

Task: Book Review

	Inadequate	Barely Adequate	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding
Score-grade equivalence	F	D, D+	C, C+	B, B+	A, A+
Overall Impression	The review reflects that the reviewer almost has not read the book. The review ruins reader's understanding of the book and its related field.	The review reflects the reviewer's superficial and careless reading of the book. The review mostly distorts reader's understanding of the book and its related field.	The review reflects that the reviewer has read the book, but not careful enough. The review sometimes distort reader's understanding of the book and its related field.	The review reflects the reviewer's careful reading of the book. The review generally helps readers to better understand the book and its contribution to the related field.	The review reflects the reviewer's critical and thorough reading of the book. The review helps readers to better understand the book and its contribution to the related field.
Summary	The review fails to provide any kind of summary of the book. It only contains fragmented details quoted directly from the book.	The review provides a superficial summary of the book, or a summary of details only, without identifying its arguments, concepts, themes, theories or characteristics. It mostly relies on direct quotes rather than its own words.	The review attempts to provide a summary of the major arguments, concepts, themes, theories and characteristics of the book, but sometimes it misses important parts or misreads the text. It also sometimes relies on direct quotes rather than its own words.	The review provides a general summary of the major arguments, concepts, themes, theories and characteristics of the book, mostly in its own words.	The review provides a brief yet thorough summary of the major arguments, concepts, themes, theories, and characteristics of the book in its own words.
Evaluation/Analysis	The review does not make any evaluation of the book. Or it only relies on personal ideas rather than reasoned judgements.	The review fails to make a reasonable evaluation of the book. It mostly summarizes rather than analyzes the book, or it mostly relies on personal ideas rather than reasoned judgement.	The review attempts to make a evaluation of the book, but it sometimes fails to provide analysis of the major arguments, the use of evidence or the organization of the book. It also fails to provide sufficient evidence to support its evaluation.	The review makes a evaluation of the major arguments, the use of evidence to support these arguments, and the organization of the book. But sometimes its evaluation is based on personal ideas rather than reasoned judgements, or it fails to provide sufficient evidence to support its evaluation.	The review makes a reasonable evaluation of the major arguments, the use of evidence to support these arguments, and the organization of the book. It provided convinced evidence to illustrate the strengths and weaknesses of the reviewed book.
Organization	The review does not have any logical structure.	The review contains an introduction, main body and conclusion. The introduction shows very limited attempt to state the main argument and identify the essential background of the book, e.g. full of factual errors, inconsistent with the book's main point. The conclusion does little more than restate the problematic introduction or is inconsistent with the introduction.	The essay contains an introduction, main body and conclusion. The introduction attempts to state the main argument and identify the essential background of the book, but sometimes not clear or too lengthy or with factual errors. The conclusion does little more than restate the problematic introduction.	The essay contains an introduction, main body and conclusion. The introduction attempts to state the main argument and identify the essential background of the book, but occasionally not clear or too lengthy. The conclusion summarizes the review, but occasionally not clear or too lengthy.	The essay contains an introduction, main body and conclusion. The introduction succinctly states the reviewer's main argument and identifies the essential background of the book. The conclusion provides a succinct summation of the review.
Style	The review has not been spell-checked or proofread. It is full of grammatical errors and bad writing. Transition between paragraphs has serious logical fallacies. Numerous words are misused.	The review has been spell-checked and proofread, but still contains many errors. Transition between paragraphs is unclear. Many sentences are grammatically incorrect and unclear. Many words are misused or unnecessarily fancy.	The review has been spell-checked and proofread, but still contains several errors. Transition between paragraphs is sometimes unclear. A few sentences are grammatically incorrect and unclear. Several words are misused or unnecessarily fancy.	The review has been spell-checked and proofread, but contains a few minor errors. Transition between paragraphs is mostly logical. All sentences are grammatically correct and clear. Word is misused or unnecessarily fancy only occasionally.	The review has been spell-checked and proofread. Transition between paragraphs is logical and clear. All sentences are well written and grammatically correct. No words are misused or unnecessarily fancy.
Citation	No attempt is made to cite evidence.	Lots of evidence is unreferenced or inaccurately referenced. The format does not conform to the style required by the department.	Some evidence is unreferenced or inaccurately referenced. Most citation conforms to the style required by the department, yet with a few formatting problems.	All evidence is cited in footnotes or endnotes conforming to the style required by the department, with minor formatting problems	All evidence is properly cited in footnotes or endnotes, conforming to the style required by the department.