matter Responses to questions are somewhat lacking.	Presenter's response to questions demonstrate lack of knowledge Responses to questions are lacking.	/25
Overall Poster presentation	Overall, this is an excellent poster presentation	Overall, this is a really good poster presentation	Overall, this is a good poster presentation	Overall, this is a fair poster presentation	Overall, this is a poor poster presentation	/25