

Progress Report as Completion Report

Project Supported by LTC/OBA Funding*

(Period covered: 01/07/2011 - 31/06/2012)

Part I: General	Information			
Funding Source (J	olease tick ✓ as appropriate): ☐ LTC	OBA Fundi	ng	
Project Code:	2010-11/OBA/EDC1	Host De	epartment: EDC	
Project Title:	A Pilot Project on the Develop Feedback Questionnaire (SFQ)		ntation of an Online Outcom	es-based Student
	Project leader (Name & D	Pept):	Team member(s) (Na	me & Dept):
Project Team:	Dr. K.P. KWAN (EDC)		Dr E. Angela CHAN (SN) Prof Winnie CHENG (ENGL Prof Bob McKERCHER (SHT Dr Vincent NG (COMP) Mr Green LUK (EDC) Ms Jenny YUNG (EDC) Ms Winnie WONG (ITS)	
Project Status (ple	ase tick ✓ as appropriate): ☐ In pro	gress Withda	rawn Terminated	
Financial Informa	Approved funding: HK\$1,448,800 Balance:	Additional funding + HK\$0	g received (if any): Tota $= HK$1,44$	I funding received: 8,800
Account Alert Re	HK\$1,127.30 (as of July 20 ceived (if any, please tick ✓ as appropriate Actual start date (dd/mm/	e): Financially in	nactive for 5 months or above	
Project Schedule:			30/09/20	
Project Period Ex) obtained since the proje	cet started: Obtained during time(s) For	g the reporting period: month(s)
(a) Specific work actions taken: 1. Developer current SF	undertaken/progress made toward pain response to the issues identified in a prototype of the eSFQ system in EQ exercise as well as the recomme by LTC. When fully developed, the	project objectives (in the previous Program accordance with the ndations for the enh	ress Report): ne policies, requirements and	I work flow of the se recently
Depa	ning differential rights to different of rtments, Departmental SFQ Admini exercise, and to access the relevant	strators, academic s	staff and students) to manage	
of sul	matically pulling relevant and updat ojects offered by the department in nts enrolled in each of them to fac	the semester, the t	eacher(s) assigned to teach	
c. Admi	nistrative tools for the university ar	nd departments to:		
i. A	ssign different user rights to different	ent categories of use	ers;	
	hoose when to administer the SFQ nd/or the teaching of the staff mer	t and the second se	ct, class, teacher or compon	ent (the subject
	dd new subjects, classes, teachers he SFQ;	and/or students not	t contained in the AS record	for administering

- F.
- iv. Verify subject, teacher and student information from the AS system before actual administration;
- d. Creating online SFQ forms that are customized according to the subject nature (i.e., DSR and different types of GUR) and the Faculty/School to which the staff member is affiliated;
- e. Allowing subject leaders and teachers to include up to 5 optional questions in the relevant sections of the SFQ to collect student feedback on aspects of particular interest to the subject or teacher for improvement purposes;
- f. Automatically sending invitation emails to invite students to take part in the SFQ exercise on the start date specified by the department, and sending multiple follow-up emails at designated time intervals to remind the non-respondents to complete the SFQ before the deadline;
- g. Interfacing with the Bb LMS (LEARN@PlyU) to invite/remind students to complete the eSFQ through a dedicated Bb module developed for this purpose;
- h. Capturing and storing students' responses (including open-ended comments) electronically;
- i. Analyzing the numerical data and generating electronic copies of SFQ reports (with open-ended comments listed at the end of the report);
- j. Archiving the SFQ data and reports for later retrieval and use;
- k. Generating summaries of SFQ results broken down by staff, subject, department or Faculty/School for a chosen timeframe
- 2. Planned, conducted and evaluated a small-scale pilot of the eSFQ system with 17 classes from 2 GUR subjects, namely, "Freshman Seminar for Broad Discipline in Health Science" offered by FHSS and "Tomorrow's Leaders" offered by APSS. A report on the first pilot was prepared and submitted to LTC for information and discussion (LTC/49/A8).
- 3. Enhanced and further developed the prototype eSFQ system based on feedback from staff and departmental SFQ administrators, according to identified user requirements.
- 4. Planned, conducted and evaluated a large-scale pilot of the enhanced prototype eSFQ system involving all GURs offered in Semester 2. Developed and implemented protocols and strategies to improve students' responses the online survey, resulting in a highly satisfactory overall response rate of 64.13%. A report on the pilot implementation of the eSFQ for GUR was submitted to LTC for information and discussion in its meeting in June 2013 (LTC/50/A4).
- 5. Conducted 6 individual/focus group interviews with students to collect their view on the eSFQ system and processes, and to understand what determined students' willingness to partake in the online SFQ surveys.
- 6. Planned a continuation of the pilot implementation of the eSFQ for GUR in Summer Term 2012/13 and Semester 1, 2013/13, and a small-scale pilot of the eSFQ for DSR subjects in Semester 1, 2013/14.
- 7. Developed, at the request of the Senior Management, a proposal for a new institutional TDG project to sustain and extend the work of the current project.

(b) Difficulties encountered, if any, which have affected progress, and remedial actions taken:

- 1. A major challenge is the potential drop in the students' response rates when the SFQ goes online. To deal with this issue, a search of best practices (both locally and internationally) was conducted to inform implementation processes and strategies to promote students' participation.
- 2. There has also been some resistance and concerns expressed by staff on the move from a paper-based to an online SFQ system. These issues have been brought to the attention to LTC so that appropriate institutional policies, guidelines and strategies could be developed to effectively address them.
- 3. It appears that the University has not, up to this point, made up its mind regarding the move towards an online SFQ system. As a result, the pace in developing and implementing the eSFQ system has been slowed down; the actual progress will depend on the University' final decision and commitment on whether or not to convert the whole SFQ system from a paper-based to online one.

(c) Deliverables/useful findings/good practices emerged, and/or dissemination actions taken/planned to sustain impact:

Not applicable at this stage

			out to achieve the stated project objectives:		
feedback, in order to	suppor	rt an ins	GFQ system according to the identified workflow, user requirements and titution-wide implementation of the eSFQ system for DSR subjects that will needs of the different Faculties/Schools/Departments/courses;		
 Plan and conduct studies on the reliability and validity of the results from online SFQ vis-à-vis paper-based SFQ; Plan and conduct further pilots (and full implementation) of the system with GUR and DSR subjects according to 					
the University's plan					
Name of Project Leader:			Dr. K. P. KWAN Date: 8 July 2013		
Ivalife of Froject Leader.			(in block letters)		
(a) Rating and comments/reco	mmeno	dations	by HoD/Dean of School^) on the financial management and project progress		
(please put a v in 1 of the	Rat		tings and provide comments)		
Areas	Satisfactory	Needing attention	Comments and Recommendations		
Financial management/ use of funding	/				
Project progress	V				
(b) Issues requiring the attenti	on of F	LTC/D	ean of School and/or the funding authority:		
Name of D/SLTC Chair					

(in block letters)

^ To be prepared by HoD/Dean of School if the PL is also the D/SLTC Chair, or if the Centre/Unit/Office does not have a DLTC.

	C/Dean of School#	
(a) Overall rating on the project (ple	ease put a ✓ in 1 of the following 2 ratings):	
☐ Satisfactory		
☐ Needing attention		
(b) Overall comments and recommen	ndations on the project:	
(c) Issues requiring the attention of t	he funding authority:	
Name of FLTC Chair/ Dean of School:	Date: Date:	
# The Dean of School or HoD of the Co	entre/Unit/Office needs not fill this part if he/she has	already commented in Part III.
Part V: Response & Follow-u	n Dlan by Duciact I and an	
Response and follow-up plan is requi	ired from the Project Leader if there is any area	rated as 'needing attention' in Part III
	D	rated as 'needing attention' in Part III
and/or IV.)		
and/or IV.)	D	
Name of Project Leader:	(in block letters)	Signature of FLTC/

@ To be signed by HoD if the PL is also the DLTC Chair, or if the Centre/Unit/Office does not have a DLTC; leave this blank if the PL is also the SLTC Chair.

The Project Leader and D/SLTC Secretary should each keep a copy of this *Progress Report* for records. A copy of this *Progress Report* will be submitted along with the *F/SLTC Annual Report (Form 20)* to LTC/WGOBE as a supporting document.

As endorsed by the funding authority, this Progress Report also served as a Completion Report of project 2010-11/OBA/EDC1.

Justification:

- The account of the project has been closed in 2012 and the remaining balance has been returned to UGC already.
- The main objectives of the project have been reached.
- To cater the new development and University's plan, and at the request of the Senior Management, a new TDG institutional project (LTG12-15/Institutional/EDC) has been developed as an extension and a continuation of this project under the same project leader, Dr K.P. Kwan.