Subject Description Form

| Subject Code | ME552 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Integrated Engineering Design | | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Level | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Students should have a good foundation in mechanical sciences. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objectives | To provide the students with practical experiences in the consecutive stages in design, analysis and development of a new product; to introduce various important considerations in product design and development, and their integration with critical engineering analysis in producing a new product; to introduce project management techniques in producing a new product. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcomes | a. possess state-of-the-art knowledge and skills in the area of engineering design and product development process; | | | | | | | | | | |
| | b. be able to apply their knowledge and contribute to professional competence, including ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs within realistic constraints such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability and sustainability; | | | | | | | | | | |
| | c. work as an effect team member and have the readiness in assuming a leadership role in a design project; | | | | | | | | | | |
| | d. think holistically, critically, strategically and creatively in dealing with complex problems and situations pertinent to a design project. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | e. have a good mastery of critical and creative thinking skills and generate practical and innovative solutions to novel problems; and | | | | | | | | | | |
| | f. have an ability to recognize the need and engage in life-long learning. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | <i>Conceptual Product Design:</i> Customer needs and market situation; technica business concerns; environmental issues; cultural and social issues; aesthetic semantic issues; establish product function; visualization skills and CAD. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>Engineering Analysis of Design:</i> Benchmarking and establishing engineering specifications of the product; design concept selection; product embodiment: design refining and system modeling; analytical and numerical model solutions; design for manufacture and assembly; CAE and optimization. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Product Development Techniques: Goals of prototyping; types and us prototypes; rapid prototyping techniques; physical models and experimentation. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The teaching and learning methods include lectures/tutorial sessions, homework assignments, test, case study report and examination. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. The continuous assessment and examination are aimed at providing students with integrated knowledge required for integrated engineering design. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Technical/practical examples and problems are raised and discussed in class/tutorial sessions. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Teaching/Learning Methodology | Intended subject learning outcomes | | | | | | | | | |
| | | a | b | c | d | e | f | | | | |
| | 1. Lecture | | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | | | | |
| | 2. Tutorial | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | 3. Homework assignment | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | |
| | 4. Case study report and presentation | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | |

| Assessment Methods | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | o be | | |
| Outcomes | | | a | b | с | d | e | f | | |
| | 1. Homework assignment | 20% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| | 2. Test | 20% | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Case study report and | 20% | V | V | V | V | V | \checkmark | | |
| | presentation | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. Examination | 40% | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall Assessment: | | | | | | | | | |
| | $0.40 \times End$ of Subject Examination + $0.60 \times Continuous$ Assessment | | | | | | | | | |
| | The continuous assessment consists of three components: homework assign test, and case study report & presentation. They are aimed at evaluating the p of students study, assisting them in self-monitoring of fulfilling the respective learning outcomes, and enhancing the integration of the knowledge learnt | | | | | | | | | |
| | The examination is used to assess the knowledge acquired by the studen understanding and analyzing the problems critically and independently; as well determine the degree of achieving the subject learning outcomes. | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort | Class contact: | | | | | | | | | |
| Expected | Lecture | | | | 24 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Tutorial/Case study/Laboratory | | | | 15 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Self Study | | | | 45 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Case study report preparation and presentation | | | | 21 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | 105 Hrs. | | | | | |
| Reading List and References | ing List and rences1. Pahl G. and Beitz W., Engineering Design, Springer-Verlag, latest edit 2. Ulrich K. and Eppinger S., Product Design and Development, Mc latest edition. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Otto K. and Wood K., Product Design: <i>Techniques in Reverse Engineering and New Product Development</i> , Prentice Hall, latest edition. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Clausing D., <i>Quality Function Deployment</i>, MIT Press, latest edition. Crawford C. M. and Di Benedetto C.A., <i>New Product Management</i>, McGraw- Hill, latest edition. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. Cooper R. G., Winning at <i>New Products: Accelerating the Process from Idea to Launch</i> , Perseus Books, latest edition. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Buchanan R. et al., <i>The Idea of Design</i>, MIT Press, latest edition. Adams J. L., <i>Conceptual Blockbusting: a Guide to Better Ideas</i>, Addison-Wesley, latest edition. | | | | | | | | | |