

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (HONOURS) SCHEME IN LOGISTICS AND ENTERPRISE ENGINEERING JUPAS Code: JS3571 / Scheme Code : 45499

Leading to the awards of BSc (Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management Programme Code: 45499-LEM BSc (Hons) in Enterprise Engineering with Management Programme Code: 45499-EEM

DEFINITIVE PROGRAMME DOCUMENT

(For 2018/19 cohort)

September 2018

CONTENTS

| SEC | CTION | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 1. | GENERAL INFORMATION | 1 |
| 2. | OVERALL PROGRAMME AIMS AND INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES | |
| | University Mission | 2-1 |
| | Rationale and Programme Aims | 2-1 |
| | Relationship between University Mission and the Programme Aims | 2-3 |
| | Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of the Programme | 2-3 |
| | • Relationship between Aims and Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of the Programme | 2-5 |
| | Institutional Learning Outcomes | 2-5 |
| | • Relationship between Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of the Programme and Institutional Learning Outcomes | 2-6 |
| | • Comparison Table between the Stated Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of the LEM Programme and the HKIE required Outcomes | 2-7 |
| | • Curriculum Map that we Teach (T), give Students Practice (P) and Measure (M) the Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) of the Programme | 2-8 |
| | Feedback Process | 2-11 |
| 3. | ADMISSION TO THE PROGRAMME | |
| | Frequency of Admission and Registration | 3-1 |
| | Minimum Entrance Requirements | 3-1 |
| | Candidates Applying with an Associate Degree or Higher Diploma | 3-1 |
| | Candidates Applying Under Exceptional Circumstances | 3-1 |
| | Candidates admitted through Broad Discipline | 3-1 |
| | Programme Declaration (Not Applicable for Senior Year Students) | 3-2 |
| | Minor Study (Not Applicable for Senior Year Students) | 3-2 |
| | Double Majors | 3-3 |
| | Selection Procedure | 3-4 |
| 4. | CURRICULUM STRUCTURE | |
| | • General University Requirements (GUR) | 4-1 |
| | Compulsory and Elective Subjects | 4-1 |
| | Industrial Centre Based Training | 4-1 |
| | The Individual Project | 4-2 |

CONTENTS (Continued)

| SEC | TION | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 4 | CURRICULUM STRUCTURE (Continued) | |
| | • Work Integrated Education (WIE) | 4-3 |
| | Progression Pattern of the Curriculum for Normal Students | 4-4 |
| | • Progression Pattern of the Curriculum for Senior Year Students | 4-8 |
| 5. | EXAMINATION AND ASSESSMENT | |
| | General Assessment Regulations (GAR) | 5-1 |
| | Assessment Methods | 5-1 |
| | • Grading | 5-1 |
| | • Different Types of GPA | 5-2 |
| | • Assessment of the Work Integrated Education (WIE) | 5-3 |
| | Progression/Academic Probation/Deregistration | 5-3 |
| | University Graduation Requirements | 5-4 |
| | Students taking the Major/Minor Option | 5-7 |
| | • Students taking the Double Majors Option | 5-8 |
| | Guidelines for Award Classification | 5-8 |
| | Students taking the Major/Minor Studies | 5-8 |
| | Students taking the Double Majors Option | 5-9 |
| | Classification of Awards | 5-9 |
| | Validity of Credits | 5-10 |
| | Retaking of Subjects | 5-10 |
| | Absence from an Assessment Component | 5-11 |
| | Assessment to be Completed | 5-11 |
| | Aegrotat Award | 5-11 |
| | Other Particular Circumstances | 5-12 |
| | Recording of Disciplinary Actions in Students' Records | 5-12 |
| 6. | PROGRAMME OPERATION AND CONTROL | |
| | • Frequency of subjects to be offered | 6-1 |
| | • Daytime, Evening and Summer Teaching | 6-1 |
| | • Subject Registration and Withdrawal | 6-1 |
| | Study Load | 6-1 |
| | Subject Exemption | 6-2 |

CONTENTS (Continued)

| SE(| CTION | Page |
|-----|--|-------------|
| 6. | PROGRAMME OPERATION AND CONTROL (Continued) | |
| | Credit Transfer | 6-2 |
| | Deferment of Study | 6-4 |
| | Registration Period | 6-4 |
| | Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee | 6-4 |
| | Programme Leader | 6-5 |
| | Programme Executive Group | 6-5 |
| | Theme Group Leaders | 6-5 |
| | Academic Advisor | 6-5 |
| | Student/Staff Consultative Group | 6-6 |
| 7. | PROGRAMME EVALUATION AND DEVELOPMENT | 7 |
| 8. | SUBJECT SYLLABUSES AND PROJECTS | |
| | Syllabus Index | 8-1 |
| | • Subjects Offered by Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering | 8-3 |
| | Subjects Offered by School of Accounting and Finance | 8-77 |
| | Subject Offered by Department of Applied Mathematics | 8-84 |
| | Subject Offered by Department of Applied Social Sciences | 8-87 |
| | Subjects Offered by Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies | 8-97 |
| | Subjects Offered by English Language Centre | 8-105 |
| | Subjects Offered by Faculty of Engineering | 8-129 |
| | Subjects Offered by Department of Logistics and Maritime Studies | 8-144 |
| | • Subjects Offered by Department of Management and Marketing | 8-158 |
| 9. | INDUSTRIAL CENTRE TRAINING MODULES | |
| | • Index | 9-1 |
| | • Modules | 9-2 |
| | GENERAL UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR NORMAL | Appendix I |
| | STUDENTS | |
| | GENERAL UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR SENIOR | Appendix II |
| | YEAR STUDENTS | |

SECTION 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

| BSc (Hons) Scheme in Logistic | cs and Enterprise Engineering | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| BSc (Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management (LEM) | BSc (Hons) in Enterprise Engineering with Management (EEM) | | | | |
| Full- | time | | | | |
| 4 Years (normal) 8 Years (maximum) | | | | | |
| Normally 121 credits* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | e | | | | |
| The programme is delivered in English version | | | | | |
| Department of Industrial and | Systems Engineering (ISE) | | | | |
| AF, AMA, CBS, ELC, FENG, IC, LMS, MM | AF, AMA, CBS, ELC, FENG, IC, MM | | | | |
| The programme has been granted provisional accreditation by the Hong Kong Institution of Engineers (HKIE). Graduates will also be granted full exemption (Logistics Stream) from the Professional Qualifying Examination (PQE) leading to the Chartered Membership of the Chartered Institute of Logistics and | The programme is dual accredited by the Chartered Management Institute. Students will automatically receive a second professional qualification upon successful completion of the programme. | | | | |
| | BSc (Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management (LEM) Full-1 4 Years (8 Years (n Normally 121 credits* *exact number of credits depends on t The programme is deliv Department of Industrial and AF, AMA, CBS, ELC, FENG, IC, LMS, MM The programme has been granted provisional accreditation by the Hong Kong Institution of Engineers (HKIE). Graduates will also be granted full exemption (Logistics Stream) from the Professional Qualifying Examination (PQE) leading to the Chartered Membership of the | | | | |

This Definitive Programme Document is subject to review and changes which the programme offering Faculty/Department can decide to make from time to time. Students will be informed of the changes as and when appropriate.

SECTION 2 - OVERALL PROGRAMME AIMS AND INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES

2.1 UNIVERSITY MISSION

The design of this programme begins with the Mission Statement of the University stated below.

- 1. To pursue impactful research that benefits the world.
- 2. To nurture critical thinkers, effective communicators, innovative problem solvers and socially responsible global citizens.
- 3. To foster a University community in which all members can excel in their aspirations with a strong sense of belonging and pride.

2.2 RATIONALE AND PROGRAMME AIMS

Rationale and Aims of LEM award

Logistics Engineering with Management concerns the design, development, testing, implementation, control, operation, and maintenance of various systems involving the supply and distribution of goods and services and their associated information flow, with particular emphasis on the development of new systems and the reengineering of existing systems. This enables better understanding of the complex problems of logistics engineering and business operations, and draws on specialised knowledge and skills in engineering, management, and social sciences to specify, predict, and evaluate the results to be obtained from such systems.

The overall aim of this programme is to produce professionals who are competent in the design, implementation, control, execution and management of logistics systems, and related technologies used in industry. In this programme, students will study the underpinning knowledge and theory in Logistics Engineering and Management. As a consequence, the graduates of the programme will be the logistics engineers and professionals.

On completion of the programme students should

- 1. be versed in the activities that persons employed in the logistics engineering and management disciplines that they may be called upon to fulfill in the execution of their duties, recognising the necessity of life-long learning;
- 2. be capable of formulating problems, recognising areas in logistics organisation where improvements are necessary, devising and implementing strategies aimed at producing solutions by the application of procedures (the application of principles, techniques and methods) recognising their limitations so that they can design and manage logistics systems in the logistics industry;
- 3. have been exposed to a range of academic activities of such style and content as will enable them to develop effective communication skills (oral, written, graphical and numerical) so that they are able to work both independently and in groups;
- 4. have an awareness of the responsibilities and ethics of logistics engineering professionals and a realisation of the constraints imposed on the organisation by economic and environmental factors, recognising the global implications of the logistics industry;

5. have satisfied the examination requirements of the Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport (CILT) if particular subjects are taken, leading to the Chartered Membership of the Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport (CILT).

Rationale and Aims of EEM award

Enterprises are organisations, industrial and commercial, that shape the economy of the modern world. Many enterprises have struggled in their attempts to identify, capture and manage modern business opportunities. In order to be successful, they must be efficient in their operations and be able to adapt to changes in the environment, in the market and in customer expectations.

Enterprise Engineering is that body of knowledge, principles and practices concerned with the analysis, design, implementation, and operation of the enterprise using scientific methods and tools. Management can be defined as the art of getting things done through people. It is the process of leading and directing an organisation, often a business, through the deployment and use of resources.

Global competition and advancement in information technology has provided opportunities as well as challenges for enterprises. To ensure competitive edge, enterprises are concerned with rationalizing and streamlining their organisational design and operational workflow to add value to their products and services. To be successful, the mastering and integration of the latest knowledge and techniques in enterprise engineering, business management and information technology are needed. They are instrumental for the effective design, change and management of modern enterprises.

This programme aims to provide students with an integrated education at the honours degree level to enable them to understand, integrate and apply in a professional way the principles and practices of enterprise engineering and business management for effective planning and efficient operation of modern business enterprises in contemporary industrial and business environment.

On completion of this programme, students are expected to:

- 1. have the knowledge and understanding of the principles and practices of enterprise engineering and business management to serve the duties they may be called upon to manage effectively and efficiently modern enterprises in the contemporary industrial and business environment.
- 2. be capable of critical thinking in formulating problems and in developing and implementing strategies and courses of actions aimed at producing the most appropriate solution for a situation.
- 3. be able to communicate and work effectively as individuals and as members of teams.
- 4. have an awareness of the professional ethics, responsibilities and other societal factors in practicing enterprise engineering and management.
- 5. have been exposed to a range of activities that will enable them to sustain professional and personal growth through life-long learning.

| | | UNIVERSITY MISSIONS | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | 1 | | X | X | | |
| | 2 | X | X | | | |
| LEM PROGRAMME AIMS | 3 | X | | X | | |
| AINS | 4 | | X | X | | |
| | 5 | | X | | | |

2.3 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROGRAMME AIMS

| | | UNIVI | UNIVERSITY MISSIONS | | | |
|---------------|---|-------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | 1 | X | X | X | | |
| | 2 | X | X | | | |
| EEM PROGRAMME | 3 | | X | X | | |
| AIMS | 4 | | | X | | |
| | 5 | | X | X | | |

2.4 INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs) OF THE PROGRAMME

ILOs of LEM award

These are aligned with the programme aims specified in above.

- 1. To be versed in the activities of various logistics disciplines and in particular, engineering and management, so that graduates are able to appreciate and interact with other professionals during execution of their duties recognising the necessity of lifelong learning. *Category A*
- 2. To be able to formulating problems, recognising areas in an organisation where improvements are necessary devising and implementing strategies to produce solutions. *Category A*
- 3. To be able to apply knowledge, procedures (principles, techniques and methods), and, where appropriate, mathematics and science, to logistics problems, and to have sufficient understanding of their limitations so that they can select the most appropriate for a particular situation. *Category A*
- 4. To be able to design and manage systems of business, logistics and technology, and so to prepare them for the logistics industry. *Category A and Category B*
- 5. To be able to effectively communicate (oral, written, graphical and numerate), so as to enable them to function on multidisciplinary teams and as individuals where cooperation from others is necessary. *Category B*
- 6. To be awareness of the responsibilities and ethics of logistics engineers and professionals in the modern world and possess a realisation of the constraints imposed on the enterprises by economic and environmental factors. *Category B*
- 7. To be able to understand the social, managerial and economic aspects of logistics businesses, between Hong Kong and Mainland China. *Category A and Category B*

UNIVERSITY

MISSIONS

AND

THE

8. To possess the ability to engage in lifelong learning for continuous career development and personal growth. *Category A and Category B*

ILOs of EEM award

On completion of the programme, students will:

- 1. be versed in the activities of typical modern enterprises and comprehend the essence of enterprise engineering with business management for efficient operation of enterprises to sustain competitiveness in attaining organisational goals and objectives in the modern industrial and business environment. *Category A*
- 2. be capable of formulating problems in enterprise engineering with management and applying the knowledge and procedures to devise and implement strategies and courses of actions aimed at producing solutions in modern enterprises while understanding their limitations, and the effects and constraints of economic and other environmental factors. *Category A*
- 3. be able to apply entrepreneurial skills in identifying, capturing and managing industrial and business opportunities. *Category A*
- 4. have gained some experience that will enable them to apply their knowledge to solve problems of the type and, eventually, of the complexity that may be encountered in practice. *Category A*, *B*
- 5. be able to work effectively as individuals using their own initiatives, and as members of teams. *Category A*, *B*
- 6. be able to effectively communicate (oral, written, graphical and numerate) with people where understanding and cooperation from others is necessary. *Category A*, *B*
- 7. be aware of the social responsibilities and the ethics of being a professional in practicing enterprise engineering and management in the modern world. *Category A*, *B*
- 8. be capable to engage in lifelong learning for continuous career development and personal growth. Category A, B
- * Category A: Professional/Academic knowledge and skills, and Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness.

2.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AIMS AND INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs) OF THE PROGRAMME

| | | | | ILOs Ol | F THE P | ROGR | AMME | E | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---------|---------|------|------|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| | 1 | X | | | | | | | |
| LEM | 2 | | X | Χ | X | | | | |
| PROGRAMME | 3 | | | | | X | | | |
| AIMS | 4 | | | | | | Χ | X | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | | X |

| | | | ILOs OF THE PROGRAMME | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | | | | | | 8 | |
| | 1 | X | | X | | | | | | |
| EEM | 2 | | X | | X | | | | | |
| PROGRAMME | 3 | | | | | X | X | | | |
| AIMS | 4 | | | | | | | Χ | | |
| | 5 | | | | | X | | | X | |

2.6 INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES

It is PolyU's educational mission to nurture competent professionals who are also critical thinkers, effective communicators, innovative problem solvers, lifelong learners, and ethical leaders. The institutional learning outcomes for these attributes are provided as follows:

- 1. **Competent professional**: Graduates should be able to integrate and apply in practice the fundamental knowledge and skills required for functioning effectively as entry-level professionals.
- 2. **Critical thinker**: Graduates should be able to examine and critique the validity of information, arguments, and different viewpoints, and reach a sound judgment on the basis of credible evidence and logical reasoning.
- 3. **Effective communicator**: Graduates should be able to comprehend and communicate effectively in English and Chinese, orally and in writing, in professional and daily contexts.
- 4. **Innovative problem solver**: Graduates should be able to identify and define problems in professional and daily contexts, and produce creative and workable solutions to the problems.
- 5. **Lifelong learner**: Graduates should recognize the need for continual learning and selfdevelopment, and be able to plan, manage and improve their own learning in pursuit of self-determined development goals.
- 6. **Ethical leader**: Graduates should have an understanding of leadership and be prepared to lead a team, and should acknowledge their responsibilities as professionals and citizens to society and their own nation, and be able to demonstrate ethical reasoning in professional and daily contexts.

2.7 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs) OF THE PROGRAMME AND INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | INS | INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | 1 | X | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | X | | | | |
| | 3 | X | Χ | | | | | | |
| ILOs OF LEM | 4 | X | | | X | | | | |
| PROGRAMME | 5 | | | Χ | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | | X | | |
| | 7 | | | | | X | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | X | | | |

| | | INS | INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | 1 | X | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | X | | | | |
| | 3 | X | X | | | | | | |
| ILOs OF EEM | 4 | | | | X | | | | |
| PROGRAMME | 5 | | | X | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | X | | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | | | X | | |
| | 8 | | | | | X | | | |

2.8 COMPARISON TABLE BETWEEN THE STATED INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOS) OF THE LEM PROGRAMME AND THE HKIE REQUIRED OUTCOMES

| HKIE Criteria | HKIE Required Outcomes | ILOs of the LEM Award |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|
| a | An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering appropriate to the degree discipline | 1 |
| b | An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyse and interpret data | 2, 4 |
| с | An ability to design a system, component or process to meet desired needs within realistic constraints, such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability | 3, 4 |
| d | An ability to function on multidisciplinary teams | 2 |
| e | An ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems | 2 |
| f | An ability to understand of professional and ethical responsibility | 6 |
| g | An ability to communicate effectively | 5 |
| h | An ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context, especially the importance of health, safety and environmental considerations to both workers and the general public | 6, 7 |
| i | An ability to stay abreast of contemporary issues | 7, 8 |
| j | An ability to recognize the need for, and to engage in life- long learning | 8 |
| k | An ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice appropriate to the degree discipline | 1, 4 |
| 1 | An ability to use the computer/IT tools relevant to the discipline with an understanding of their processes and limitations | 3 |

2.9 CURRICULUM MAP THAT WE TEACH (T), GIVE STUDENTS PRACTICE (P) AND MEASURE (M) THE INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs) OF THE PROGRAMME

LEM award

| SUBJECT | SUBJECT | ILOs OF THE PROGRAMME | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|---|--|
| CODES | TITLES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| AF2111 | Accounting for Decision Making | | TP | TP | | | | | | |
| AF3625 | Engineering Economics | | | | TP | | TP | TP | | |
| AMA1110 | Basic Mathematics I – Calculus and Probability & Statistics | | ТР | ТР | | | | | | |
| APSS1L01^ | Tomorrow's Leader | | | | | TP | | | | |
| CBS1104C/P | University Chinese | | | | | TP | | | | |
| CBS3241P | Professional Communication in Chinese | | | | | TP | | | Р | |
| ELC1011 | Practical English for University Studies | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC1012/3 | English for University Studies | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC2011 | Advanced English Reading and Writing Skills | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC2012 | Persuasive Communication | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC2013 | English in Literature and Film | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC2014 | Advanced English for University Studies | | | | | TP | | | | |
| ELC3521 | Professional Communication in English | | | | | TPM | | | Р | |
| ENG1003 | Freshman Seminar for Engineering | TP | | ТР | | Р | | | | |
| ENG2003 | Information Technology | | | ТР | | Р | | | | |
| ENG3004 | Society and the Engineer | Т | TP | ТР | | TP | TPM | | | |
| ENG4001 | Project Management | Т | TP | TP | TP | TP | Р | | Р | |
| IC2126 | ERP Advanced | TP | | TP | | | | | | |
| IC2129 | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis | ТР | ТР | | | | | | | |
| IC3103 | Integrated Project | Р | PM | Р | Р | PM | PM | PM | Р | |
| ISE246 | Introduction to Logistics Engineering | TP | TPM | TPM | TP | Т | | TP | | |
| ISE247 | Fundamental of Enterprise Systems | Т | TP | ТР | | Р | | | | |
| ISE2001 | Introduction to Enterprise Computing | TP | TP | | TP | Р | | | | |

| SUBJECT | SUBJECT | | II | LOs OF | THE | PROG | RAMM | E | |
|-----------------|---|----|-----|--------|-----|------|------|----|----|
| CODES | TITLES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ISE318 | Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods | | TPM | TPM | TP | Р | | | |
| ISE328 | Technology and Applications of E- Business Systems | | | TP | | | | | |
| ISE373 | Packaging and Storage Technology | TP | TP | TP | TP | | | TP | |
| ISE374 | Logistics Facility Design | Т | TP | TP | TP | | Т | Р | |
| ISE3002 | Planning of Production and Service Systems | TP | TP | TP | TP | Т | TP | Т | |
| ISE448 | Production Logistics | Т | TP | TP | TP | Р | | | |
| ISE449 | Mobile Technologies for Logistics Systems | Т | TP | | TP | TP | | | |
| ISE450 | Simulation of Logistics Systems | Т | TP | TP | TP | Р | | Р | |
| ISE460 | Logistics Information Management | Т | | | TP | TP | | | |
| ISE461 | Green Legislation and Supply Chain Logistics | | | | | | TP | TP | Т |
| ISE4008 | Individual Project | PM | PM | PM | PM | PM | | PM | PM |
| LGT2009 | Introduction to Shipping and Transport Logistics Operations | Т | ТР | TP | TP | Р | | | |
| LGT3102 | Management Science | TP | TP | TP | TP | TP | | | |
| LGT4106 | Supply Chain Management | Т | TP | ТР | TP | | Р | | |
| LGT4115 | E-Commerce and Logistics | Р | Т | Т | TP | Р | TP | TP | Т |
| MM1L01^ | Tango! Managing Self & Leading Others | | | | | TP | | | |
| MM2021 | Management and Organisation | | TP | | Т | ТР | | | |
| MM2711 | Introduction to Marketing | Т | | | TP | Р | | Т | |
| MM3111 | Human Resources Management | | | | | Т | TP | TP | Т |
| Work Integrated | l Education (WIE) | | | | | РМ | | | PM |

GUR subjects of service-learning, cluster area requirement (CAR), and healthy lifestyle not directly linked with the outcomes are not included. ^ Either one of two subjects.

EEM award

| SUBJECT | SUBJECT | ILOS OF THE PROGRAMME | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|----|-------|---|-----|----|---|
| CODES | TITLES | ITLES 1 2 3 | | 4 | 4 5 6 | | 7 | 8 | |
| AF2111 | Accounting for Decision Making | | TP | | Р | | | Т | |
| AF3625 | Engineering Economics | | TP | | | | | | |
| AMA1110 | Basic Mathematics I – Calculus and Probability & Statistics | | ТР | | | | | | |
| APSS1L01^ | Tomorrow's Leader | | | | | | ТР | | |
| CBS1104C/P | University Chinese | | | | | | ТР | | |
| CBS3241P | Professional Communication in Chinese | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC1011 | Practical English for University Studies | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC1012/3 | English for University Studies | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC2011 | Advanced English Reading and Writing Skills | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC2012 | Persuasive Communication | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC2013 | English in Literature and Film | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC2014 | Advanced English for University Studies | | | | | | TP | | |
| ELC3521 | Professional Communication in English | | | | | | TPM | | |
| ENG1003 | Freshman Seminar for Engineering | TP | TP | | | Р | Р | | |
| ENG2003 | Information Technology | TP | TP | | TP | | | | |
| ENG3004 | Society and the Engineer | TP | TP | | | | | TP | |
| ENG4001 | Project Management | | TP | TP | TP | Т | Р | | |
| IC2126 | ERP Advanced | TP | | | TP | | | | |
| IC2129 | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis | | | | TP | | | | |
| IC3103 | Integrated Project | | TP | | TP | Р | TP | | Р |
| ISE246 | Introduction to Logistics Engineering | ntroduction to ogistics TP T TP TP | | | | | | | |
| ISE247 | Fundamental of Enterprise Systems | Т | T TP T TP P P | | | | | | |
| ISE2001 | Introduction to Enterprise Computing | Т | TP | | TP | Р | Р | | |
| ISE318 | Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods | Т | TPM | | ТР | Р | Р | | Р |

| SUBJECT | SUBJECT | | I | LOs Ol | F THE | PROGE | RAMM | E | |
|---------|---|----|-----|--------|-------|-------|------|----|-----|
| CODES | TITLES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ISE328 | E328 Technology and Applications of E- Business Systems | | TP | ТР | | Р | Р | | |
| ISE369 | Quality Engineering | | TP | | | | Р | | |
| ISE375 | Enterprise Systems Modeling and Design | Т | TP | | Р | Р | Р | | |
| ISE376 | Entrepreneurship and Innovation | Т | Т | TPM | | | | ТР | |
| ISE3001 | Operations Research I | Т | TP | TP | TP | Р | Р | | |
| ISE3002 | Planning of Production and Service Systems | TP | TP | | TP | | Р | Т | |
| ISE3005 | Knowledge Management Systems and Application | Т | ТР | | TP | Р | Р | Т | TP |
| ISE431 | Engineering Costing and Evaluation | | TPM | | | | | ТР | |
| ISE457 | Business Process Management | Т | TP | | Р | Р | | | |
| ISE4004 | Enterprise Resources Planning | Т | Р | Р | TP | Р | Р | | ТР |
| ISE4006 | Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and Management | Т | PM | Р | ТР | РМ | | PM | TP |
| ISE4008 | Individual Project | PM | Р | Р | TPM | PM | PM | Р | TPM |
| MM1L01^ | Tango! Managing Self & Leading Others | | | | | TP | ТР | | |
| MM2021 | Management and Organisation | TP | TP | | TP | TP | TP | TP | |
| MM2711 | Introduction to Marketing TP | | TP | | Р | | | TP | |
| MM3111 | Human Resources Management | TP | TP | | Р | TP | | TP | |
| MM4311 | Strategic Management | TP | TP | TP | TP | TP | TP | Т | Т |
| - | Education (WIE) | | | | | PM | | | PM |

 Work Integrated Education (WIE)
 PM
 PM

 GUR subjects of service-learning, cluster area requirement (CAR), and healthy lifestyle not directly linked with the outcomes are not included.
 PM

^ Either one of two subjects.

2.10 FEEDBACK PROCESS

The Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee and the Programme Leader are the elements of a feedback system in programme management. Their responsibilities include examining the information received from the stakeholders, modifying the plan as appropriate, using appropriate measurement data to evaluate the intended learning outcomes of the programme as the process is implemented, and suggesting changes in the subject content, the extracurricular content or any other revisions needed to improve the programme when its performance falls short of the benchmarks.

SECTION 3 - ADMISSION TO THE PROGRAMME

FREQUENCY OF ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION

3.1 Students are admitted into the programme on an annual basis into Semester 1 of the academic year.

MINIMUM ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 3.2 Candidates applying with Hong Kong Diploma Secondary Education (HKDSE) or equivalent.
 - Level 3 in HKDSE English Language and Chinese Language; and
 - Level 2 in Mathematics, Liberal Studies; and
 - Level 3 in 2 Other Elective subjects [can include Extended Modules of Mathematics (M1/M2)]

Relevant Applied Learning Subjects that can be considered for meeting the University entrance requirement and admission score calculation are:

- Aviation Studies;
- Environmental Engineering;
- Food and Beverage Operations;
- Fundamental Health Care;
- Hotel Operations;
- Marketing in Global Trade,
- Mobile and Online Apps Development;
- Pâtisserie and Café Operations;
- Practical Psychology;
- Purchasing and Merchandising.

CANDIDATES APPLYING WITH AN ASSOCIATE DEGREE OR HIGHER DIPLOMA

3.3 Candidates who hold an Associate Degree or Higher Diploma in Engineering, Business or related disciplines will be eligible to join the programme. The admission of such candidates will be at the discretion of the Programme Leader in conjunction with the Admissions Officer and the regulations regarding subject exemption and credit transfer arrangements stated in Section 6.8 - 6.18 will apply.

CANDIDATES APPLYING UNDER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

3.4 Candidates who hold equivalent qualifications to those stated above in 3.2 and 3.3 above are also eligible to join the programme.

CANDIDATES ADMITTED THROUGH BROAD DISCIPLINE

- 3.5 The Broad Discipline of Engineering covers the following UGC-funded full-time BEng(Hons)/BSc(Hons) degree programmes offered by the Faculty of Engineering:
 - Aviation Engineering;
 - Electrical Engineering;
 - Electronic and Information Engineering;
 - Enterprise Engineering with Management;
 - Industrial and Systems Engineering;

- Internet and Multimedia Technologies;
- Logistics Engineering with Management;
- Mechanical Engineering;
- Product Analysis and Engineering Design; and
- Product Engineering with Marketing.
- 3.6 Candidates admitted to the Broad Discipline of Engineering have the freedom to make their programme choice without quota restrictions as late as the end of semester one in their second year of study. They will engage in a common first year of engineering study. The common curriculum covers fundamental subjects in areas of information technology, mathematics, physics, and English and Chinese languages. Students are encouraged to make their programme choice no later than the second semester of Year One, so that they can choose subjects in the summer of Year One and first semester of Year Two that are relevant to the selected programme. If they prefer to defer the programme choice until the end of the first semester of Year Two, the Faculty will provide active academic counselling to them to ensure that they choose the subjects most relevant to their backgrounds, needs and aspirations. In the event that (a) students have taken subjects in the summer of Year One and first semester of Year One and first semester of year Two not relevant to the award they pursue eventually, or (b) change their minds and wish to pursue another award under this programme, they may have to take make up subjects to fulfill the award requirements of the programme they eventually pursue.
- 3.7 In addition to Broad Discipline admission, the 4-year undergraduate degree framework allows students to work for <u>a single discipline Major (with or without Free Electives)</u>, <u>a Major plus a Minor</u> (unless the Major is so designed as to preclude the possibility of a further Minor study) or <u>Double Majors</u>.

PROGRAMME DECLARATION (NOT APPLICABLE FOR SENIOR YEAR STUDENTS)

3.8 The BSc (Hons) Scheme in Logistics and Enterprise Engineering is composed of two awards: BSc (Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management and BSc (Hons) in Enterprise Engineering with Management. Students admitted to the scheme have the freedom to make their programme choice without quota restrictions. However, they should submit a reply form to the Department to declare their target award no later than the end of semester one in their second year of study.

MINOR STUDY (NOT APPLICABLE FOR SENIOR YEAR STUDENTS)

- 3.9 Minor study will be a free choice by students and not mandatory. Normally, this option to study for a Minor will not be applicable to students who are admitted to the advanced stage of a programme, nor to students who are admitted to an articulation degree programme. On the other hand, students admitted on the basis of advanced standing may be allowed to study for a Minor, if so decided by the programme-host Department. This decision will be made at the time of admission, based on the merits of each individual case. (In this respect, students who are approved for transfer of study, deferment of study, or zero credit enrolment will be given the same allowance as for students admitted on the basis of advanced standing.) Each student is allowed to take not more than one Minor. Students who opt for Minor study will be subject to the following regulations:-
 - A Minor programme is a collection of subjects totalling 18 credits with at least 50% (9 credits) of the subjects at Level 3 or above. The subjects under a Minor should have a coherent theme introducing students to a focused area of study.
 - (ii) Students interested in a Minor must submit their applications to and obtain approval from the Minor-offering Department, at the start of second year of study. Students

should submit their applications to their Major Department, which will indicate its support or otherwise (since the taking of a Minor will increase the student's study load), before the Minor-offering Department makes a final decision on the application;

- (iii) Students are expected to complete their approved Minor as part of their graduation requirements. Students who wish to withdraw from a Minor need to apply for approval officially from the Minor offering department, before the end of the add/drop period of the last Semester of study;
- (iv) Students with approved Minor will be given a higher priority in taking the Minor subjects over the students who take the subjects as free-electives. 'Free electives' under the 4-year Ug degree programmes refers to any subjects (including CAR subjects) offered by the University, unless otherwise specified;
- (v) Subject to approval by the Minor-offering Department, students may count up to 6 credits from their Major/General University Requirement (GUR) [including Language Communication Requirement (LCR) subjects at proficiency level] towards their chosen Minor. Nevertheless, students must take at least 6 credits from their chosen Minor programme in order to satisfy the residential requirement of their chosen Minor. In addition, to be eligible for the Major and Minor awards, the total number of credits taken by the students for their Major-Minor studies must not be lower than the credit requirement of the single discipline Major programme.
- (vi) Only students with a Grade Point Average (GPA) of 2.5 or above can be considered for Minor study enrolment. The Minor-offering Department may set a quota (normally capped at 10 students or 20% of the Major intake quota) and additional admission requirements for their Minor; and
- (vii) Students are required to obtain a GPA of at least 2.0 in order to satisfy the requirement for graduation with a Major plus a Minor.

A Minor-offering Department can admit students enrolled on Major programmes offered by other Departments and on its own Major programme(s). Enrolment of students from Major programmes outside the Department will be subject to the quota approved for the Minor although the Minor-offering Department can admit more students as long as the number does not exceed the approved quota by more than 20%, if there is a strong demand. As for admission of its own students, there is no limit on the number.

Notwithstanding 3.8 (iv) above, there is no guarantee that a clash-free timetable can be provided for all students who pursue Minor study. Minor-offering Departments will be responsible for ensuring that students enrolled on their Minors can take the requisite subjects and graduate within the normative study period.

DOUBLE MAJORS

- 3.10 Double Majors will provide an opportunity for the more capable students, who are interested in expanding their study beyond a single degree, to take a Second Major study. Students who opt for a double Major study will be subject to the following regulations:
 - (i) Completion of Double Majors requires more than the normative study period of 4/5 years and extra credits on self-financed basis (i.e. higher tuition fee). The total credit requirements of a Double Major will depend on the degree of commonality between the 2 Majors. Apart from the 30 credits of GUR subjects, up to 1/3 of the Discipline-

Specific Requirements (DSR) of the First Major which are common to the Second Major can be double-counted towards the Second Major.

- (ii) Students who wish to take a Second Major must obtain approval from the host Department of the first Major. They can then submit their applications to the second Major-offering department starting from their second year of study. Only students with a GPA of 3.0 above can be considered for admission to a Second Major, while Departments offering the Second Major can stipulate a higher GPA requirement if appropriate.
- (iii) Students enrolled in a second Major will be given priority in taking second Major subjects over the students who take the subjects as free electives.
- (iv) Students will be put on academic probation if they fail to obtain a GPA of 2.0 or above.
- (v) Students are required to obtain an overall GPA of at least 2.0, in order to satisfy the requirement for graduation with Double Majors. They will not be allowed to graduate with one of the 2 Majors.
- (vi) Students who wish to withdraw from a Second Major must obtain approval from the Department offering the Second Major, before the end of the add/drop period of the last Semester of study.
- (vii) Students will not be allowed to withdraw from a First Major and continue with the Second Major only.

SELECTION PROCEDURE

3.11 The admission procedures will be coordinated by the Admissions Officer. Candidates applying with HKDSE or equivalent will be selected on the basis of their qualifications and academic achievement. Candidates applying without HKDSE or equivalent will be selected on the basis of academic achievement and by interview and/or admission test. However, preference may be given to industry sponsored candidates and those with relevant experience in industry.

SECTION 4 - CURRICULUM STRUCTURE

4.1 The curriculum structure are illustrated on progression pattern for normal students and senior year students on page 4-6 to 4-9 and page 4-10 to 4-12 respectively.

GENERAL UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS (GUR)

4.2 Normal students are required to complete 30 credits of GUR subjects which are language and communications requirements, freshman seminar, leadership and intra-personal development, service-learning, cluster areas requirement, China studies requirement and healthy lifestyle. It is further explained in Appendix I. Senior year students are required to complete 9 credits of GUR which cluster areas requirement, China studies requirement and service-learning. It is also further explained in Appendix II.

COMPULSORY AND ELECTIVE SUBJECTS

LEM and EEM awards

4.3 The programme has been planned with the primary aim of producing either graduates capable of fulfilling what we visualise as being their duties on employment and in large measure, the curriculum content has been designed deemed to be necessary to discharge this obligation. By virtue of the fact that LEM or EEM is by its nature, a broad discipline, most of the subjects in the curriculum are compulsory and provide a balance of subjects devoted to both areas. This, to some extent restricts the scope for flexibility of students to pursue subject of their own particular interest yet still being retained under the broad spectrum of this programme. However, some choice has been made available by including a number of elective subjects. There are 3 credits free electives which would normally be taken during Year 2 in LEM while there are 6 credits free electives which would normally be taken during Year 2 and 4 in EEM.

EEM award

4.4 *Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and Management (ISE4006)* are taken during Semester 1 of Year 4 in EEM. These activities provides the opportunity to students to learn and make use of the essential concepts, methods, tools and techniques learnt in the earlier part of the curriculum to develop a strategic business plan for exploring business opportunities. The teaching and learning emphasis is on developing an environment for students to acquire a mindset and attitude by learning through a guided framework of activities. Such activities are supported by the core studies of Year 1, Year 2 and Year 3 and the IC training that takes place in Year 2 and Year 4. It provides a setting where students can integrate and apply what they have learnt in this and other subjects to achieve the subject learning outcomes.

INDUSTRIAL CENTRE BASED TRAINING

- 4.5 Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) is undertaken in the University's Industrial Centre in the semester 2 of Year 2. This is a 2-week training consisting modules with the specific aim of ensuring an adequate level of proficiency in practical computer skills applicable to their academic studies and in their later professional lives. Students will focus on using particular software packages. Using a "hands-on" approach, the course provides students with the opportunity to explore the basic concepts at the user level and to experience computer-aided statistical analysis and enterprise resource planning software package first hand.
- 4.6 Specially, the subject consists of the following modules:

- (i) Basic Computer-aided Statistical Analysis (TM3015);
- (ii) Fundamentals of Enterprise Resource Planning (TM3016).
- 4.7 Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) will be <u>graded</u> at the time when an assessment is made. Only **ONE** aggregate grade is given for an academic year to sum up the performance of student in this subject for that year.
- 4.8 ERP Advanced (IC2126) which is a 1-week training, is taken during the semester 1 of Year 4. It aims to enable students to have hands-on practice on the operation of contemporary enterprise resource planning in the whole business environment. Assessment is made at the end of semester 1 of Year 4.
- 4.9 Integrated Project (IC3103) which is a 3-week training, is taken during the semester 1 and semester 2 of Year 2. It aims to enable students to work in teams with other disciplines to formulate, research and solve a problem through which students practice and integrate what they have learned in their study. Assessment is made at the end of summer term between Year 2 and 3.
- 4.10 The above listed credits are:
 - (i) <u>not</u> counted towards the Weighted GPA/Award GPA calculation which is used for considering the award classification;
 - (ii) <u>not</u> counted towards the number of credits needed for meeting the requirement of the award(s) but students must obtain a minimum Grade D to qualify for an award;
 - (iii) <u>not</u> counted for meeting the credit requirement for full-time status of students;
 - (iv) taken into account in the GPA calculation, which is computed at the end of every semester on the basis of a student's performance on all subjects taken since the start of their studies.

THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECT

- 4.11 The *Individual Project (ISE4008)* is carried out in the final year of the programme and is mostly industry-related. The project topic and supervisor will be chosen by the students towards the end of Year 3 so they can spend some time gathering information and undertake preliminary planning prior to the start of the final year of the programme. Students may also propose their project topic subject to approval by the supervisor. During their final year students are allocated one day per week for their project and normally spend at least one full day per week on this activity.
- 4.12 While the specific objectives to be met by the individual project may differ from one project to another, they should offer students the opportunities as specified below:
 - to seek for themselves the information from which to make a critical assessment of an understanding of a phenomenon and/or of the procedures available to achieve a desired objective;
 - to be able to decide from the wealth of existing knowledge, that which is relevant to his particular undertaking thus to be able to select the knowledge or procedures most appropriate to his specific purpose or to make appropriate amendments to the procedure so as to make it applicable;

- to define one (or more) problem from a given situation, thereafter to decide which (if there are more than one) are to be pursued, to assign them relative priorities and to develop strategies by which the problems may be solved;
- (iv) to implement these strategies, to re-define each problem as more is learned of its true nature;
- (v) to work with time and financial constraints, to take decisions on the basis of incomplete information, to prepare, submit and defend a coherent, succinct, ordered report.

WORK INTEGRATED EDUCATION (WIE)

- 4.13 Work Integrated Education (WIE) is defined as a <u>structured</u> and <u>measurable</u> learning experience which takes place in an organisational context relevant to a student's future profession, or to the development of generic skills that will be valuable in that profession. It is included in the programme to enable students to obtain a better understanding of real-life work experience relevant to the discipline of studies they pursue as well as to further enhance their all-round development. Students must complete WIE before graduation.
- 4.14 WIE must be a cooperative venture between the PolyU Department and the work organisation. Examples of activities that satisfy the WIE requirement are:
 - (i) Summer placement (of normally 2-month duration) in a suitable organization participating in the Preferred Graduate Development Programme.
 - (ii) Any other placement in any suitable external organization for a specified period of time.
 - (iii) Any collection of community service programmes of an acceptable aggregate duration.
 - (iv) Any jobs found by the student himself in an external organization and deemed to be suitable by the Department and the Dean in meeting the requirement of WIE. Such jobs must be declared by the student in advance so that the Department can have an opportunity to assess its suitability.
 - (v) Relevant placement as student helpers in PolyU administrative departments and Industrial Centre.
 - (vi) Assisting in PolyU activities that have an external collaboration or service component such as, Innovation and Technology Fund projects, RAPRODs projects, high-level consultancy projects, collaborative research projects that we undertake with external organizations, jobs undertaken by the Industrial Centre as a service for an external organization.
 - (vii) Placement with the IAESTE (International Association for the Exchange of Students for Technical Experience) Programme in which the student is attached to a workplace abroad during the training.

PROGRESSION PATTERN OF THE CURRICULUM COMMON FIRST AND A HALF YEARS

(Total Credits Required for Graduation: 121 credits + 6 IC training credits)

| 0 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 3 |
| 3 |
| 3 |
| 3 |
| - |
| |
| rd |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

3

3

Engineering Economics (AF3625)

Technology and Applications of E-

Business Systems (ISE328)

PROGRESSION PATTERN OF LEM CURRICULUM

| | Ye | ar 2 | |
|---|-------------|---|------------|
| | | Semester 2 (12 credits + 2 IC | C) |
| | | Introduction to Shipping and Transport Logistics Operations (LGT2009) | 3 |
| | | Accounting for Decision Making (AF2111) | 3 |
| | | Human Resources Management (MM3111) | 3 |
| | | Free Elective 1* | 3 |
| | | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) | 2 IC |
| Year 3 | (31 + 3 IC) | C training credits) | |
| Semester 1 (15 credits + 1.5 I | C) | Semester 2 (16 credits + 1.5 I | C) |
| Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods (ISE318) | 3 | Professional Communication in English (ELC3521) | 2 |
| Packaging and Storage Technology (ISE373) | 3 | Professional Communication in Chinese (CBS3241P) | 2 |
| Logistics Facility Design (ISE374) | 3 | Mobile Technologies for Logistics Systems (ISE449) | 3 |
| Planning of Production and Service Systems (ISE3002) | 3 | Management Science (LGT3102) | 3 |
| Service-Learning# | 3 | E-commerce and Logistics (LGT4115) | 3 |
| - | | CAR IV# | 3 |
| Integrated Project (IC3103) | 1.5 IC | Integrated Project (IC3103) – cont'd | 1.5 IC |
| Year 4 (27 | credits + | 1 IC training credit) | |
| Semester 1 (15 credits + 1 IC | C) | Semester 2 (12 credits) | |
| Production Logistics (ISE448) | 3 | Society and the Engineer (ENG3004) | 3 |
| Simulation of Logistics Systems (ISE450) | 3 | Project Management (ENG4001) | 3 |
| Logistics Information Management (ISE460) | 3 | Green Legislation and Supply Chain Logistics (ISE461) | 3 |
| Supply Chain Management (LGT4106) | 3 | - | |
| Individual Project (ISE4008) | 3 | Individual Project (ISE4008) – cont'd | 3 |
| ERP Advanced (IC2126) | 1 IC | - | |

PROGRESSION PATTERN OF THE EEM CURRICULUM

| | Ye | ar 2 | |
|--|-----------|--|--------|
| | | Semester 2 (12 credits + 2 IC | C) |
| | | CAR IV# | 3 |
| | | Accounting for Decision Making (AF2111) | 3 |
| | | Human Resource Management (MM3111) | 3 |
| | | Enterprise Systems Modeling and Design (ISE375) | 3 |
| | | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) | 2 IC |
| Year 3 (31 | credits + | 3 IC training credits) | |
| Semester 1 (12 credits + 1.5 I | C) | Semester 2 (16 credits + 1.5 I | C) |
| Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods (ISE318) | 3 | Professional Communication in English (ELC3521) | 2 |
| Quality Engineering (ISE369) | 3 | Professional Communication in Chinese (CBS3241P) | 2 |
| Planning of Production and Service Systems (ISE3002) | 3 | Entrepreneurship and Innovation (ISE376) | 3 |
| Service-Learning# | 3 | Operations Research I (ISE3001) | 3 |
| Free Elective 1* | 3 | Knowledge Management Systems and Applications (ISE3005) | 3 |
| - | | Business Process Management (ISE457) | 3 |
| Integrated Project (IC3103) | 1.5 IC | Integrated Project (IC3103) – cont'd | 1.5 IC |
| Year 4 (27 | credits + | 1 IC training credit) | |
| Semester 1 (15 credits + 1 IC | C) | Semester 2 (12 credits) | |
| Free Elective 2* | 3 | Society and the Engineer (ENG3004) | 3 |
| Strategic Management (MM4311) | 3 | Project Management (ENG4001) | 3 |
| Enterprise Resources Planning (ISE4004) | 3 | Engineering Costing and Evaluation (ISE431) | 3 |
| Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and Management (ISE4006) | 3 | - | |
| Individual Project (ISE4008) | 3 | Individual Project (ISE4008) – cont'd | 3 |
| ERP Advanced (IC2126) | 1 IC | - | |

- # General University Requirements (GUR) The pattern for GUR subjects are indicative only. Students may take these subjects according to their own schedule.
- * Students can take free electives offered by ISE or other departments.

@ Double Fulfilment of DSR and CAR

Some DSR subjects are also designated as CAR subjects under the four cluster areas. They are the same subjects designated with different subject codes. Upon passing them, you will fulfill the requirements of both DSR and CAR. However, credits will not be counted twice. For example, if you have taken MM2711, you have fulfilled the CAR B requirement and earned only 3 credits instead of 6 credits. So you may need to take other subjects to make up the total credit requirement of the award. The list of subjects that fulfill both DSR and CAR of LEM award are shown below:

| DSR | CAR Subjects | Cluster Area | Subject Title |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Subjects | | | |
| MM2711 | MM2B05 | CAR – B | Introduction to Marketing |

4-8 PROGRESSION PATTERN OF THE CURRICULUM – FOR SENIOR YEAR STUDENTS

BSc (Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management

| Year 1 (34 credits + 6 IC training credits) | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Semester 1 (18 credits + 2.5) | IC) | Semester 2 (16 credits + 2.5 IC) | | | |
| CAR I# | 3 | CAR II# | 3 | | |
| Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods (ISE318) | 3 | Professional Communication in English (ELC3521) | 2 | | |
| Packaging and Storage Technology (ISE373) | 3 | Professional Communication in Chinese (CBS3241P) | 2 | | |
| Logistics Facility Design (ISE374) | | | 3 | | |
| Planning of Production and Service Systems (ISE3002) | 3 | Management Science (LGT3102) | 3 | | |
| Service-Learning# | 3 | E-commerce and Logistics (LGT4115) | 3 | | |
| Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) | 1 IC training credit | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) – cont'd | 1 IC training credit | | |
| Integrated Project (IC3103) | 1.5 IC training credits | Integrated Project (IC3103) – cont'd | 1.5 IC training credits | | |
| | Year 2 (3 | 0 credits) | | | |
| Semester 1 (15 credits + 1 I | C) | Semester 2 (15 credits) | | | |
| Production Logistics (ISE448) | 3 | Society and the Engineer (ENG3004) | 3 | | |
| Simulation of Logistics Systems (ISE450) | 3 | Project Management (ENG4001) | 3 | | |
| Logistics Information Management (ISE460) | 3 | Green Legislation and Supply Chain Logistics (ISE461) | 3 | | |
| Supply Chain Management (LGT4106) 3 | | Free Elective~ | 3 | | |
| Individual Project (ISE4008) | 3 | Individual Project (ISE4008) – cont'd | 3 | | |
| ERP Advanced (IC2126) | 1 IC training credit | - | - | | |

(Total Credits Required for Graduation: 64 credits* + 6 IC training credits)

PROGRESSION PATTERN OF THE CURRICULUM – FOR SENIOR YEAR STUDENTS

BSc (Hons) in Enterprise Engineering with Management

| Year 1 (37 credits + 5 IC training credits) | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Semester 1 (18 credits + 2.5 | IC) | Semester 2 (19 credits + 2.5 IC) | | |
| CAR I# | 3 | CAR II# | 3 | |
| Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods (ISE318) | 3 | Professional Communication in English (ELC3521) | 2 | |
| Technology and Applications of E-Business Systems (ISE328) | 3 | Professional Communication in Chinese (CBS3241P) | 2 | |
| Quality Engineering (ISE369) | 3 | Entrepreneurship and Innovation (ISE376) | 3 | |
| Planning for Production and Service Systems (ISE3002) | 3 | Operations Research I (ISE3001) | 3 | |
| Service-Learning# | 3 | Knowledge Management Systems and Applications (ISE3005) | 3 | |
| - | - | Business Process Management (ISE457) | 3 | |
| Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) | 1 IC training credit | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis (IC2129) – cont'd | 1 IC training credit | |
| Integrated Project (IC3103) | 1.5 IC training credits | Integrated Project (IC3103) – cont'd | 1.5 IC training credits | |
| Year 2 (2' | 7 credits & | 1 IC training credit) | | |
| | a) | | | |

(Total Credits Required for Graduation: 64 credits* + 6 IC training credits)

| Semester 1 (15 credits + 1 I | (C) | Semester 2 (12 credits) | |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| Free Elective~ | 3 | Society and the Engineer (ENG3004) | 3 |
| Strategic Management (MM4311) | 3 | Project Management (ENG4001) | 3 |
| Enterprise Resources Planning (ISE4004) | 3 | Engineering Costing and Evaluation (ISE431) | 3 |
| Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and Management (ISE4006) | 3 | - | - |
| Individual Project (ISE4008) | 3 | Individual Project (ISE4008) – cont'd | 3 |
| ERP Advanced (IC2126) | 1 IC training credit | - | - |

* Those students not meeting the equivalent standard of the Undergraduate Degree LCR (based on their previous studies in AD/HD programme and their academic performance) will be required to take degree LCR subjects on top of the normal curriculum requirement. Degree LCR subjects include

TWO English language subjects

- Practical English for University Studies (ELC1011) 3 credits
- English for University Studies (ELC1012/1013) 3 credits
- Advanced English for University Studies (ELC2014) 3 credits ONE Chinese language subject
- University Chinese (CBS1104C/P) 3 credits

Students are recommended to take these LCR subjects preferably in year one.

- # General University Requirements (GUR) The pattern for GUR subjects are indicative only. Students may take these subjects according to their own schedule.
- ~ Students can take free electives offered by ISE or other departments.

SECTION 5 - EXAMINATION AND ASSESSMENT

GENERAL ASSESSMENT REGULATIONS (GAR)

5.1 The University's General Assessment Regulations shall apply to the programmes under BSc (Hons) Scheme in Logistics and Enterprise Engineering. The specific assessment regulations are set out here, having been developed within the framework of the GAR.

ASSESSMENT METHODS

- 5.2 Students' performance in a subject can be assessed by continuous assessment and/or examinations, at the discretion of the individual subject offering Department. Where both continuous assessment and examinations are used, the weighting of each in the overall subject grade is clearly stated in Section 8 of this document. The subject offering Department can decide whether students are required to pass both the continuous assessment and examination components, or either components only, in order to obtain a subject pass, but this requirement (to pass both, or either, components) will be specified in Section 8 of this document. Learning outcome should be assessed by continuous assessment and/or examination appropriately, in line with the outcome-based approach.
- 5.3 Continuous assessment may include tests, assignments, projects, laboratory work, field exercises, presentations and other forms of classroom participation. Continuous Assessment assignments which involve group work should nevertheless include some individual components therein. The contribution made by each student in continuous assessment involving a group effort shall be determined and assessed separately, and this can result in different grades being awarded to students in the same group.
- 5.4 Assessment methods and parameters of subjects shall be determined by the subject offering Department.

GRADING

5.5 Assessment grades shall be awarded on a criterion-referenced basis. A student's overall performance in a subject (including GUR subjects) shall be graded as follows:

| Subject Grade | Grade Point | Short Description | Elaboration on subject grading description |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--|
| A+ | 4.5 | Exceptionally Outstanding | The student's work is exceptionally outstanding. It exceeds the intended subject learning outcomes in all regards. |
| A | 4.0 | Outstanding | The student's work is outstanding. It exceeds the intended subject learning outcomes in nearly all regards. |
| B+ | 3.5 | Very Good | The student's work is very good. It exceeds the intended subject learning outcomes in most regards. |
| В | 3.0 | Good | The student's work is good. It exceeds the intended subject learning outcomes in some regards. |
| C+ | 2.5 | Wholly Satisfactory | The student's work is wholly satisfactory. It fully meets the intended subject learning outcomes. |

| Subject Grade | Grade Point | Short Description | Elaboration on subject grading description |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| C | 2.0 | Satisfactory | The student's work is satisfactory. It largely meets the intended subject learning outcomes. |
| D+ | 1.5 | Barely Satisfactory | The student's work is barely satisfactory. It marginally meets the intended subject learning outcomes. |
| D | 1.0 | Barely Adequate | The student's work is barely adequate. It meets the intended subject learning outcomes only in some regards. |
| F | 0 | Inadequate | The student's work is inadequate. It fails to meet many of the intended subject learning outcomes. |

'F' is a subject failure grade, whilst all others ('D' to 'A+') are subject passing grades. No credit will be earned if a subject is failed.

5.6 At the end of a semester, a Grade Point Average (GPA) will be computed as follows, and based on the grade point of all the subjects:

$$GPA = \frac{\sum_{n} \text{Subject Grade Point x Subject Credit Value}}{\sum_{n} \text{Subject Credit Value}}$$

- where n = number of all subjects (inclusive of failed subjects) taken by the student up to and including the latest semester/term, but for subjects which have been retaken, only the grade point obtained in the final attempt will be included in the GPA calculation.
- 5.7 Exempted, ungraded and incomplete subjects, subjects for which credit transfer has been approved without any grade assigned[^], and subjects from which a student has been allowed to withdraw, i.e. those with the Grade "W" will be excluded from the GPA calculation. Subjects which have been given an "S" grade code i.e. absent from assessment, will be included in the GPA calculation and will be counted as "zero" grade point. The GPA is thus the unweighted cumulative average calculated for a student, for all relevant subjects taken from the start of the programme to a particular point of time. GPA is an indicator of overall performance, and is capped at 4.0.

[^]Subjects taken in PolyU or elsewhere and with grades assigned, and for which credit transfer has been approved, will be included in the GPA calculation.

DIFFERENT TYPES OF GPA

5.8 GPA will be calculated for each Semester including the Summer Term. This <u>Semester GPA</u> will be used to determine students' eligibility to progress to the next Semester alongside with the 'cumulative GPA'. However, the Semester GPA calculated for the Summer Term will not be used for this purpose, unless the Summer Term study is mandatory for all students of the programme concerned and constitutes part of the graduation requirements.

- 5.9 The GPA calculated after the second Semester of the students' study is therefore a <u>'cumulative' GPA</u> of all the subjects taken so far by students, and without applying any level weighting.
- 5.10 Along with the 'cumulative' GPA, a <u>weighted GPA</u> will also be calculated, to give an indication to the Board of Examiners on the award classification which a student will likely get if he makes steady progress on his/her academic studies. GUR subjects will be included in the calculation of weighted GPA for all programmes.
- 5.11 When a student has satisfied the requirements for award, an <u>award GPA</u> will be calculated to determine his/her award classification. GUR subjects will be included in the calculation of award GPA for all programmes.
- 5.12 For students taking the Major/Minor study route, a separate GPA will be calculated for their Major and Minor programmes. The Major GPA will be used to determine his/her award classification, which will be so reflected on the award parchment. The Minor GPA can be used as a reference for Board of Examiners to moderate the award classification for the Major.

ASSESSMENT OF THE WORK INTEGRATED EDUCATION (WIE)

5.13 The Programme uses Engineering Faculty Guidelines for assessment of WIE. WIE components will NOT be counted towards GPA calculation. Students are required to complete a minimum of 2 weeks/80 hours of full-time training or equivalent. WIE required in the form of Summer Placement or other training may take place in Hong Kong, Mainland China, or overseas. WIE activities may be organised through the Department, Office of Careers and Placement Services (CAPS) or by the student's own initiative with advice from the WIE coordinator to ensure that they qualify for WIE on account of relevance, structure, and measurability. In such cases, assessment will be made using the WIE log book. The log book must be signed by the employer with a brief evaluation of the student, as appropriate. This is examined by the WIE coordinator to ensure that the WIE objectives have been achieved. The WIE coordinator may interview the student in making the evaluation.

PROGRESSION/ACADEMIC PROBATION/DEREGISTRATION

- 5.14 The Board of Examiners shall, at the end of each semester (except for Summer Term unless there are students who are eligible to graduate after completion of Summer Term subjects or the Summer Term study is mandatory for the programme), determine whether each student is:
 - (i) eligible for progression towards an award; or
 - (ii) eligible for an award; or
 - (iii) required to be de-registered from the programme.

When a student has a Grade Point Average (GPA) lower than 2.0, he/she will be put on academic probation in the following semester. Once when a student is able to pull his/her GPA up to 2.0 or above at the end of the semester, the status of "academic probation" will be lifted. The status of "academic probation" will be reflected in the examination result notification but not in transcript of studies.

- 5.15 A student will have 'progressing' status unless he/she falls within any one of the following categories which may be regarded as grounds for de-registration from the programme:
 - (i) the student has exceeded the maximum period of registration for the programme (see paragraph 6.20); or
 - (ii) the student's GPA is lower than 2.0 for two consecutive semesters and his/her Semester GPA in the second semester is also lower than 2.0; or
 - (iii) the student's GPA is lower than 2.0 for three consecutive semesters.

When a student falls within the categories as stipulated above, the Board of Examiners shall de-register the student from the programme without exception.

A student may be deregistered from the programme enrolled before the time frame specified in (ii) or (iii) above if his/her academic performance is poor to the extent that the Board of Examiners considers that there is not much of chance for him/her to attain a GPA of 2.0 at the end of the programme.

If the student is not satisfied with the de-registration decision of the Board of Examiners, he/she can lodge an appeal. All such appeal cases will be referred directly to Academic Appeals Committee (AAC) for final decision. Views of Faculties/Schools/Department will be sought and made available to AAC for reference.

UNIVERSITY GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS

For Normal Students

- 5.16 A student is eligible for award if he/she satisfies all the conditions listed below:
 - (i) Complete successfully an accumulation of 121 credits + 6 IC training credits for the award[#];
 - (ii) Earn a cumulative GPA of 2.00 or above at graduation;
 - (iii) Complete successfully the mandatory Work-Integrated Education (WIE) component;
 - (iv) Satisfy 30 credits of General University Requirements (GUR);

| (a) Language and Communication Requirements~ | 9 credits |
|---|---------------------------|
| (b) Freshman Seminar | 3 credits |
| (c) Leadership and Intra-Personal Development | 3 credits |
| (d) Service-Learning | 3 credits |
| (e) Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) | 12 credits |
| (f) China Studies Requirement | (3 of the 12 CAR credits) |
| (g) Healthy Lifestyle [@] | Non-credit bearing |
| | Total = 30 credits |

- (v) Satisfy the residential requirement for at least 1/3 of the credits to be completed for the award he/she is currently enrolled in PolyU; and
- (vi) Satisfy any other requirements as specified in the definitive programme document and as specified by the University.
- 5.17 There are subjects which are designed to fulfil the credit requirement of different types of subject. Students passing these subjects will be regarded as having fulfilled the credit requirements of the particular types of subject concerned. Nevertheless, the subject passed will only be counted once in fulfilling the credit requirements of the award, and the students will be required to take another subject in order to meet the total credit requirement of the programme concerned.
- 5.18 Remedial and underpinning subjects are designed for new students who are in need of additional preparations in a particular subject area, and only identified students of a programme are required to take these subjects. These subjects should therefore be counted outside the regular credit requirement for award.
- 5.19 In addition, students may be required to take subjects that are designed to enhance their skills in particular subject areas to underpin their further advanced study in the discipline. These underpinning subjects could be of different subject areas (e.g. Mathematics, science subjects), and the number of credits each student is required to take in a particular underpinning subject area may vary according to the different academic backgrounds of the students. With effect from the 2015/16 intake cohort, the regular credit requirement for award will count the lowest number of credits taken by the students in the same subject area. For example, some students in an engineering programme are required to take 10 credits of underpinning subjects in Mathematics, whilst others in the programme are required to take 6 credits of underpinning subjects in Mathematics. Only 6 credits will be recognized for counting towards the regular credit requirement of the programme. The extra 4 credits taken by some students will be counted outside the regular credit requirement.
- 5.20 Senior Year intakes admitted to the 4-year Undergraduate Degree programmes on the strength of the Associate Degree/Higher Diploma qualifications are required to complete <u>at least 60</u> <u>credits</u> in order to be eligible for a Bachelor's degree. Exemption may be given from subjects already taken in the previous Associate Degree/Higher Diploma studies. In that case, students should take other electives (including free electives) instead to make up the total of 60 credits required. For students who are exceptionally admitted before 2017/18 on the basis of academic qualification(s) <u>more advanced</u> than Associate Degree/Higher Diploma¹, such as the advanced stage of a 4-year degree curriculum programme, Departments can continue to grant credit transfer as appropriate, so as to give recognition to the advanced study taken, and these

[#]This minimum only applies to students who are admitted through the normal route.

 $[\]sim$ Non-Chinese speakers and those students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below will by default be exempted from the DSR - Chinese and CAR - Chinese Reading and Writing requirements. However, this group of students would still be required to take one Chinese LCR subject to fulfil their Chinese LCR.

[@] Students admitted to the programmes as Senior Year Intakes are not required to take the Healthy Lifestyle Programme. Advanced Standing students are required to take the Healthy Lifestyle Programme (except for those who are HD/AD holders who follow the Senior/Articulation Degree programme GUR curriculum).

¹ The admission of students to UGC-funded Articulation Degree programmes and Senior Year intakes on the basis of qualification(s) more advanced than Associate Degree/Higher Diploma is subject to the conditions stipulated by UGC governing the UGC-funded Senior Year places.

students can take fewer than 60 credits for attaining the award. The proportion of these students should remain low. As from the 2017/18 intake cohort, all students admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senior Year curriculum, irrespective of the entry qualifications they held when applying for admission to the programmes, are required to complete at least 60 credits to be eligible for award.

5.21 Level-0 subjects and training subjects (including clinical/field training) will not be counted to fulfill free elective requirement for graduation purpose.

For Senior Year Students

- 5.22 A student is eligible for award if he/she satisfies all the conditions listed below:
 - (i) Complete successfully an accumulation of 64 credits* + 6 IC training credits for the award;
 - (ii) Earn a cumulative GPA of 2.00 or above at graduation;
 - (iii) Complete successfully the mandatory Work-Integrated Education (WIE) component;

(iv) Satisfy the following GUR requirements;

| (a) Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) | 6 credits |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (b) China Studies Requirement | (3 of the 6 CAR credits) |
| (c) Service-Learning | 3 credits |
| | Total = 9 credits |

* Those students not meeting the equivalent standard of the Undergraduate Degree LCR (based on their previous studies in AD/HD programme and their academic performance) will be required to take degree LCR subjects on top of the normal curriculum requirement. Non-Chinese speakers and those students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below will by default be exempted from the DSR - Chinese and CAR - Chinese Reading and Writing requirements. However, this group of students would still be required to take one Chinese LCR subject to fulfil their Chinese LCR.

- (v) Satisfy the residential requirement for at least 1/3 of the credits to be completed for the award he/she is currently enrolled in PolyU; and
- (vi) Satisfy any other requirements as specified in the definitive programme document and as specified by the University.
- 5.23 There are subjects which are designed to fulfil the credit requirement of different types of subject. Students passing these subjects will be regarded as having fulfilled the credit requirements of the particular types of subject concerned. Nevertheless, the subject passed will only be counted once in fulfilling the credit requirements of the award, and the students will be required to take another subject in order to meet the total credit requirement of the programme concerned.
- 5.24 Remedial and underpinning subjects are designed for new students who are in need of additional preparations in a particular subject area, and only identified students of a programme are required to take these subjects. These subjects should therefore be counted outside the regular credit requirement for award.

5.25 In addition, students may be required to take subjects that are designed to enhance their skills in particular subject areas to underpin their further advanced study in the discipline. These underpinning subjects could be of different subject areas (e.g. Mathematics, science subjects), and the number of credits each student is required to take in a particular underpinning subject area may vary according to the different academic backgrounds of the students. With effect from the 2015/16 intake cohort, the regular credit requirement for award will count the lowest number of credits taken by the students in the same subject area. For example, some students in an engineering programme are required to take 10 credits of underpinning subjects in Mathematics. Only 6 credits will be recognized for counting towards the regular credit requirement of the programme. The extra 4 credits taken by some students will be counted outside the regular credit requirement.

5.26 In the case that students have already taken certain subject(s) in their previous Associate Degree/Higher Diploma studies, exemption may be given from these subjects and students should take other electives (including free electives) instead to make up the minimum of 60 credits required. For students who are exceptionally admitted before 2017/18 on the basis of academic qualification(s) more advanced than Associate Degree/Higher Diploma, such as the advanced stage of a 4-year degree curriculum programme, Departments can continue to grant credit transfer as appropriate when admitting them to an Articulation Degree programme, so as to give recognition to the advanced study taken. These students can take fewer than 60 credits for attaining the award. The proportion of these students should remain low. As from the 2017/18 intake cohort, all students admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senor Year curriculum, irrespective of the entry qualifications they held when applying for admission to the programmes, are required to complete at least 60 credits to be eligible for awards.

- 5.27 Level-0 subjects and training subjects (including clinical/field training) will not be counted to fulfill free elective requirement for graduation purpose.
- 5.28 A student is required to graduate as soon as he/she satisfies the graduation requirements as stipulated in 5.16 and 5.22 above. The student concerned is required to apply for graduation, in the semester in which he/she is able to fulfil all his/her graduation requirements, and after the add/drop period for that semester has ended.

STUDENTS TAKING THE MAJOR/MINOR OPTION

- 5.29 Students taking the Major/Minor option will be considered for an award when they have satisfied the requirements for both the Major and Minor studies (i.e. having a GPA of 2.0 or above) and have also submitted an application for graduation. If the 18 credits taken for the approved Minor study can meet the requirements for that Minor, the Major students may apply to graduate with a specific Minor, in addition to their Major. Otherwise, students will graduate with a Major only.
- 5.30 Subject to approval by the Minor-offering Department, students may count up to 6 credits from their Major/GUR [including Language Communication Requirements (LCR) subjects at proficiency level] towards their chosen Minor. Nevertheless, students must take at least 6 credits from their chosen Minor programme in order to satisfy the residential requirement of their chosen Minor. In addition, to be eligible for the Major and Minor awards, the total number of credits taken by the students for their Major-Minor studies must not be lower than the credit requirement of the single discipline Major programme.

STUDENTS TAKING THE DOUBLE MAJORS OPTION

5.31 Students are required to obtain an overall GPA of at least 2.0, in order to satisfy the requirement for graduation with Double Majors. They will not be allowed to graduate with one of the 2 Majors. The total credit requirement of Double Major will depend on the degree of commonality between the two Majors. Apart from the 30 credits if GUR subjects, up to 1/3 of the DSR of the first Major which are common to the second Major can be double-counted towards the second Major.

GUIDELINES FOR AWARD CLASSIFICATION

5.32 To help the Board of Examiners in arriving at award classification decisions, a weighted GPA will be computed for each student upon completion of the programme. The Weighted GPA will be computed as follows:

Weighted GPA =
$$\frac{\sum_{n} \text{Subject Grade Point x Subject Credit Value x W}_{i}}{\sum_{n} \text{Subject Credit Value x W}_{i}}$$

where Wi = weighting to be assigned according to the level of the subject

n = number of all subjects counted in GPA calculation as set out in paragraph 5.6, except those exclusions that any subjects passed after the graduation requirement has been met will not be taken into account of in the grade point calculation for award classification.

For calculating the weighted GPA (and award GPA) to determine the Honours classification of students who satisfy the graduation requirements of Bachelor's degree awards, a University-wide standard weighting will be applied to all subjects of the same level, with a weighting of $\underline{2}$ for Level 1 and 2 subjects, a weighting of $\underline{3}$ for Level 3 and 4 subjects. Same as for GPA, Weighted GPA is capped at 4.0.

- 5.33 The contribution of each subject towards the weighted GPA depends on the product of the credits assigned and the level weighting. The weighted GPA will be used as one of the factors to be considered by the Board of Examiners in the determination of the award classifications.
- 5.34 Any subjects passed after the graduation requirement has been met will <u>not</u> be taken into account of in the grade point calculation for award classification.

STUDENTS TAKING THE MAJOR/MINOR STUDIES

5.35 For students who have completed a Major/Minor programme, a single classification will be awarded and their award classification will mainly be based on the "Major GPA", but it can be moderated by the Board of Examiners with reference to the "Minor GPA". For students who have completed a Major programme combined with free electives, their award classification will be determined by their "Major GPA" which includes grades obtained for the free electives, if appropriate.

- 5.36 "Major GPA" is derived based on all subjects of the Major programme, including those meeting the mandatory General University Requirements (GUR) and programme-specific language requirement, but not necessarily including the training credits.
- 5.37 "Minor GPA" is derived based on the 18 credits of specific Minor programme. "Minor GPA" is unweighted.
- 5.38 The "Major GPA" and the "Minor GPA" will be presented separately to the Board of Examiners for consideration. The guidelines for determining award classification applicable to programmes with Major/Minor studies.
- 5.39 Where a student has a high GPA for his/her Major but a lower GPA for his/her Minor, he/she will not be 'penalised' in respect of his/her award classification, which is attached to the Major. On the other hand, if a student has a lower GPA for his/her Major than his GPA for the Minor, the Board of Examiners may consider giving the student a higher award classification than with reference to his/her Major GPA.

STUDENTS TAKING THE DOUBLE MAJORS OPTION

5.40 The derivation of GPA for award classification for the First Major and Second Major (particularly on the counting of subjects common to both Majors) will be decided by the Department offering the Major programme. Students will be given two award parchments, one for each Major programme, which will be issued upon completion of both Majors. The honours classification of the two Major awards need not be identical.

CLASSIFICATION OF AWARDS

5.41 The following are guidelines for Board of Examiners' reference in determining award classifications:

| Honours degrees | Guidelines |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1st Class Honours | The student's performance/attainment is outstanding , and identifies him/her as exceptionally able in the field covered by the programme in question. |
| 2nd Class Honours (Division 1) | The student has reached a standard of performance which is more than satisfactory but less than outstanding . |
| 2nd Class Honours (Division 2) | The student has reached a standard of performance judged to be satisfactory, and clearly higher than the 'essential minimum' required for graduation. |
| Third Class Honours | The student has attained the 'essential minimum' required for graduation at a standard ranging from just adequate to just satisfactory. |

5.42 Under exceptional circumstances, a student who has completed an Honours degree programme, but has not attained Honours standard, may be awarded a Pass-without-Honours

degree. A Pass-without-Honours degree award will be recommended, when the student has demonstrated a level of final attainment which is below the 'essential minimum' required for graduation with Honours from the programme in question, but when he/she has nonetheless covered the prescribed work of the programmes in an adequate fashion, while failing to show sufficient evidence of the intellectual calibre expected of Honours degree graduates.

- 5.43 Students who have committed academic dishonesty will be subject to the penalty of the lowering of award classification by one level. For undergraduate students who should be awarded a Third class Honours degree, they will be downgraded to a Pass-without-Honours. The minimum of downgraded overall result will be kept at a Pass. In rare circumstances where both the Student Discipline Committee and Board of Examiners of a department consider that there are strong justifications showing the offence be less serious, the requirement for lowering the award classification can be waived.
- 5.44 The following tables may also be used as a reference for the Board of Examiners in determining award classifications:

| Honours degrees | Award GPA | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1st Class Honours | 3.7 ⁺ to 4.0 | | | |
| 2 nd Class Honours (Division 1) | 3.2^+ to 3.7^- | | | |
| 2 nd Class Honours (Division 2) | 2.3^+ to 3.2^- | | | |
| Third Class Honours | 2.0 ⁺ to 2.3 ⁻ | | | |

"+" sign denotes 'equal to and more than'; "-" sign denotes 'less than'.

5.45 There is no requirement for Boards of Examiners to produce award lists which conform to the guidelines in above.

VALIDITY OF CREDITS

5.46 The validity period of credits earned is eight years from the year of attainment, i.e. the year in which the subject is completed. Credits earned from previous studies should remain valid at the time when the student applies for credit transfer.

RETAKING OF SUBJECTS

- 5.47 Students <u>may</u> retake any subject for the purpose of improving their grade without having to seek approval, but they <u>must</u> retake a compulsory subject which they have failed, i.e. obtained an F grade. However, students who have passed a General University Requirements (GUR) subject are not allowed to re-take the <u>same</u> GUR subject for the purpose of improving their grade. Retaking of subjects is with the condition that the maximum study load of 21 credits per semester is not exceeded. Students wishing to retake passed subjects will be accorded a lower priority than those who are required to retake (due to failure in a compulsory subject) and can only do so if places are available.
- 5.48 The number of retakes of a failed subject is not restricted. Only the grade obtained in the final attempt of retaking (even if the retake grade is lower than the original grade for originally passed subject) will be included in the calculation of the Grade Point Average (GPA). If

students have passed a subject but failed after retake, credits accumulated for passing the subject in a previous attempt will remain valid for satisfying the credit requirement for award. (The grades obtained in previous attempts will only be reflected in transcript of studies.)

5.49 In cases where a student takes another subject to replace a failed elective subject, the fail grade will be taken into account in the calculation of the GPA, despite the passing of the replacement subject. Likewise, students who fail a Cluster Area Requirement (CAR) subject may need to take another subject from the same Cluster Area in order to fulfill this part of the GUR, since the original CAR subject may not be offered; in such cases, the fail grade for the first CAR subject will be taken into account in the calculation of the GPA, despite the passing of the second CAR subject.

ABSENCE FROM AN ASSESSMENT COMPONENT

- 5.50 If a student is unable to complete all the assessment components of a subject, due to illness or other circumstances which are beyond his/her control and considered by the subject offering department as legitimate, the Department will determine whether the student will have to complete a late assessment and, if so, by what means. This late assessment shall take place at the earliest opportunity, and before the commencement of the following academic year (except that for Summer Term, which may take place within 3 weeks after the finalisation of Summer Term results). If the late assessment cannot be completed before the commencement of the following academic year, the Faculty Board Chairman shall decide on an appropriate time for completion of the late assessment.
- 5.51 The student concerned is required to submit his/her application for late assessment in writing to the Head of Department offering the subject, with five working days from the date of the examination, together with any supporting documents. Approval of applications for late assessment and the means for such late assessments shall be given by the Head of Department offering the subject or the Subject Lecturer concerned, in consultation with the Programme Leader.

ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED

5.52 For cases where students fail marginally in one of the components within a subject, the BoE can defer making a final decision until the students concerned have completed the necessary remedial work to the satisfaction of the subject examiner(s). The remedial work must not take the form of re-examination.

AEGROTAT AWARD

- 5.53 If a student is unable to complete the requirements of the programme in question the award, due to very serious illness, or other very special circumstances which are beyond his/her control, and are considered by the Board of Examiners as legitimate, the Faculty/School Board will determine whether the student will be granted aegrotat award. Aegrotat award will be granted under very exceptional circumstances.
- 5.54 A student who has been offered an aegrotat award shall have the right to choose either to accept such an award or request to be assessed on another occasion as stipulated by the Board of Examiners, the student's exercise of this option shall be irrevocable. The acceptance of an aegrotat award by a student shall disqualify him/her from any subsequent assessment for the same award. An aegrotat award shall normally not be classified, and the award parchment

shall not state that it is an aegrotat award. However, the Board of Examiners may determine whether the award should be classified provided they have adequate information on the students' academic performance.

OTHER PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES

5.55 A student's particular circumstances may influence the procedures for assessment but not the standard of performance expected in assessment.

RECORDING OF DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS IN STUDENTS' RECORDS

- 5.56 With effect from Semester One of 2015/16, disciplinary actions against students' misconducts will be recorded in students' records.
- 5.57 Students who are found guilty of academic dishonesty will be subject to the penalty of having the subject result concerned disqualified and be given a failure grade with a remark denoting 'Disqualification of result due to academic dishonesty'. The remark will be shown in the students' record as well as the assessment result notification and transcript of studies, until their leaving the University.
- 5.58 Students who have committed disciplinary offences (covering both academic and non-academic related matters) will be put on 'disciplinary probation'. The status of 'disciplinary probation' will be shown in the students' record as well as the assessment result notification, transcript of studies and testimonial during the probation period, until their leaving the University. The disciplinary probation is normally one year unless otherwise decided by the Student Discipline Committee.
- 5.59 The University reserves the right to withhold the issuance of any certificate of study to a student who has unsettled matters with the University, or subject to disciplinary action.

SECTION 6 - PROGRAMME OPERATION AND CONTROL

FREQUENCY OF SUBJECTS TO BE OFFERED

6.1 Subjects are normally offered once a year. There are however, several common subjects shared by other programmes in the PolyU which may be available in both Semester's 1 and 2. Subject to the availability of resources, the Department will attempt to offer as many subjects as possible in both semesters.

DAYTIME, EVENING AND SUMMER TEACHING

6.2 Most of the subjects listed in the programme will be offered in the daytime. In some circumstances, subjects will be offered during the evenings where the identical subject is within the curriculum of a part-time evening programme. There will be no summer term teaching (with the exception of IC training at the Industrial Centre), subjects will only be offered only in Semester's 1 and 2.

SUBJECT REGISTRATION AND WITHDRAWAL

6.3 In addition to programme registration, students need to register for the subjects at specified periods prior to the commencement of the semester. Students may apply for withdrawal of their registration on a subject after the add/drop period if they have a genuine need to do so. The application should be made to the relevant programme offering Department and will require the approval of both the subject lecturer and the Programme Leader concerned. Application submitted after the commencement of the examination period will not be considered. For approved applications of subject withdrawal, the tuition fee paid for the subject will be forfeited and the withdrawal status of the subject will be shown in the examination result notification and transcript of studies but will not be counted towards the calculation of GPA.

STUDY LOAD

- 6.4 For students following the progression pattern specified for their programme, they have to take the number of credits and subjects, as specified in this document, for each semester. Students cannot drop those subjects assigned by the Department unless prior approval has been given by the Department.
- 6.5 The normal study load is 15 credits in a semester. The maximum study load to be taken by a student in a semester is 21 credits, unless exceptional approval is given by the Head of the programme offering Department. For such cases, students should be reminded that the study load approved should not be taken as grounds for academic appeal.
- 6.6 To help improve the academic performance of students on academic probation, these students will be required to take a reduced study load in the following semester (Summer Term excluded). The maximum number of credits to be taken by the students varies according to the policies of individual Departments and will be subject to the approval of the authorities concerned.
- 6.7 Students are not allowed to take zero subjects in any semester, including the mandatory summer term as required by some programmes, unless they have obtained prior approval from the programme offering Department, otherwise they will be classified as having unofficially withdrawn from their programme of study. Students who have been approved for zero subject enrolment (i.e. taking zero subject in a semester) are allowed to retain their student status and

continue using campus facilities and library facilities. Any semesters in which students are allowed zero subjects will be counted towards the maximum period of registration. Students will be responsible for ensuring that they complete their programme of study within the maximum period of registration. The latter are shown in Table 6.1 below.

SUBJECT EXEMPTION

6.8 Students may be exempted from taking any specified subjects, including mandatory General University Requirements (GUR) subjects, if they have successfully completed similar subjects previously in another programme or have demonstrated the level of proficiency/ability to the satisfaction of the subject offering Department. Subject exemption is normally decided by the subject offering Department. However, for applications which are submitted by students who have completed an approved student exchange programme, the subject exemption is to be decided by the programme offering Department in consultation with the subject offering Departments. In case of disagreement between the programme offering Department and the subject offering Department, the two Faculty Deans/School Board Chairmen concerned will make a final decision jointly on the application. If students are exempted from taking a specified subject, the credits associated with the exempted subject will not be counted towards meeting the award requirements (except for exemptions granted at admission stage). It will therefore be necessary for the students to consult the programme offering Department and take another subject in order to satisfy the credit requirement for the award.

CREDIT TRANSFER

- 6.9 Students may be given credits for recognised previous studies including mandatory General University Requirements (GUR) subjects; and the credits will be counted towards meeting the requirements for award. Transferred credits may be counted towards more than one award. The granting of credit transfer is a matter of academic judgment.
- 6.10 Credit transfer may be done with or without the grade being carried over; the former should normally be used when the credits were gained from PolyU. Credit transfer with the grade being carried over may be granted for subjects taken from outside the University, if deemed appropriate, and with due consideration to the academic equivalence of the subjects concerned and the comparability of the grading systems adopted by the University and the other approved institutions. Subject credit transfer is normally decided by the subject offering Department. However, for applications which are submitted by students who have completed an approved student exchange programme, the decision will be made by the programme offering Department in consultation with the subject offering Departments.
- 6.11 The validity period of credits previously earned is up to 8 years after the year of attainment.
- 6.12 Normally, not more than 50% of the credit requirement for award may be transferable from approved institutions outside the University. For transfer of credits from programmes offered by PolyU, normally not more than 67% of the credit requirement for award can be transferred. In cases where both types of credits are being transferred (i.e. from programmes offered by PolyU and from approved institutions outside the University), not more than 50% of the credit requirement for award may be transferred. The 50% and 67% ceiling is also applicable to Minor programme, i.e. credit transfer can be given for not more than 9 credits of a Minor programme if the previous credits were earned from approved institutions outside of the university; and not more than 12 credits of a Minor programme if the previous credits of a Minor programme offered by PolyU. For students admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senior Year curriculum which is already a reduced curriculum, they should not be given credit transfer for award. Students exceptionally admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senior Year

curriculum before 2017/18 based on qualification more advanced than Associate Degree/Higher Diploma may be given credit transfer for the required GUR subjects if they had completed comparable components in their earlier studies. These students can take fewer than 60 credits for attaining the award. As from the 2017/18 intake cohort, all students admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senior Year curriculum, irrespective of the entry qualifications they held when applying for admission to the programmes, are required to complete at least 60 credits to be eligible for award.

- 6.13 If a student is waived from a particular stage of study on the basis of advanced qualifications held at the time of admission, the student concerned will be required to complete fewer credits for award. For these students, the 'deducted' credits at admission stage will be counted towards the maximum limit for credit transfer when students apply for further credit transfer after their admission. This also applies to students admitted to an Articulation Degree or Senior Year curriculum when they claim further credit transfer after admission.
- 6.14 Credit transfer can be applicable to credits earned by students through study at an overseas institution under an approved exchange programme. Students should, before they go abroad for the exchange programme, seek prior approval from the programme offering Department (who will consult the subject offering Departments as appropriate) on their study plan and credit transferability.
- 6.15 All credit transfers approved will take effect only in the semester for which they are approved. A student who applies for transfer of credits during the re-enrolment or the add/drop period of a particular semester will only be eligible for graduation at the end of that semester, even if the granting of credit transfer will immediately enable the student to satisfy the credit requirement for the award.
- 6.16 Regarding credit transfer for GUR subjects, the Programme Host Department is the approval authority at the time of admission to determine the number of GUR credits which an Advanced Standing student will be required to complete for the award concerned. Programme Host Departments should make reference to the mapping lists of GUR subjects, compiled by the Committee on General University Requirements (CoGUR), on the eligibility of the subjects which can qualify as GUR subjects. Applications for credit transfer of GUR subjects after admission will be considered, on a case-by-case basis, by the Subject Offering Department or Office of General University Requirements (OGUR)/Office of Service Learning (OSL), in consultation with the relevant Sub-committee(s) under CoGUR, as appropriate.
- 6.17 For credit transfer of retaken subjects, the grade attained in the last attempt should be taken in the case of credit transfer with grade being carried over. Students applying for credit transfer for a subject taken in other institutions are required to declare that the subject grade used for claiming credit transfer was attained in the last attempt of the subject in their previous studies. If a student fails in the last attempt of a retaken subject, no credit transfer should be granted, despite the fact that the student may have attained a pass grade for the subject in the earlier attempts.
- 6.18 Students should not be granted credit transfer for a subject which they have attempted and failed in their current study unless the subject was taken by the student as an exchange-out student in his current programme.

DEFERMENT OF STUDY

6.19 Students may apply for deferment of study if they have a genuine need to do so such as illness or posting to work outside Hong Kong. Approval from the Department is required. The deferment period will not count towards the maximum period of registration.

REGISTRATION PERIOD

6.20 Subjects within the programme will be offered often enough to enable students entering the programme with the minimum admission requirements and undertaking the normal study pattern to complete the award requirements within the normal duration; this is specified below in Table 6.1.

TABLE 6.1 - NORMAL STUDY DURATION AND MAXIMUM REGISTRATION

| Award | Normal Duration | Maximum Registration Period | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| BSc(Hons) in Logistics Engineering with Management | 4 Years | 8 Years | |
| BSc(Hons) in Enterprise Engineering with Management | 4 1 ears | 0 1 ears | |

DEPARTMENTAL UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE

6.21 The Head of Department can decide on the composition of the Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee. The Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee will meet at least twice a year, and additionally at the request of the Chairman or of one-third of its membership or of the Chairman of the Senate. It will exercise the overall academic and operational responsibility for the programme and its development within defined policies, procedures and regulations.

The Committee will be specifically responsible for the following:

- (i) the effective conduct, organisation and development of the programme;
- (ii) stimulation of the development of teaching methods and programme materials, through Heads of Departments, Theme Group Leaders, and the Educational Development Centre, as appropriate;
- (iii) review of academic regulations, admission policy, assessment and examination methods;
- (iv) formal submissions to appropriate professional bodies, normally via the Head of the host Department and in accord with the University's established procedures;
- (v) the continuing critical review of the rationale, aims, intended learning outcomes (ILOs) and the alignment of teaching, learning and assessment with the ILOs, programme learning outcomes assessment and its results, and the improvement and development of the programme(s);
- (vi) definition and maintenance of the programme's academic standard;
- (vii) ensuring that the views of students and other key stakeholders on the programme are known and taken into account;
- (viii) evaluation of the operation, health and progress of the programme as defined in the University's programme review procedures.

PROGRAMME LEADER

6.22 A Programme Leader will normally be a member of the programme offering Department and be appointed by the Head of Department. The appointment will be subject to the confirmation by the Chairman of the appropriate Faculty Board. In the unavoidable absence of a Programme Leader, an acting Programme Leader will be appointed by the Head of the programme offering Department. A Programme Leader is accountable in day-to-day operational terms to the Head of Department; and will normally hold office for a full cycle of the programme, but can then be considered for re-nomination. The Programme Leader will provide the academic and organizational leadership for the programme.

PROGRAMME EXECUTIVE GROUP

6.23 For programmes which are substantial, e.g. in scale, in the range of subjects or complexity, a small Programme Executive Group, would normally manage the day-to-day operation of the programme within the agreed scheme. The Group would operate informally, be organized by the Programme Leader and typically include staff with key programme responsibilities. For relatively simple programmes, the Programme Leaders would manage the day-to-day operation of the programmes.

THEME GROUP LEADERS

6.24 Theme Group Leaders are senior members of academic staff appointed by the Head of Department. They are responsible for the activities and development of subjects within a theme group which are part of the curricula of the programmes offered by the Department.

ACADEMIC ADVISOR

- 6.25 All full-time undergraduate students (including those admitted to Articulation Programmes or Senior Year Places) will be assigned to one full-time academic staff (normally at the Lecturer grade or above) from his/her Major Department who will act as his/her academic advisor throughout his/her course of study at PolyU.
- 6.26 The main responsibilities of the academic advisor will include:
 - Building rapport with the student, serving as a bridge that connects them to the Department,
 - Being accessible and available to students, and responding to their questions and concerns,
 - Helping students to consider and clarify their intellectual, professional and personal goals,
 - Helping students to develop an appropriate study plan (particular with regard to their Major), and assisting in their selection of appropriate courses to achieve their identified goals,
 - Clarifying to students academic regulations and requirements, particularly those relating to the Major,
 - Identifying students with special learning needs or early signs of learning problem, and referring/encouraging them to seek help or support.
- 6.27 Academic advisors are expected to keep in contact with their student advisees regularly (e.g., via emails or other means), and to have at least one face-to-face meeting with them, either individual or in small groups, during the academic year. Student advisees are expected to consult their respective advisors on their study plan before subject registration.

- 6.28 Effective academic advising requires an active participation of student advisees in the processes. It is important that students understand it is their responsibilities to:
 - Understand the academic regulations and requirements of their chosen programme of study and/or its Major, as well as the GUR requirements,
 - Actively obtain information, and seek out advisors and resources on a regular basis and as needed,
 - Take the final responsibility for making decisions and choices regarding their academic study based on the information and advice given.

STUDENT/STAFF CONSULTATIVE GROUP

- 6.29 The importance of assessing students' opinion on the organisation and running of the programme on a continual basis is recognised and formal arrangements for this purpose are in place. The Group should have equal numbers of students and staff, that student membership should include all years of study under the normal progression pattern and other major student groupings, and that staff membership should cover all the main subject areas and activities of the programme. A member of staff may chair the Group. The Group is to discuss any matters directly related to the programme, and to report or make recommendations, as deemed necessary, to the Programme Committee. Meetings are usually held once per semester.
- 6.30 It is important that students do not perceive meetings of the Group as the only or main channel for dealing with student problems and complaints accumulated since the last meeting. Such matters would be dealt with when they occurred, through the Programme Leader or other appropriate staff. This would allow meetings of the Group to be used for constructive discussion of the programme in general, of the demands of the programme on students, and of possible improvements.

SECTION 7 - PROGRAMME EVALUATION AND DEVELOPMENT

- 7.1 The programme evaluation and development procedures are intended to assess the:
 - (i) extent to which the aims and objectives are being met and what measures need to be taken to remedy any deficiencies identified, and
 - (ii) continuing relevance of the aims and subject objectives and the ways they need to be modified to take account of technological change and the development of Hong Kong's industries.
- 7.2 The programme evaluation procedures are conducted at two levels: firstly at the Programme Executive Group/Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee level continuously through the year and secondly to the Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee/Departmental Academic Advisor level at the end of each year. The first level is described in Section 6 of this document and the other below.
- 7.3 The Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee holds its Annual Programme Review Meeting each year after the BoE has met as described in Section 5 of the DPD. The issues described in Section 6 are considered, particularly as revealed by the examination performance, and recommendations for action are made to remedy any deficiencies identified. Following the Annual Programme Review Meeting the Programme Leader submits the Annual Programme Report (which is encapsulated as part of the Annual Operation Plan) to the Engineering Faculty Board each year which, for the previous academic year,
 - (i) summarises the operation of the programme,
 - (ii) lists any modifications that are deemed necessary, and
 - (iii) makes proposals for substantial changes to the structure or content of the programme, or for changes with significant resource implications.
- 7.4 The Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee adopts a policy of continuous improvement and is continuously evaluating the effectiveness and relevance of the Programme. This policy of continuous improvement includes soliciting the views of the Department's Advisory Committee, local industrialists, past graduates and the Departmental Academic Advisor.
- 7.5 The Programme is subject to an evaluation, normally every six years, as part of the PolyU's Departmental Review exercise. This is external to the Department and makes a critical appraisal of the standing, progress and future of all programmes that a department operates. The policy of continuous improvement as mentioned 7.4 attempts to render a major in-depth programme appraisal unnecessary prior to a Departmental Review.

SECTION 8 – SUBJECT SYLLABUSES AND PROJECTS

8.1 Syllabuses for all subjects and projects of the programme are listed in Table 8. Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering subjects are listed first, followed by subjects serviced by other departments. The subject coordinators for the ISE subjects will be updated regularly. Please access the departmental website <u>https://www.polyu.edu.hk/ise/current-students/programme-related-info/subject-syllabus</u> for the updated list.

| Level | Code | Subject/Project | Page |
|-------------|------------------|---|------|
| Subject | ts offered by D | epartment of Industrial and Systems Engineering | 8-3 |
| 2 | ISE2001 | Introduction to Enterprise Computing | 8-4 |
| 2 | ISE246 | Introduction to Logistics Engineering | 8-6 |
| 2 | ISE247 | Fundamental of Enterprise Systems | 8-9 |
| 3 | ISE3001 | Operations Research I | 8-12 |
| 3 3 | ISE3002 | Planning of Production and Service Systems | 8-15 |
| 3 | ISE3005 | Knowledge Management Systems and Applications | 8-18 |
| 3 | ISE318 | Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods | 8-22 |
| 3 | ISE328 | Technology and Applications of E-Business Systems | 8-25 |
| 3 | ISE369 | Quality Engineering | 8-28 |
| 3 | ISE373 | Packaging and Storage Technology | 8-31 |
| | ISE374 | Logistics Facility Design | 8-34 |
| 3 3 3 | ISE375 | Enterprise Systems Modeling and Design | 8-37 |
| 3 | ISE376 | Entrepreneurship and Innovation | 8-40 |
| 4 | ISE4004 | Enterprise Resources Planning | 8-44 |
| 4 | ISE4006 | Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and | 8-47 |
| | | Management | |
| 4 | ISE4008 | Individual Project | 8-50 |
| 4 | ISE431 | Engineering Costing and Evaluation | 8-54 |
| 4 | ISE448 | Production Logistics | 8-57 |
| 4 | ISE449 | Mobile Technologies for Logistics Systems | 8-60 |
| 4 | ISE450 | Simulation of Logistics Systems | 8-63 |
| 4 | ISE457 | Business Process Management | 8-67 |
| 4 | ISE460 | Logistics Information Management | 8-70 |
| 4 | ISE461 | Green Legislation and Supply Chain Logistics | 8-73 |
| | | | |
| Subject | ts offered by So | chool of Accounting and Finance | 8-77 |
| 2 | AF2111 | Accounting for Decision Making | 8-78 |
| 3 | AF3625 | Engineering Economics | 8-81 |
| <u> </u> | | | 0.04 |
| Subject | • | partment of Applied Mathematics | 8-84 |
| 1 | AMA1110 | Basic Mathematics I – Calculus and Probability & Statistics | 8-85 |
| | | | 1 |
| Subject | t offered by De | partment of Applied Social Sciences | 8-87 |
| 1 | APSS1L01 | Tomorrow's Leaders | 8-88 |

TABLE 8 - SYLLABUS INDEX

TABLE 8 - SYLLABUS INDEX (Continued)

| Level | Code | Subject/Project | Page |
|--------|------------------|--|-------|
| Subjec | ts offered by De | partment of Chinese and Bilingual Studies | 8-97 |
| 1 | CBS1104C/P | University Chinese | 8-98 |
| 3 | CBS3241P | Professional Communication in Chinese | 8-102 |
| | | | |
| Subjec | ts offered by En | glish Language Centre | 8-105 |
| 1 | ELC1011 | Practical English for University Studies | 8-106 |
| 1 | ELC1012/3 | English for University Studies | 8-109 |
| 2 | ELC2011 | Advanced English Reading and Writing Skills | 8-112 |
| 2 | ELC2012 | Persuasive Communication | 8-115 |
| 2 | ELC2013 | English in Literature and Film | 8-118 |
| 2 | ELC2014 | Advanced English for University Studies | 8-121 |
| 3 | ELC3521 | Professional Communication in English | 8-125 |
| | | | |
| Subjec | ts offered by Fa | culty of Engineering | 8-129 |
| 1 | ENG1003 | Freshman Seminar for Engineering | 8-130 |
| 2 | ENG2003 | Information Technology | 8-134 |
| 3 | ENG3004 | Society and the Engineer | 8-137 |
| 4 | ENG4001 | Project Management | 8-141 |
| | | | |
| Subjec | ts offered by De | partment of Logistics and Maritime Studies | 8-144 |
| 2 | LGT2009 | Introduction to Shipping and Transport Logistics Operations | 8-145 |
| 3 | LGT3102 | Management Science | 8-149 |
| 4 | LGT4106 | Supply Chain Management | 8-152 |
| 4 | LGT4115 | E-commerce and Logistics | 8-155 |
| | | | |
| Subjec | ts offered by De | partment of Management and Marketing | 8-158 |
| 1 | MM1L01 | Tango! Managing Self & Leading Others | 8-159 |
| 2 | MM2021 | Management and Organisation | 8-169 |
| 2 | MM2711 | Introduction to Marketing | 8-173 |
| 3 | MM3111 | Human Resource Management | 8-177 |
| 4 | MM4311 | Strategic Management | 8-182 |

Subjects offered by Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering

| Subject Code | ISE2001 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Introduction to Enterprise Computing | | | | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | | | | |
| Level | 2 | | | | | | |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil | | | | | | |
| Objectives | This subject enables students to | | | | | | |
| | 1. understand the fundamentals and working knowledge in the application of enterprise computing in the running and operation of a company-wide and enterprise-wide business; | | | | | | |
| | 2. develop their ability to produce e-solutions. | | | | | | |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to | | | | | | |
| Outcomes | a. understand the basic concept of enterprise computing and how it supports company-wide and enterprise-wide business operation; | | | | | | |
| | b. understand basic computing technologies; | | | | | | |
| | c. apply computing technologies to implement e-solutions. | | | | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Introduction to Business Enterprise and Enterprise Computing From mainframe to network computing; Client/Server computing; Group- wise electronic messaging, document management systems, and corporate database systems; HTML; XML; VBScript; ASP; PHP; Application of company-wide and enterprise-wide computing | | | | | | |
| | 2. <u>Development of Enterprise Applications</u> | | | | | | |
| | Development of e-solutions based on applications software; Static and dynamic Webpage; Electronic publishing; Scripting language; Introduction to multimedia; Use of reporting tools; Web programming tools for e-solutions | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorials, in-class exercises, laboratory exercises, and a mini-project are used to deliver the topics. Lectures are conducted to enable students to understand the concepts and techniques of enterprise computing which are reinforced by in-class exercises. Practical problems are raised as a focal point for discussion in tutorial classes. Lab sessions and exercises are conducted to enable students to reflect on and apply the knowledge learned. The mini-project enables students to learn how to apply the knowledge to application-oriented projects through teamwork. | | | | | | |

| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|-----------------|---------|--------|----|---------|--------|
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intene be as | outcon | nes to | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | | | |
| | 1. In-class Exercises | 25% | | ~ | | | | |
| | 2. Laboratory Exercises | 10% | | | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Mini-project | 25% | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| | 4. Quizzes | 40% | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | Continuous assessments consist of in-class exercises, lab exercises, a mini- project, and quizzes, which are designed to facilitate students to achieve intended learning outcomes. All assessment components require students to apply computing technologies delivered in class to real-life cases and to implement e-solutions. The mini-project requires students to identify a real-life case of e-business, analyze the case, and design and implement the e-solution by using computing technologies. Quizzes are designed to facilitate students' review in relation to the breadth and depth of their understanding. | | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures 3 hours/week for 5 weeks; 2 hours/week for 6 weeks | | | | | | 27 Hrs. | |
| | Tutorials 1 hour/week for 6 weeks | | | | | ks | 6 Hrs. | |
| | Laboratories | 3 h | ours/w | eek for | 2 wee | ks | | 6 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for the mini-project, project presentation, project report, and quizzes | | | | | | 7 | 7 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort116 | | | | | | 6 Hrs. | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Kroenke, D and Auer, D 2013, <i>Database Concepts</i> , 6 th edn, Prentice Hall | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Harvey & Paul Deitel & AssociatesHarvey DeitelAbbey Deitel 2012, Internet and World Wide Web How To Program, 5/E, Pearson | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Comer, D 2006, Internet Book, The Everything You Need to Know About Computer Networking and How the Internet Works, 4 th edn, Prentice Hall | | | | | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE246 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Introduction to Logistics Engineering |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with |
| | 1. the basic concepts and practices in logistics engineering; |
| | 2. the knowledge of common logistics problems and solution techniques; |
| | 3. the enabling technologies that are likely to drive logistics progress in the near future; |
| | 4. the concepts and emerging trends of e-commerce logistics business; |
| | 5. an opportunity to understand the role of Hong Kong as the logistics and transportation hub of South China and the Pearl River Delta region. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. appreciate logistics activities involved in running a logistics system and the required enabling technologies; |
| | b. formulate strategic solutions applied in warehouse management in order to enhance productivity and accounting control issues; |
| | c. identify and evaluate the role of logistics and transportation in today's e- commerce business; |
| | d. understand the issues of transportation mode, customs clearance, intermodal operations, logistics parks, and cold chain in Hong Kong; |
| | e. apply RFID equipment, standards, and related solutions on logistics operations in order to streamline the logistics workflow. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Introduction</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | Logistics from a historical perspective; Economic impact of logistics; Logistics engineering tool chest; Logistics as an integrating function |
| | 2. Logistics Activities |
| | Customer service; Purchasing and sourcing; Demand forecasting; Facility location and layout; Inventory management; Material handling and |

| | material flow; Warehousing; Distribution networks; Transportation systems overview | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|---|---|---|----|------------------|
| | 3. <u>Enabling Technologies</u> | | | | | | | |
| | Tracking technologies; Electronic connectivity and software; Reliability maintainability, and supportability in logistics; Funding and justifying logistics activities; Logistics and the Internet 4. <u>Emerging and Growing Trends</u> Global logistics concerns; Outsourcing and 3PLs; Logistics in service industries; Current and future logistics research needs; E-fulfillment in distribution centers; Hong Kong's role as the logistics and transportation hub | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorials, laboratory exercises, and case studies are used to deliver the various topics in this subject, some of which are covered in a problem-based format, thereby enhancing the learning objectives. Others are covered through directed study in order to enhance the students' ability of "learning to learn." | | | | | | | l in a rs are |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | |
| | | | a | b | с | d | e | |
| | 1. Laboratory Exercises | 20% | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 2. Individual Assignments | 12% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 3. Case Study | 8% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 4. Examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | Total | 100% | | | • | • | | |
| | Assessment includes examination, and individual-based and group-base performance measurements. The examination is designed to measure student depth of knowledge in the area of logistics engineering. The case study designed to reflect students' understanding on the enabling technologies taugh warehouse management, and other logistics engineering issues. The laborato exercises and individual assignments are designed to appraise student recommendations in addressing specific issues related to logistics engineering | | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lecture/Tutorial | | | | | | 24 | Hrs. |
| | Laboratory/Case Stud | ły | | | | | 15 | Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | |

| | Preparation for Case Study and Report Writing | 33 Hrs. | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Self-revision for Examination | 30 Hrs. | | | |
| | Total student study effort | 102 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and References | Leung, K. H., Cheng, Stephen W. Y., Choy, K. I Lam, H. Y., Hui, Y. Y., Tsang, Y. P. & Tang, Val Oriented Warehouse Postponement Strategy for Fulfillment in Warehouses and Distribution Cent Ordóñez de Pablos (Eds.), <i>Managerial Strateg Business Success in Asia</i> (pp.21-34). Hershey, PA Don Taylor, G 2008, <i>Introduction to Logistics E</i> Francis Group, LLC Jones, EC and Chung, CA 2008, <i>RFID in</i> <i>Introduction</i>, Boca Raton: CRC Press/Taylor and I Shepard, S 2005, <i>RFID: Radio Frequency Ident</i> Publishing Company Blanchard, BS 2003, <i>Logistics Engineering and</i> Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ Stock, R and Lambert, M 2001, <i>Strategic Logistic</i> | 30 Hrs. 102 Hrs. 1., Wong, David W. C., alerie. (2016). A Process- for E-Commerce Order enters in Asia. In Patricia regies and Solutions for A: IGI Glob. Engineering, Taylor and Cogistics: A Practical d Francis entification, McGraw-Hill nd Management, 6 th edn, | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE247 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Fundamental of Enterprise Systems | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | |
| Level | 2 | | | |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil | | | |
| Objectives | This subject enables students to | | | |
| | 1. learn the business processes in an enterprise and how information is managed in an enterprise; | | | |
| | 2. understand the characteristics and components of different enterprise systems and how enterprise systems can improve the efficiency and effectiveness of business activities; | | | |
| | 3. understand the basic principles of enterprise modeling. | | | |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of this module, students will be able to | | | |
| Outcomes | a. understand how information flows across enterprise systems and the business operations in an enterprise; | | | |
| | b. analyze different enterprises and apply system concepts in both manufacturing and service industries; | | | |
| | c. know the basic principle and components of enterprise modeling. | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Business Activities in Enterprise</u> | | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | Development of modern organization and enterprise engineering - Basic functional areas of organizations and business activities, such as account and financial management, sales and marketing, customer services, manufacturing and production cycle, and human resource planning; Information flow and collaboration across different functional areas of an enterprise; Need for new business and technology professionals; Benefits of adopting enterprise applications; Enterprise engineering | | | |
| | 2. <u>Enterprise Information Systems and its Application to Enterprise</u> <u>Business</u> | | | |
| | System concepts; Types and nature of enterprise systems; Classification by function and process; Managing information in an enterprise; Traditional classifications of enterprise information systems - Transaction processing system, such as systems for financial and accounting information, sales and marketing, human resource, manufacturing and | | | |

| | production, enterprise resources planning, office automation (e.g., workflow system, GroupWare, and business processes management systems), knowledge work (e.g., CAD), decision support, management information, and executive information; Software vendor products <u>Principles of Enterprise Modeling</u> What is a business process; Concept of process design; Basic component of enterprise modeling - Entity modeling, role modeling, process modeling, scenario modeling, information modeling, client/server modeling, dialog and action modeling, software component modeling, and workflow modeling | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|---|---|----------|----------|--|--|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The subject is delivered by a mixture of lectures, seminars, tutorials, case studies, and lab exercises. Lectures are conducted to initiate student exchange on concepts and techniques. Practical problems are raised as a focal point for discussion in tutorial classes. Seminars, case studies, and lab exercises, which are largely based on business and industrial experiences, are used to integrate these topics. These allow students to appreciate how various principles and technologies are interrelated and how they apply in real life situations. Quizzes are designed to enable students to periodically review their acquired knowledge, and consequently, to evaluate if the topics were understood. | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | | |
| | | | а | b | с | | | | |
| | 1. Lab Exercises | 10% | | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 2. Seminar Studies | 15% | | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 3. Case Studies | 35% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 4. Quizzes | 40% | ~ | | ~ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | 1 | <u> </u> | I | | | |
| | Continuous assessments consist of lab exercises, seminar reports, case stud and quizzes. These are designed to help students achieve the intended learn outcomes. All components for assessment will require students to understa the basic principles and components of enterprise modeling. Lab exercises v require students to analyze and design an enterprise system by using compu- based tools. Seminar reports are designed to help students review and acquire deeper understanding of the topics delivered during seminars. Case studies v require students to study a number of real-life enterprise systems and iden their benefits and impacts. Quizzes are designed to help students review, a ssess the breadth and depth of their understanding on the concepts taught. | | | | | | d learning inderstand rcises will computer- acquire a udies will d identify view, and | | |

| Student Study | Clas | ss contact: | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Effort Expected | • | Lecture | 3 hours/week for 8 weeks | 24 Hrs. | | | |
| | • | Laboratory | 3 hours/week for 1 week | 3 Hrs. | | | |
| | • | Tutorial/Case S | Study/Presentation | 12 Hrs. | | | |
| | Oth | er student study e | effort: | | | | |
| | • | Preparation for Reports | Preparation for Case Studies, Quizzes, and Lab Reports | | | | |
| | Tota | al student study e | effort | 113 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. | O'Brien, J and Marakas, G 2006, Enterprise Information Systems. McGraw-Hill | | | | | |
| | 2. | Dennis, A, Wix 3 rd edn, John W | xom, B, and Roth, R 2006, <i>Systems</i> Viley & Sons | Analysis and Design, | | | |
| | 3. | Schmuller, J 2004, Sams Teach Yourself UML in 24 Hours, Complete Starter Kit, 3 rd edn, Sams | | | | | |
| | 4. | Miles, R and H | lamilton, K 2006, <i>Learning UML 2.0</i> , | O'Reilly, USA | | | |
| | 5. | | Neustadt, I 2005, UML 2 and the Unified Analysis and Design, 2 nd edn, Addi | | | | |
| | 6. | Hsu, C 1996, Enterprise Integration and Modeling: The Metadatabase Approach, Kluwer Academic Publisher | | | | | |
| | 7. | Kendall, K and Kendall, J 2005, System Analysis and Design, 6 th edn. Prentice Hall | | | | | |
| | 8. | Whitten, J and edn, McGraw-H | Bentley, L 2005, System Analysis and Hill | d Design Methods, 5 th | | | |
| | 9. | | 06, System Analysis, Design, and De l Practices, John Wiley & Sons | velopment: Concepts, | | | |
| | 10. | | 3 1996, <i>Enterprise Modeling and Ir</i> ns, Chapman & Hall | ntegration: Principles | | | |
| | 11. | | 000, Enterprise Modeling with UML: ugh Business Analysis, Addison-Wesl | 0 0 | | | |

| Subject Cal | 1852001 |
|--|---|
| Subject Code | ISE3001 |
| Subject Title | Operations Research I |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. ability to understand the concepts and importance of Operations Research; |
| | 2. knowledge of formulating mathematical models in day to day business operations; |
| | 3. skills in improving management by applying Operations Research theories in real life; |
| | 4. Operations Research models in decision makings. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. recognize the importance of Operations Research; |
| | b. build an Operations Research model from real-life problems; |
| | c. understand Operations Research theories and models and their applications to a variety of scenarios; |
| | d. apply computer tools to obtain optimal solutions from a mathematical model. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Introduction</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | Basic concepts in Operations Research and Mathematical Modeling. |
| | 2. <u>Linear Programming</u> |
| | Concept in Linear Programming, Graphics method, the Simplex method. |
| | 3. <u>The Assignment and the Transportation Problem</u> |
| | The model of the assignment problem, and the transportation problem. The transshipment problem. |
| | 4. <u>Advanced Topics in Linear Programming</u> |
| | l |

| | Duality, the Interior- | Point Metho | d. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------|---------|---------|---|--------|--------|
| | 5. Integer Linear Progra | amming | | | | | | |
| | Concepts in Integer Programming, the Branch-and-Bound Algorithm. The cutting plane method. | | | | | | | |
| | 6. <u>Network and Dynam</u> | 6. <u>Network and Dynamic Programming</u> | | | | | | |
| | Network and method | ls. Dynamic | Progra | mming | and its | s applic | ations | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, and case studies will be used to deliver the various topics in this subject. Some of them will be covered in a problem-based format which enhances the learning objectives. Others will be covered through directed study in order to enhance the students' ability of "learning to learn". Some case studies will be used to integrate these topics and thus demonstrate to students how the various techniques are interrelated and how they can be applied to real problems in industry. | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | nes to | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | |
| | 1. Examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 2. Assignment exercise | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | 3. laboratory/case study | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | 1 | |
| | The assignment exercises, case studies and laboratory assess student capability to synthesize and apply the concepts and skills learnt in analyzin and solving Operations Research problems. | | | | | | | |
| | The examination assessed capability in the application related to the subject. | | | | - | | - | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | 3 hou | rs/wee | k for 1 | 0 week | as and a second s | 3 | 0 Hrs. |
| | • Lab., Presentation, T | est 3 ho | ours/we | eek for | 3 weel | KS . | | 9 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | |
| | Preparation and Revi | iew, Self-stu | dy | | | | 6 | 0 Hrs. |
| | Report Writing | | | | | | 2 | 1 Hrs. |

| | Tota | al student study effort | 120 Hrs. |
|--------------------------------|------|---|------------------------------|
| Reading List and References | 1. | Rader, D. J. 2010, Deterministic Operations Resear Methods in Linear Optimization, J. Wiley & Sons | rch: Models and |
| | 2. | Taha, H. A. 2007, Operations Research, 8th edn, Pearson | 1 |
| | 3. | Taylor, B. W. III 2013, <i>Introduction to Management S</i> Prentice Hall | Science, 11th edn, |
| | 4. | Schrage, L. 1997, Optimization Modeling with LINDO, | 5 th edn, Thomson |
| | 5. | Winston, W. L. 2004, <i>Operations Research: A Algorithms</i> , 4 th edn, Thomson | Applications and |
| | 6. | Williams, H. P. 2013, <i>Model Building in Mathematic</i> 5th edn, Wiley | al Programming, |
| | 7. | Hillier, F. S. and Lieberman, G. J. 2010, Introduction Research, 9 th edn, McGraw-Hill | on to Operations |
| | 8. | Ravindran, R. 2009, Operations Research, CRC Press | |

| Subject Code | ISE3002 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Planning of Production and Service Systems |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with |
| | 1. an understanding of the concepts of production and service systems; |
| | 2. the ability to apply principles and techniques in the design, planning and control of these systems to optimize/make best use of resources in achieving their objectives. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. apply the systems concept for the design of production and service systems; |
| | b. make forecasts in the manufacturing and service sectors using selected quantitative and qualitative techniques; |
| | c. apply the principles and techniques for planning and control of the production and service systems to optimize/make best use of resources; |
| | d. understand the importance and function of inventory and to be able to apply selected techniques for its control and management under dependent and independent demand circumstances. |
| Subject Synopsis / | 1. <u>The Systems Concept</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | The transformation model of production systems. The boundary and attributes of a socio-technical production system. Effects of the environmental factors. Systems balance and sub-optimization. The need for systems integration and adaptation to environment. |
| | 2. <u>Forecasting</u> |
| | Production demand management. Qualitative and quantitative methods in forecasting. Forecasting errors and control. Forecasting and its relationship to capacity planning. |
| | 3. <u>Capacity Planning</u> |
| | Capacity measurement. Aggregate units. Manual and mathematical |

| | methods for aggrega | ate planning. | Master pro | duction so | cheduling. | |
|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 4. <u>Inventory Control a</u> | nd Material I | Requirement | nt Planning | <u>g (MRP)</u> | |
| | Independent invent Continuous review quantities, includin dependent inventory | and periodic ng quantity | review sys discounts; | stems; Rec ABC an | order level alysis. Pla | and order |
| | 5. Operations Loading | g and Schedu | ling | | | |
| | Gantt charts for load operations scheduling | - | - | - | and algorit | hms for |
| | 6. <u>Just-in-time and Le</u> | ean Manufact | ure | | | |
| | Push and pull syster Set-up and changeo on inventory; Issues | ver times and | l their redu | | 0 | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, case studies and laboratories will be used to deliver the various topics in this subject to attain the intended learning outcomes. Some of which will be covered in a problem-based format where this enhances the learning outcomes. Others will be covered through directed study in order to enhance the students' ability of "learning to learn". Tutorials and laboratories are conducted as group activities so that students can discuss, practice and understand materials in the class. Case studies and simulation exercises will be provided to provoke students' further thinking about and integration of the factors related to real life problem solving in the discipline of studies. | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment | % | Intended be assess | • | arning outc | omes to |
| Outcomes | - | Weighting | a | b | с | d |
| | 1. Assignments/case studies/presentation | 20% | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ~ |
| | 2. Laboratory works | 20% | | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | 3. Examination | 60% | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Total | 100% | | | | |
| | The assignments/case stu the concepts and skills lea | | | • | • | |
| | The laboratory exercises of activities in production resources to attain system | n and service | systems to | • | | |

| | The examination assesses students' understanding on the concepts and in the use of the skills in solving problems related to the subject. | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Student Study | Clas | ss Contact: | | | |
| Effort Expected | • | Lecture | 2.0 hours/week for 12 weeks | 24 Hrs. | |
| | • | Tutorial | 2.0 hours/week for 5 weeks | 10 Hrs. | |
| | • | Laboratory | 2.5 hours/week for 2 weeks | 5 Hrs. | |
| | Oth | er student study eff | ort: | | |
| | • | Studying and self | learning | 59 Hrs. | |
| | • | Assignment and r | eport writing | 25 Hrs. | |
| | Tota | al student study effe | 123 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Krajewski, L J, Ritzman, L P and Malhotra, M K 2013, <i>Operation Management: Processes and Supply Chains</i> , Upper Saddle River, N.J. Pearson/Prentice Hall | | | | |
| | 2. | 2. Nahmias, S 2009, <i>Production and Operations Analysis</i> , 5 th edn, McGrav Hill | | | |
| | 3. | 3. Schroeder, R G, Goldstein, S M and Rungtusanatham, M J 2013 Operations Management : Contemporary Concepts and Cases, New York, NY : McGraw-Hill/Irwin | | | |
| | 4. | Chase, R B., Aquilano, N J, and Robert, J F 2006, <i>Operations Management for Competitive Advantage</i> , Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin | | | |
| | 5. | 5. Shafer, S M and Meredith, J R 2003, <i>Operations Management</i> , New York: John Wiley & Sons | | | |
| | 6. Vollmann, T E et al. 2005, <i>Manufacturing Planning and Control System</i> for Supply Chain Management, New York: McGraw-Hill | | | • | |
| | 7. | | 2001, Introduction to Industrial and Sy a University: Prentice Hall | stems Engineering, | |
| | 8. | | nd Flynn, B B 2001, <i>High Performan</i> ves, New York: John Wiley | ce Manufacturing: | |
| | 9. | Sipper, D and Bu Integration, McG | ılfin, RL Jr 1997, <i>Production: Plan</i> raw-Hill | ning, Control, and | |
| | 10. | | ckery, S K, and Davis, R A 1998, <i>Opera</i> <i>afacturing and Services</i> , Cincinnati, Oh | | |

| Subject Code | ISE3005 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Knowledge Management Systems and Applications |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will equip students with |
| | 1. the basic understanding of the role of knowledge in organizations in the new economy; |
| | 2. the knowledge about the types and importance of knowledge processes and how enterprise applications and social software can be aligned to support these processes, both in a corporate and in a personal environment; |
| | 3. the insights into how Knowledge Management Systems are being designed, deployed and accomplish the desired outcomes. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. define the role and importance of knowledge in support of decision making in organizations; |
| | b. outline common knowledge processes and align these processes with everyday work tasks; |
| | c. critically compare and contrast Knowledge Management Systems with common Enterprise Applications; |
| | d. outline how Knowledge Management Applications can be used to solve problems in various industries. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Introduction to the knowledge-based enterprise in the new economy.</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | Knowledge-intensive decision making. Linear Vs non-linear work as well as Physical Vs Manual work are compared while their respective proportion in today's work tasks are also discussed. The concept, skills and duties of a knowledge worker are critically examined. |
| | 2. <u>Types of knowledge, knowledge processes and common approaches for</u> <u>KM</u> |
| | Tacit and explicit knowledge, knowledge processes, Nonaka Cycle of knowledge conversion i.e. SECI model, codification and personalization approaches to KM. |

| | 3. Knowledge Manag | ement Systen | ns | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | A range of enterprise their support of varian Management Systeme volution of these sepotential application organization, fully that occur in the de 4. Personal KM Systeme Personal KMS, in the context of bottor Governance and se demonstrations will | tious knowled m (KMS) is of systems. Stuc- ons and pla understandir ployment of s m and Social addition to E om-up knowl curity issues. | dge pro criticall lents w an the ng the o such sy Softwa Enterpri edge sh | cesses. ly exan ill also deplo commo stems. <u>are</u> se KM naring. | Definition nined, a learn a yment n prob S, will Emerg | also be | a Kno the ori ow to KMS nd con | owledge gin and identify in an astraints ussed in media. |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The delivery of this subjlecture(s), replays of in asked to review specific issues including, for exahow to encourage the aprocesses and more. | terviews and cases and pro- ample, appro- | d tool rovide o priateno | demon comme ess of a | stration nts, ass a KMS | ns. Stud sessmer to solv | dents its on ve a p | will be various roblem, |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subject learning outcomesbe assessed | | | | es to | | | |
| | | | a | b | с | d | | |
| | 1. Individual assignment | 15% | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 2. Mid-of-semester short quiz | 15% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Workshop assignment | 15% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 4. Presentation of guided project | 10% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 5. Written report of guided project | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 6. End-of-semester open book Test | 25% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | . 1 | | · |
| | The individual assignment background research and | U | | | | | • | |

| | KMS. The workshop assignment serves to enh mindset and demonstrates by projecting, with sub field of KMS would evolve in coming years. The and end-of-semester open book test are design understanding and their ability to apply the conce comprehensive set of topics covered in the subject designed to test students' ability to apply what they environment. All components except the Guide assessed. | estantiation, how the whole mid-of-semester short quiz ned to ascertain students' pts in given cases across a ect. The Guided project is have learnt in a real world |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Student Study | Class contact: | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures (In-person & online) | 18 Hrs. |
| | Lab Sessions | 9 Hrs. |
| | Tests | 3 Hrs. |
| | Personal Learning Environment & Network (Bulletin Board) | 6 Hrs |
| | Case Study Presentations | 3 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Self-Study | 36 Hrs. |
| | Preparation for Assignment | 20 Hrs. |
| | Preparation for Tests | 20 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 115 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | 1. Davenport, T.H. and Prusak, L 1998, W Organizations Manage What They Know, Harv Holsapple, C.W. 2003, Handbook of Knowled and Volume 2, Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelbe | vard Business School Press ge Management, Volume 1 |
| | Lee, W.B., Cheung, C.F., Tsui, E. and Kwok, S Environment and Technologies for Building Known Network Enterprises", International Journal of In Management, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 5-22 (2006). | owledge Work Teams in |
| | 3. Ruggles, R. 1997, <i>Knowledge Manager</i> Heinemann. | ent Tools, Butterworth- |
| | 4. Thomas H. Davenport, Gilbert J.B. Probst, <i>Know Book</i> , 2nd Ed., John Wiley & Sons (2002). | wledge Management Case |
| | 5. Tiwana, A. 2002, <i>The Knowledge Manag</i> <i>Techniques for Building a Knowledge Man</i> Prentice Hall | • |
| | 6. Zeno Leung,C.S.,Cheung,C.F.,Chan,K.T. and Le Knowledge Management System in Social Servi Project as an Example",Administration in Social | ces-Food Assistance |

| (2012) | |
|---------|--|
| (2012). | |

| Subject Code | ISE318 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Industrial Engineering Techniques and Methods |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil (but some basics of mathematics such as matrix and probability are preferable) |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with |
| | 1. basic skills for analyzing and improving working methods, procedures and systems in the context of the work stations and a department, taking into account ergonomic considerations in order for them to carry out a project on work improvement in a company for the purpose of productivity improvement; |
| | 2. skills in the use of learning curve, as well as a basic understanding of the techniques and concepts of Just-In-Time, Toyota Production System, and Lean Production, thereby allowing them to draft measures for efficiency improvement and waste reduction in industrial engineering; |
| | 3. ability to use multi-criterion decision making method (Analytic Hierarchy Process) in order for them to draft industrial decision planning and evaluation (i.e. layout plan selection, human resource strategy, best available technology, sustainable manufacturing); |
| | 4. working knowledge on the techniques for facilities layout and their interaction with materials handling system (if relevant), thereby enabling them to evaluate an existing layout and recommend improvements and/or to plan a new layout; |
| | 5. basic skills of calculating cycle time, line efficiency, understanding the basic rules for work improvement, mastering the common recording techniques, systems flowchart, quality management tools, and basics for product development (design of goods and service, product life cycle and decision tree to product design). |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. determine productivity and examine an existing work situation and conduct a work improvement program in order to identify low productivity in a manufacturing or service company; |
| | b. apply appropriate recording techniques, or to design new work methods and procedures, for a manufacturing or service company, and apply lean production methods; |
| | c. employ the multi-criterion decision making method (AHP) for industrial |

| | decision planning and evaluation; |
|--|---|
| | d. master the total quality management tools, the basics of product development, analyze the results, and use line balancing theory for applications, and propose suggestions for improvement for industrial engineering; |
| | e. identify the objectives of layout planning in both manufacturing and service companies, evaluate its effectiveness, and apply layout planning techniques, recognizing their limitations when considering relevant constraints. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | 1. <u>Introduction</u> |
| | Productivity; Causes of low productivity in organizations; Resources and outputs, their importance, brief history of industrial engineering techniques and methods, and how they are measured. |
| | 2. <u>Work Improvement</u> |
| | Leaning Curves. Just in Time (JIT), Toyota Production System/Lean Production. Human resources strategy and job design. Industrial Decision planning and evaluation: Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) and multi- criterion decision-making. Layout Planning. Inter relationships among entities. Types of layout manufacturing and offices. Layout planning techniques. Line balancing. Procedure diagrams. Line balancing applications. Flow time, cycle time, line efficiency; Systems flowchart; Recording techniques. Work improvement, benefits, the logical approach, the Pareto Principles, identifying improvement areas in enterprise. Interview Personnel. Recording Techniques. |
| | 3. <u>Quality Management and Product development</u> |
| | Quality management. Dimensions of quality. "Costs" of Quality. Total quality management tools. Seven Quality Control tools. ISO 9000 Series of Quality Standards. Design of goods and service. Product Life Cycle. Product Development (Quality Function Deployment). Decision tree to product design. Project management. |
| | 4. <u>Layout Planning</u> |
| | Objectives, types of layout found in the manufacturing industry and the clerical sector; Systematic layout planning, as applied to manufacturing and clerical work; Introduction to the design of flowlines in manufacturing; Line balancing; Techniques; Efficiency of assembly lines; Balance loss. |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, and case studies are used to deliver the various topics in this subject, some of which are covered in a problem-based format, as these can enhance the learning objectives. Others are covered through directed study in order to enhance the students' ability of "learning to learn." Some case studies, largely based on consultancy experience, are used to integrate the topics, thus demonstrating to students how the various techniques are interrelated and how they can be applied in real work situations. |

| Assessment Methods in Alignment with | Specific Assessment Methods/Tasks | % Weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|---|----------|----------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Methods/Tasks | Weighting | a | b | с | d | e | | |
| | 1. Continuous Assessment (Two Combined Case Studies, each comprising 20% each) | 40% | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 2. Examination (Open Book) | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | Continuous assessment comprises case studies with individual an components. <u>Note</u> : Questions for the assessment of Intended Learning C (ILOs) may vary from year to year in terms of whether they are by Co Assessment or by Examination. However, all ILOs are covered ea Moreover, all assessment components require students to apply w learned to realistic work applications. | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | | | | | |
| Lifert Expected | Lecture/Tutorial 3 hours/week for 11 weeks | | | | | | | | |
| | • Laboratory/Case Study 3 hours/week for 2 weeks | | | | | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | | |
| | Studying and Self-learning | | | | | | | | |
| | Case Study and Report Writing | | | | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | | | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Heizer, Jay and Ren management, 9 th edition | | 2014 | , Prin | ciple o | f Ope | erations | | |
| | 2. Mundel ME and Danne <i>Productivity</i> , 7th edn, Pr | | Motion | and T | ime Stu | dy: Im | proving | | |
| | 3. Tompkins, JA, White, 1996, <i>Facilities Plannin</i> | | YA, Tai | nchoco, | JMA, a | and Tr | evino J | | |
| | 4. Gavriel Salvendy (Ed.) Wiley & Sons Ltd. |) 2007, Indi | ıstrial I | Engineer | ring Ha | ndbool | k, John | | |
| | Note: Other books with the sa | me or similar | titles as | s above | can also | be use | d. | | |

| Subject Code | ISE328 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Technology and Applications of Electronic Business Systems |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. the opportunity to understand and evaluate the basic design and architecture of electronic business systems; |
| | 2. awareness of the latest electronic business system applications in the manufacturing and service industry; |
| | 3. opportunity to evaluate the contemporary application of electronic business systems; |
| | 4. concepts and applications related to network and web security. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. apply the design techniques to the development of architecture of electronic business systems; |
| | b. identify, examine, and evaluate the application of electronic business systems in the manufacturing and service industry; |
| | c. analyze and evaluate the contemporary application of electronic business systems in the context of the manufacturing and service industry; |
| | d. select an appropriate type of electronic business system and apply it to the relevant work context of the manufacturing and service industry. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | The syllabus consists of the following topics: |
| Indicative Syllabus | 1. Design and Architecture of Electronic Business Systems |
| | System development and analysis; Supply chain network and business models; Web-based technology, mobile technology, database technology, enterprise portals, network and web security, and business intelligence in the support of electronic business |
| | 2. <u>Application of Electronic Business Systems in the Manufacturing and</u> <u>Service Sector</u> |
| | Applications in workflow management, production planning and inventory control, electronic procurement and trading, and others |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A combination of lectures, case studies, and projects with the support of laboratory work is used to deliver the various topics in this subject. Students carry out the practical work in the Microsoft Enterprise Systems Center. Some topics are covered in a case-based format to enhance learning experience, whereas others are covered through directed study to cultivate self-learning. Case studies are used to demonstrate how the various techniques are interrelated and how they are deployed in an actual environment. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|---------|-------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks % Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | | | es to |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | |
| | 1. Assignments | 15% | | | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Quiz | 15% | | | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Test | 30% | | ~ | | ~ | | |
| | 4. Projects | 30% | ~ | ~ | | ~ | | |
| | 5. Presentations | 10% | ~ | | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | Assignments and quizzes are designed to assess students' knowledge identifying and testing the contemporary application of electronic busine systems in real situations. Projects are designed using some case studies to assess students' understandin of different concepts, including how to identify, select, and apply e-busine technology, and to develop and evaluate an e-business system. Presentation is designed to assess students' ability to present and explain the systems is a selected. | | | | | | | usiness tanding usiness |
| | developed e-business systems from their group. Examinations are designed to test students' understanding of the topics and whether they can present the concepts clearly. | | | | | | | ics and |
| Student Study | Class contact | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | 3 hours/weel | k for 6 | weeks | | | 1 | 8 Hrs. |
| | | | | | | | | |

3 hours/week for 7 weeks

•

•

Laboratories

writing

Other student study efforts

Working on assignments

Preparation for presentation and report

21 Hrs.

15 Hrs.

40 Hrs.

| | - | Preparation for quiz and test | 30 Hrs. | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Tota | l student study effort | 124 Hrs. | | | | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. | Lawrence, E, Corbitt, B, Tidwell, A, Fisher, Internet Commerce: Digital Models for Busine | | | | | | |
| | 2. | Davidow, W H & Malone, M S 1992, <i>The Virtual Corporation</i> , N York: HarperBusiness | | | | | | |
| | 3. | Schneider, G P & Perry, J T 2000, <i>Electr</i> Course Technology, Pearson, Prentice Hall | conic Commerce, Business | | | | | |
| | 4. | Timmers, P 1999, <i>Electronic Commerce – Business-to-Business Trading</i> , John & Sons | Strategies and Models for | | | | | |
| | 5. | Kuglin, F A & Rosenbaum, B A 2001, <i>The</i> <i>Internet Speed</i> , New York: American Manager | | | | | | |
| | 6. | Daum, B & Scheller, M,2000, Success with Architecture and Technology of Electronic E Wesley | 0 | | | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE369 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Quality Engineering |
| | |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | AMA1110 Basic Mathematics I – Calculus and Probability & Statistics or AMA1103 Introductory Linear Algebra or AMA1104 Introductory Probability |
| Objectives | The subject will provide students with |
| | 1. knowledge of the modern concept of quality; |
| | 2. appreciation of the functions served by a quality management system; |
| | 3. ability to design quality products to satisfy both internal and external customers; |
| | 4. ability to control process performance using appropriate statistical tools; |
| | 5. ability to diagnose quality problems and develop sustainable improvement. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. apply the modern concepts of quality and quality management system to solve the existing quality problems of a company; |
| | b. obtain design quality from internal and external customers and formulate plans thereof; |
| | c. use appropriate statistical tools for better process control; |
| | d. diagnose quality problems and develop substainable improvement. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. Quality Management Processes |
| Indicative Syllabus | Modern quality concepts; Quality planning, quality control, and quality improvement; New and old 7-QC tools |
| | 2. <u>Design for Quality</u> |
| | Reliability fundamental, life distribution, failure rate prediction, and estimation; Failure mode, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA); Fault tree analysis (FTA); Taguchi approach to achieving quality; Design reviews |
| | 3. <u>Statistical Quality Control</u> |
| | Process variation; Process capability study; Control charts; Statistical |

| | tolerancing; Accep | tance sampli | ng nlan | <u>e</u> | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------------------------|----|
| | | - | is piùn | 3 | | | | | |
| | 4. <u>Partnership with S</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | Vendor evaluation; Joint planning with suppliers; Best practices of partnership with suppliers | | | | | | | of | |
| | 5. Quality Manageme | ent Systems | <u>ns</u> | | | | | | |
| | ISO 9000 series of standards; Quality audits; Product and system certification programs | | | | | | | m | |
| | 6. Quality Improvem | ent | | | | | | | |
| | Project approach identifying root ca | - · | - | | | | | - | or |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | practical exercises to acl not taught in the class | The major teaching activities contain a combination of lectures, tutorials, and practical exercises to achieve the objectives of this subject. Some of the topics are not taught in the classroom environment; students are directed to learn these topics by themselves during the process of writing problem-based assignments. | | | | | | | re |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | led sub sessed | ject lea | arning | outcom | nes to | |
| | | | а | b | c | d | | | |
| | 1. Examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Assignment & tests | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Case Studies | 10% | ~ | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | • | | | |
| | studies (10%), and four interim knowledge gain assess students' ability to processes. The case st involving quality impro- study are presented both | take-home as ned by the s to apply the e- tudy requires vement and q n orally and ir | ves three components: two tests (10%), two case he assignments (20%). The tests aim to assess the he students. The assignments are designed to he equations in assessing the performance of the aires students to complete two team projects and quality management. The results of the case and in written form. The final examination is also idents in achieving the learning outcomes of the | | | | | he to he cts se so | |

| Student Study | Class contact | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Effort Expected | • Lecture 2 hours/week for 13 weeks | 26 Hrs. |
| | Tutorial/Case Study 1 hour/week x 13 weeks | 13 Hrs. |
| | Other student study efforts | |
| | Self Study/Assignment | 58 Hrs. |
| | Case Study | 13 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 110 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | 1. Montgomery, D C 2009, <i>Introduction to Stati</i> edition, John Wiley | stical Quality Control, 6 th |
| | 2. Gryna, F M 2000, <i>Quality Planning & Analysis</i> , | 4 th edition, McGraw Hill |
| | 3. ISO 9001: 2008, Quality Management Systems – | Requirements |

| Subject Code | ISE373 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Packaging and Storage Technology |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject aims to enable students to |
| | 1. appreciate the contemporary issues faced by packaging professionals in generating an appropriate package design in the product design and development phase; |
| | 2. understand the working principles of key packaging materials and processes needed to provide a workable packaging solution to meet logistics and regulatory requirements; |
| | 3. become competent in identifying the technological, economic, and societal factors that underline the selection of appropriate packaging materials, processes, and storage methods for specific products. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. define the packaging requirements for a given product within an overall package design and development framework; |
| | b. select an appropriate type of packaging material and testing method to satisfy a given set of logistics functions; |
| | c. recognize the shelf-life limiting factors for foods and identify appropriate packaging and storage techniques to prolong the shelf-life of common food; |
| | d. define the design requirements for packing decoration and choosing an appropriate printing process for a given package. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. Logistics Functions of Packaging |
| Indicative Syllabus | Types of packaging; Impact of packaging on costs and other logistics activities; Packaging terminology; Packaging materials; Characteristics of products that influence packaging selection; Packaging design considerations; Package printing and decorating; Management and recycling of packaging waste |
| | 2. <u>Plastics and Glass-based Packaging</u> |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | Packaging films; Extrusion and thermoforming; Injection and blow molding; Packaging adhesives; Glass container |
| | 3. <u>Metal-based Packaging</u> |
| | Metal cans and containers; Aluminum foils; Bonding and mechanical clinching; Pressurized dispensing systems; Aerosol container legal requirements |
| | 4. <u>Paper-based Packaging</u> |
| | Methods of pulping, paper making, and paperboard cartons manufacture; Coatings, calendaring, and other treatments; Paperboard packaging: paperboard cutting, creasing, and folding; Laminated packaging structures; Metalized paper and film; Corrugated fiberboard specifications; Shipping container making; Box strength and stacking loads |
| | 5. <u>Packaging for Food Preservation</u> |
| | Factors affecting food storage and preservation; Product quality and shelf- life; Packaging regulations on food stuff; Refrigeration and distribution of packaged foods |
| | 6. <u>Packaging for Transportation</u> |
| | Preparation for marketing; Wholesales and retail packages; Transportation by rail, highway, air, and sea; Treatment after transportation; International standards; Materials testing standards; Additives, labeling, and hazardous materials; Carrier rules |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Classes are conducted in a combination of lectures, tutorials, case studies, mini-projects, and reflective journals on factory visits. Directed study is used to develop student's self-learning ability. |
| | The assignments are designed to facilitate students' periodical reflection and application of knowledge. |
| | The integrated application-oriented mini-project is designed to facilitate students' acquisition of knowledge of different working principles and capability of manufacturing process through team work. |
| | Presentation is designed to facilitate students' exhibition of their group performance on the application of different technologies in the product development workflow. |
| | The written report is designed to facilitate students' acquisition of a thorough understanding of the topic in order to present the concepts of the training clearly. |
| | |

| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|--|--|
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | ded sub sessed | ject lea | ect learning outcomes to | | | | |
| | | | а | b | c | d | | | | |
| | 1. In-class assignments | 10% | | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | 2. Mini-project | 15% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | 3. Reflective journal on factory visit | 5% | ~ | | | ~ | | | | |
| | 4. Quiz | 10% | | ~ | | ~ | | | | |
| | 5. Final examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | | |
| | examination and quiz. ' the understanding of the mini-project is used to a in selecting the appropri | e basic princij assess student | ples of s' abili | packag ty in ap | ing tec | hnique | s, whe | reas the | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact | | | | | | | | | |
| Enort Expected | Lectures and factory visit | | | | 26 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Tutorial and mini-project | | | | 13 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Other student study efforts | | | | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for mini-project presentation and report writing | | | | | 9 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Preparation for assignments | | | 16 Hrs. | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for qui | iz and final ex | kaminat | tion | 46 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | 11 | 0 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and References | | Soroka, W 2002, <i>Fundamentals of Packaging Technology</i> , 3 rd Institute of Packaging Professionals, Herndon, Virginia | | | | | B rd edn, | | | |
| 2. Twede, D 2005, Cartons, Crates and Corrugated Board: H. Paper and Wood Packaging Technology, DEStech Publication | | | | | | | book of | | | |
| | 3. Kirwan, M J et al. | (Ed) 2003, <i>F</i> | ood Pa | ckaging | g Techn | ology, | CRS P | ress | | |

| Subject Code | ISE374 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Logistics Facility Design |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with |
| | 1. ability to conduct analytical investigations on facility design issues while considering both efficiency and effectiveness; |
| | 2. understanding of integration and simple monitoring devices in facility design. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. design/select appropriate facilities to facilitate material handling in logistics operations; |
| | b. apply sensors, actuators, robotics, and integration techniques in handling automation projects related to development of logistics facilities; |
| | c. determine how to develop a strategic framework for facility location, including the use of a suitable approach to formulate an efficient facility layout, addressing warehousing issues and understanding inventory models; |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. Material Handling Device Selection and Design |
| Indicative Syllabus | Introduction to material handling facilities: truck, crane and hoist, forklift, conveyer system, automated guided vehicle, tote pan, box, case, and pallet; Present value and capital recovery factor; Equipment selection and the implications of pallet standards; Simple and close-loop conveyor systems and automated guided vehicle planning considerations |
| | 2. <u>System Control and Automation</u> |
| | Applications of RFID, RFID basic setup, and introduction to precise asset location; Utilization of sensors and actuators in automation and simple graphical programming; Introduction to automatic system control and integration; Robotics Applications |
| | 3. <u>Warehouse Design</u> |
| | Warehouse layout design, honeycomb loss, storage assignment, and basic order picking policies; Introduction to automated storage and retrieval |

| | system constructio models | system construction modules; Introduction to probabilistic inventory models | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|--|
| | 4. <u>Facility Location M</u> | odels and Lay | <u>outs</u> | | | | | | |
| | Cellular layout and rank order clustering method; Facility layout modeling; Shortest path algorithms, such as Dijkstra's/Floyd's algorithms, and their application in equipment replacement | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Teaching is conducted through class lectures, tutorials, and case studies/laboratory exercises. Both hardware technologies and theoretical models are included in that subject. The understanding of how to address problems is emphasized and the skills are taught in class. Related scenarios are provided to enhance students' problem-solving abilities. Laboratory exercises with short reports contribute to the course work marks. | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | ded sul sessed | oject lea | arning | outcor | nes to | |
| | | | a | b | с | | | | |
| | 1. Laboratory Exercise | 30% | ~ | ~ | \checkmark | | | | |
| | 2. Examination | 70% | ~ | | ~ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | By the end of each lab submitted to show the fi given to students to asses | ndings. At th | e end | of the | - | | - | | |
| Student Study | Class contact | | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lecture/Seminar | 2 hours | /week | for 10 | weeks | | 20 Hrs. | | |
| | Tutorial | 2 hou | r/week | for 1 | week | | | 2 Hrs. | |
| | Laboratory/Case study 3 hours/week for 3 weeks 6 hours/week for 1 week 2 hours/week for 1 week | | | | | | 17 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effor | rts | | | | | | | |
| | Assignment | | | | | | | 35 Hrs. | |
| | Self-study/Preparati laboratory exercises | | oth exa | minatio | on and | | 2 | 48 Hrs. | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | 122 Hrs. | | | |

| Reading List and References | 1. | Heragu, S S 1997, Facilities Design, PWS Publishing Company |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|
| Kelerences | 2. | Francis, R L, McGinnis, F, & White, J A 1996, <i>Facility Layout and Location: an Analytical Approach (2nd edition)</i> , Prentice-Hall |
| | 3. | Daskin, M S 2013, Network and Discrete Location: Models Algorithms, and Applications (2^{nd} edition), Wiley |
| | 4. | Simchi-Levi, D, Chen, X, Bramel, J 2008, The Logic of Logistics, Springer |
| | 5. | Sule, D R 2001, Logistics of Facility Location and Allocation, CRC Press |
| | 6. | Necsulescu, D S 2002, Mechatronics, Prentice Hall |
| | 7. | Wells, K, Travis, J 1996, <i>LabVIEW for Everyone: Graphical Programming Made Even Easier</i> , Prentice Hall |
| | 8. | Lahmar, M 2007, Facility Logistics: Approaches and Solutions to Next Generation Challenges, Auerbach Publications |
| | 9. | Sule, D R 2008, Manufacturing Facilities: Location, Planning, and Design (3 rd edition), Taylor & Francis |
| | 10. | Garcia-Diaz, A, Smith, J M 2007, Facilities Planning and Design, Prentice Hall |

| Subject Code | ISE375 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Enterprise Systems Modeling and Design | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | |
| Level | 3 | | | |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil | | | |
| Objectives | This subject aims to enable students to | | | |
| | 1. have fundamental concepts of enterprises systems and modeling; | | | |
| | 2. understand various methods in modeling enterprise systems; | | | |
| | 3. apply basic system design methods; | | | |
| | 4. analyze and evaluate an enterprise system with reference to the predefined specification. | | | |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of this subject, students will be able to | | | |
| Outcomes | a. demonstrate good understanding on the fundamental concepts of enterprises systems and modeling; | | | |
| | b. understand the processes and logic of enterprise modeling; | | | |
| | c. identify and apply the appropriate methods in enterprise modeling; | | | |
| | d. perform system analysis to justify the feasibility of an enterprise system. | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Principles of Enterprise Modeling</u> | | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | • Fundamentals of enterprise systems, and process modeling; | | | |
| | • Various types modeling in enterprise systems: Entity modeling; Role modeling; Process modeling, Workflow modeling etc. | | | |
| | • Enterprise modeling approaches: Business process modeling. | | | |
| | 2. <u>System Modeling Methods</u> | | | |
| | • Process modeling – e.g. Entity-relationship diagrams (ERD); Data flow diagram (DFD); State transition diagram (STD); | | | |
| | • Modeling tools - Object modeling and Rapid application development using CASE tools, etc. | | | |

| | 3. System Analysis and | d Design | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------|------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|---------|
| | • System development life cycle; | | | | | | | |
| | • Feasibility analysis and requirements collection: Interviewing and questionnaires; | | | | | | | |
| | • | • System modeling; Specification analysis and development; System verification and validation; System integration, test, and evaluation | | | | | | - |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mix of lectures, tutorials, in-class exercises, lab exercises, and projects are adopted. Lectures and Lab exercises illustrate fundamental principles of system design and modeling. Practical problems are discussed in tutorial classes. | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intend be ass | | ject lea | arning c | outcom | es to |
| | | | a | b | с | d | | |
| | 1. Individual assignment (s) | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Lab /Tutorial | 10% | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| | 3. Group project | 30% | | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| | 4. Tests | 40% | \checkmark | ✓ | ~ | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | Continuous assessments consist of individual assignments, lab exercises or in class tutorial exercises, project, and tests are adopted. | | | | | | | |
| | In-class exercises and a knowledge learnt from the knowledge in real-lit objective assessments or | the lectures. fe case of ent | Group erprise | Projec system | t requi | res stuc are us | lents to | o apply |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lecture | | | | | | | 24 Hrs. |
| | Laboratory/Tutorial /Case studies/Tests | | | | | | | 15 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effor | rt: | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for the project reports, the | | | | - | | | 77 Hrs. |

| | | quizzes. | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|----------------------------|--|
| | Tot | otal student study effort | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. | Leong, Cheong, 2015, Business Modeling with Spreadsheets McGraw Hill. | , 3 rd edition, | |
| | 2. | Whitten, J and Bentley, L, 2005, System Analysis and Design edn, McGraw Hill | Methods 5 th | |
| | 3. | | | |
| | 4. | | | |
| | 5. | Wasson, C 2006, System Analysis, Design, and Developmen Principles, and Practices, Wiley | t: Concepts, | |
| | 6. | Marshall, C 2000, Enterprise Modeling with UML: Designin, Software Through Business Analysis, Addison-Wesley | g Successful | |

| Subject Code | ISE376 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Entrepreneurship and Innovation | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | |
| Level | 3 | | | |
| | 5 | | | |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil | | | |
| Objectives | The objectives of the subject are to enable the students to | | | |
| | 1. gain an overview of the concept of entrepreneurship and entrepreneurship strategies; | | | |
| | 2. develop an awareness of the sources/processes of innovation; | | | |
| | 3. develop the ability to analyze innovative business. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to | | | |
| Outcomes | a. understand entrepreneurship strategies in which innovation is an important part of business and corporate strategy; | | | |
| | b. recognize various types of innovations and their processes; | | | |
| | c. apply the techniques involved in assessing corporate ventures; | | | |
| | d. evaluate the management of innovative business development or processes from a strategic and contemporary viewpoint. | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ | Entrepreneurship and Industry Analysis | | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | 1. <u>Overview of Entrepreneurship</u> | | | |
| | This provides the fundamental concept of entrepreneurship and relevant issues. | | | |
| | 2. <u>Understanding Industry Context and Entrepreneurship Strategies</u> | | | |
| | This details the approaches to justify the industry context. | | | |
| | This introduces the various strategies involved in the business development process. | | | |

| 8-41 |
|------|
|------|

| | Innovation and Business Development 3. <u>Introduction of Innovation Types</u> Innovation styles and approaches are discussed. 4. <u>Implementation of Innovation</u> This discusses the approaches to integrate innovation in entrepreneurship. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The teaching/learning approach combines lectures, cases, and in-class activities. Each session includes a number of readings (required/optional) pertaining to the theme of the session. Lectures: Lectures are conducted to give students an overview of the fundamental concepts and theories. Case studies are given to students to facilitate the application of learned knowledge and interactive knowledge sharing. In-class activities include seminars by industrialists and projects involving hands-on experience on the subject. |

| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | |
| | 1. Ind. Assessments, in-class activities and Test(s) | 50 % | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 2. Project | 30 % | | | ~ | ~ | | |
| | 3. Reflection | 20 % | | | | ~ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | • | |
| | Assessment me students' unders are also required Assessment met to assess the stud techniques in a innovative entrep | tanding of the toparticipate hods 2 & 3: I dents' ability ssessing corp | ne basic e in in-c Project in appl | e conce class ac and ine ying lea | epts of tivities dividua arned k | the sub and I reflect | ject, students ions are used ge, that is, the | |
| Student Study | Class contact | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures / Test(s) 3 hours/week x 6 weeks | | | | | 18 Hrs. | | |
| | Case studies/Workshops/Guided project/ Reflection 3 hours x 7 weeks | | | | 21 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study efforts | | | | | | | |
| | Individual reading and assignments | | | 42 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Project | | | 42 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Total student study effor | rt | | | | | 123 Hrs. | |

| Reading List and References | 1. | Dorf, R C & Byers, T H 2008, <i>Technology Ventures: From Idea to Enterprise</i> , 2 nd edn, McGraw Hill |
|--------------------------------|----|---|
| | 2. | Hisrich, R D, Peters, M P, & Shepherd, D A. 2008, <i>Entrepreneurship</i> , 7 th edn, McGraw Hill |
| | 3. | Gerry, G & Bock, A 2009, Inventing Entrepreneurs: Technology Innovators and their Entrepreneurial Journey, Prentice Hall |
| | 4. | Drucker, F P 1985, Innovation and Entrepreneurship, New York: Harper Business |

| Subject Code | ISE4004 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Enterprise Resources Planning |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. the fundamental principles of Enterprise Resources Planning (ERP); |
| | 2. the major components in an ERP system and the relationship between these components; Selection, Evaluation and Implementation of ERP; |
| | 3. the basic skills in developing corporate strategies. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| | a. identify major components in an ERP system and conduct feasibility of ERP; |
| | b. develop effective corporate strategies and to implement these strategies using ERP. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | The topics of this syllabus are: |
| Indicative Syllabus | 1. <u>Enterprise Systems</u> |
| | Strategic inventory management; collaborative planning; forecasting; replenishment. |
| | 2. <u>Enterprise Strategy</u> |
| | Enterprise and corporate strategies; strategic management building; implementation techniques. |
| | 3. <u>Enterprise Resources Planning (ERP) Solutions</u> |
| | Distribution items and purchased material, manufactured items, sales and operation planning, sales order processing, warehouse management, production order processing, multisite operations. |
| | |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, seminars, and case studies will be used to illustrate and teach the fundamental principles of Enterprise Resources Planning (ERP). The use of a typical enterprise system (such as Microsoft Dynamics) and an enterprise simulator will enable students to solve problems in a simulated enterprise environment. Such environment enables students to develop effective corporate strategies and to implement these strategies in an organizational context. It also enables to improve students' strategic management skills and to increase the quality of their business decision making. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | ded subject | t learning ou | tcomes to |
| Outcomes | | weighting | a | b | | |
| | 1. Laboratory work | 35% | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | 2. Assignment | 30% | | ✓ | | |
| | 3. Quiz | 20% | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | 4. Case Study | 15% | | ~ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | |
| | The quiz is designed to complexity of a moder students' performance in strategy. The laborator students' understanding performance in the simu | rn enterprise. n presenting t ry work and g in corporate | The c the cond assign strateg | ase study cepts of th nments ar cy develop: | is designed e enterprise e designed | to appraise systems and to measure |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures/Tutorials | 21 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Seminar/Case Stud | 18 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Other student study effo | | | | | |
| | Preparation Work | for Laborator | y and A | ssignment | t | 30 Hrs. |
| | Quiz preparation | | | | | 21 Hrs. |
| | Case Study prepare | ation | | | | 12 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effor | rt | | | | 102 Hrs. |

| Reading List and References | 1. Hamilton, S 2009, Managing Lean Manufacturing Using Microsoft Dynamics AX 2009, Visions First |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | 2. Hamilton, S 2009, Managing Your Supply Chain Using Microsoft Dynamics AX 2009, McGraw-Hill |
| | 3. DeWit, B & Meyer, R 2003, <i>Strategy: Process, Content,</i> 3 rd edn, International Thomson Business Press |
| | 4. Blanchard, BS 2003, <i>Logistics Engineering and Management</i> , 6 th edn, Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, N.J. |
| | Stock, R. & Lambert M. 2001, Strategic Logistics Management, 4th edn, McGraw-Hill Publishing Company |

| Subject Code | ISE4006 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Integrative Studies in Enterprise Systems and Management |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. the ability to work at their own pace, in groups as well as individually; |
| | 2. the understanding of the importance of teamwork and the complexity of a modern enterprise; |
| | 3. the knowledge to develop business plans/proposals in implementing an enterprise project; |
| | 4. the skills to design, develop and evaluate a prototype of enterprise portal. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. learn various skills and practical knowledge at their own pace; |
| | b. start-up of a business with entrepreneurial business skills; |
| | c. build an enterprise portal with needed features to show the business idea; |
| | d. assess and critique the quality of work of their peer group. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | 1. <u>Company Formation</u> |
| Indicative Synabus | Company registration, role play to be company secretary and directors, |
| | 2. <u>Business Plan Development</u> |
| | Apply brainstorming techniques to develop innovative business ideas, formulate company strategies using SWOT analysis, analyse market and competitive environments using PEST and Porter's Five Forces analysis, formulate marketing strategies according to different stage of product life cycle, designing marketing program, and financial and operation plans, financial and risk analyses. |
| | 3. <u>Business Plan Write-up and Competition</u> |
| | Business plan writing, application prototype, portal development, design, plan and control of an enterprise, business plan competition and interview. |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Throughout the duration of the project, the project tutors provide guidance and monitor the progress of the business projects. The project-based learning approach is recommended for adoption. It is a systematic teaching method engaging students to learn the essential knowledge and life-enhancing skills through extended and student-influenced inquiry process, which are structured around complex and real problems. Before commencing this project, students are required to form a company wit 5 to 7 students and do the company registration, attend briefing and semina sessions to ensure its smooth running. In particular, one of these will includ business plan writing and portal development. This will contain topics that wi assist students to plan, schedule and control the various activities involved s as to effectively complete their work within the time frame allowed. I addition, other topic areas will be covered including, the awareness of variou engineering options, strategic management skills, creativity and ide generation, and the use of the IT skills that they will have learnt in Year 1 of the programme. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | ntended subject learning outcomes to e assessed | | | | | | | |
| | | | а | b | с | d | | | | | |
| | Progress meeting | 10% | | ~ | ~ | | | | | | |
| | Presentation and portal demonstration | 40% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | Report writing | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | | |
| | Interview | 20% | | ~ | ~ | | | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | 1 | _ | | | |
| | a. Students have to at develop their own b. In conducting the develop their own company portal. c. Students have to prand attend an inter d. Students have to c their work. | to ex an and form of | ecute l devel | how to loping a apetition | | | | | | | |

| Student Study | Class contact: | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------|
| Effort Expected | Business Plan briefing and seminars | 9 Hrs. |
| | Progress meeting 1hour/week for 9 weeks | 9 Hrs. |
| | Presentation | 8 Hrs. |
| | Interview | 1 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Research and preparation | 30 Hrs. |
| | Report writing | 40 Hrs. |
| | Preparation for presentation and interview | 30 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 127 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | 1. Knowles, Ronald A. 2007, Small Business – An Entrepren Toronto, Ont. Thomson Nelson | eur's Plan, |
| | 2. Truitt Wesley B. 2002, <i>Business Planning: A Comprehensive and Process</i> , Quorum Books | Framework |
| | 3. Capezio Peter 2010, Manager's Guide for Business Plannin, Hill | g, McGraw |
| | 4. Applegate Jane 2011, 201 Great Ideas for Your Small Bloomberg Press | l Business, |
| | 5. Finch Brian 2013, <i>How to Write a Business Plan</i> , Kogan Page | Limited |
| | 6. InfoSci-Books 2011, Global Business Concepts, Methodolo and Applications, Business Science Reference | gies, Tools |

| Subject Code | ISE4008 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Individual Project |
| Credit Value | 6 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | While the specific objectives of individual projects may vary from one project to another, students are expected to develop the following generic skills through the learning experience of working on an individual project under the guidance of a supervisor: |
| | 1. Skills to obtain information needed to formulate a problem, and to devise and implement strategies that will produce a solution. |
| | 2. Skills to apply knowledge, procedures (principles, techniques and methods), and to understand their limitations in problem identification, data analysis and formulation of logical observations and or solutions. |
| | 3. Skills to work effectively as an individual using one's own initiative and within constraints. |
| | 4. Skills to prepare, present, and defend a project report effectively. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. understand the background, as well as define the objectives (time, cost and technical requirements) and deliverables of a project that address a significant issue relevant to the award pursued by the student; |
| | b. formulate strategies and methodologies to achieve the project objectives within the constraints of a given situation; |
| | c. select, apply, integrate and, ideally, extend available knowledge, procedures and tools to collect data in performing the needed investigational or design work, and to draw conclusions that address the project objectives; |
| | d. communicate effectively with stakeholders of the project outputs and work independently to produce, within applicable constraints, optimal solutions that address the project objectives; |
| | e. prepare, present, and defend a clear, coherent and succinct report. |

| | 1 |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Throughout the duration of the project, the supervisor provides guidance and monitors the progress of the project. |
| | The progression of the project typically follows the following indicative stages: |
| | Project Definition – in this stage, the student will work in consultation with the project supervisor to draw up a project plan addressing issues such as: |
| | • Background of the project |
| | • Aims and objectives |
| | • Deliverables |
| | • Project scope and applicable constraints |
| | Coverage of literature review |
| | Methodologies to be considered |
| | • Project schedule |
| | Project Execution – This is the major part of the project. After the project requirements are defined, the student will work independently under the guidance of the project supervisor towards the achievement of the project objectives and produce the project deliverables in a given situation. On his own initiative, the student will meet the project supervisor regularly to review progress and discuss issues of the project. In this stage, the student should demonstrate: |
| | • Adherence to the schedule |
| | • Initiatives to acquire and synthesize knowledge, collect the needed data, and solve problems |
| | • Tenacity, resourcefulness, critical thinking and creativity in achieving project objectives |
| | • Systematic documentation of data, design and results throughout the process |
| | The student is required to maintain a project workbook that records the meetings held and summarizes the work performed in this stage. |
| | Project Report – On completion of the project, the student will disseminate the results to his peers and examiners to review. The major deliverables of this stage are: |
| | • A written project report (softcopy and hardcopy) |
| | • An oral presentation |
| | • Taking questions and comments in a question-and-answer session |
| | The proposed project defined by the student and/or the supervisor should be in an area relevant to the discipline. The project will be used as a vehicle for |

| | the student to integrate to achieve the subject le mainly focused on li Depends on the nature include the background works; experiments; da and conclusion. | arning outcor terature revi of the projec l and scope | nes, it is lew or t, the w of the | s not app pure c ork cov project; | oropriate computer ers by the literatu | to have progra he stude re revie | projects amming. ents may w, field | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Assessment Methods in | Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subjbe assessed | | | | ct learni | ng outco | omes to | | |
| Alignment with Intended Learning | | | a | b | c | d | e | | |
| Outcomes | Progress | 15% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | Workbook | 10% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | Final Report | 50% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | Oral Presentation | 25% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | report should be a clear, coherent and succinct document that disseminate the background, problem statement, objectives and expected deliverables, literature review, methodologies, project execution, analysis and, where appropriate, design, as well as discussion and conclusions. Thus, the written report and the oral presentation are assessed by the project supervisor and a co-examiner to determine the achievement of all the learning outcomes of the project work. The project supervisor, who communicates regularly with the student, will | | | | | | | | |
| | assess the student's progr | 61 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | | | | | |
| | Briefing on Final Ye | 0 | | | 2 Hrs. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 2 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: • Meetings with Supervisor and/or project stakeholders 2 Hrs. × 13 | | | | | 26 Hrs. | | | |
| | Literature review/fie | ld work/expe | riments | | | 12 | 20 Hrs. | | |
| | Analysis/report writing | | | | 90 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Total student study effor | | 240 Hrs. | | | | | | |

| Reading List and References | 1. | Blaxter, L., et al. 2001, <i>How to Research</i> , 2 nd edn, Open University Press |
|--------------------------------|----|--|
| Kererences | 2. | Bryman, A. 1989, Research Methods and Organization Studies, Unwin Hyman |
| | 3. | Campbell, W.G., et al. 1990, Forms and Style: Thesis, Reports, Term Papers, 8 th edn, Boston, Houghton Mifflin |
| | 4. | Murray, Rowena 2002, How to Write a Thesis, Open University Press |

| Subject Cala | 185421 |
|--|--|
| Subject Code | ISE431 |
| Subject Title | Engineering Costing and Evaluation |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with knowledge of |
| | 1. the major types of costing methods and budgeting operations that support engineering cost analysis and project/operations planning and control; |
| | 2. concepts and techniques of economic analysis that can be applied to solving engineering and business problems; |
| | 3. methods that evaluate/support engineering projects and operations. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| | a. apply costing principles and techniques to the planning and control of profitability in the production of goods and services in the engineering industry; |
| | b. prepare budgets and relate them to production plans for performance evaluation; |
| | c. apply the principles and techniques of economic analysis to the appraisal of investment alternatives; |
| | d. understand the foregoing principles and apply the foregoing techniques in the evaluation of engineering projects. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | 1. <u>Costing in the Production of Goods and Services in the Engineering</u> <u>Industry</u> |
| | Production and operation costs; job and product costing; process costing; absorption of overhead; cost behaviour and cost estimation; functional-based costing; activity-based costing; cost database and its maintenance; learning curve; cost-volume-profit analysis; pricing and profitability analysis; make-or-buy decisions. |
| | 2. <u>Performance Planning and Evaluation</u> |
| | Enterprise strategy and budget setting; standard costing and variance |

| | analysis; flexible budgeting and variance analysis; production plan; cash budget; profit plan; master budget; performance evaluation; balanced scorecard and its implementation. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------------|---|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | 3. Engineering and Project Economic Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost and benefit concepts; worth measures and efficiency measures; time value of money; capital budgeting and investment appraisal decisions; financing methods; cost of capital; evaluation of project alternatives using discounted cash flow methods; opportunity cost; lease versus buy decisions; replacement and timing decisions; effects of tax and depreciation; sensitivity and risk analysis in project evaluation. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. <u>Engineering Evalua</u> | <u>ution</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | Technological forecasting; evaluation of technological innovation; environmental cost evaluation and management. Process and the social context of engineering decision making. | | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, and case studies is used to deliver the various topics in this subject. Some material is covered using a problem-based format where this advances the learning objectives. Other material is covered through directed study to enhance the students' self-learning abilities. Tutorials, projects, and case studies are conducted mainly as group activities so that students can discuss and practice the materials learnt in the class. This also stimulates further thinking about the materials together with the factors to be considered in solving problems related to the subject. | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intend be ass | | ject lea | arning out | comes to | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | | | |
| | 1. Continuous assessment (Assignments/ Projects/Case studies) 40% ✓ ✓ ✓ | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Examination | 60% | ~ | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | The assignments, projects, and case studies are designed to assess students' capability to synthesise and apply the concepts and skills learnt in analysing and solving engineering costing and evaluation problems. | | | | | | | | | |
| | The final examination assesses students' understanding of the concepts and their ability to apply the skills learnt to analysing and solving problems related to the subject. | | | | | | | | | |

| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | Lectures 2 hours/week for 13 week | s 26 Hrs. |
| | Tutorials/Case studies | 12 Has |
| | 1.5 hours/week for 8 weeks + 1 hou | r 13 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Studying and self-learning | 58 Hrs. |
| | Assignment and report writing | 26 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 123 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | 1. Hartman, J C 2007, <i>Engineering Economy and the L</i> <i>Process</i> , Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall | ecision-Making |
| | 2. Chan, S P 2012, <i>Fundamentals of Engineering Econom</i> River, N.J.: Pearson/Prentice Hall | ics, Upper Saddle |
| | 3. Horngren, C T, Datar, S M & Foster, G 2011, Co Managerial Emphasis, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson | • |
| | 4. Rogers, M & Duffy, A 2012, <i>Engineering Project A</i> Blackwell Science | ppraisal, Oxford: |

| Subject Code | ISE448 |
|-------------------|--|
| Subject Title | Production Logistics |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite | ISE246 Introduction to Logistics Engineering |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. an introduction to basic concepts and practices in production logistics; |
| | 2. comprehensive coverage of both traditional methods and contemporary topics in production logistics; |
| | 3. understanding of the importance and functionalities of inventory control and of the application of the principles of inventory costs, policies, and models for the control and management of dependent and independent inventories; |
| | 4. techniques to design and examine warehouses, material handling systems, and transportation systems. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. examine an existing work situation in production logistics environment and conduct a productivity improvement program; |
| | b. apply appropriate techniques for improving an existing warehouse and for designing a new material handling system; |
| | c. understand the functionalities and importance of inventory control and management of a production logistics system; |
| | d. apply the principles of inventory control and management in managing dependent and independent inventories, with consideration of the element of demand uncertainty; |
| | e. determine product demand forecasts and establish an optimal routine for production transportation; |
| | f. apply established algorithms for transportation management. |
| | |

| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. | Introduction | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------|---------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|--|--|
| Indicative Syllabus | | Productivity, logistics mission, the work of logistics, intego logistics, operating objectives, barriers to internal integration, log performance cycles, and managing operational uncertainty | | | | | | | - | | |
| | 2. | 2. MRP, DRP and Inventory Management | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dependent and independent demand inventory; Material red planning and distribution requirements planning; Economic quantity and reordering models; Planning inventory resou accommodating uncertainty; Vendor inventory management The just-in-time concept; Collaborative, planning, forecas replenishment methods | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | Warehouse design | n and material | handl | ing | | | | | | |
| | | Warehouse design: Layout design, the concept of honeycomb loss in goods stacking, method of assigning dedicated storages; Material handling: Equipment types and quantitative flow balance analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | General forecast considerations, forecast processes, and techniques; Simulation game to illustrate forecasting techniques and forecasting impact on managing a supply chain | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. | Distribution netw | orks and Supp | oly Cha | ain Dyr | namics | | | | | |
| | | Function of dist processes, determ warehouse manag | ining the nun | nber of | | 0 | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, laboratories, and case studies are used to deliver the various topics in this subject, some of which are covered in a problem-based format to enhance learning objectives. Others are covered by directed study in order to enhance students' ability in "learning to learn." Some case studies, largely based on actually industrial practices, are used to integrate topics and thereby demonstrate to students how the various techniques are inter-related. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in | | ecific assessment ethods/tasks | % weighting | | ded sul assess | • | arning | outcon | nes | | |
| Alignment with Intended Learning | a b c d e | | | | | | | | f | | |
| Outcomes | 1. | Group project | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | 2. | Laboratory work | 20% | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 3. | Case study | 20% | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 4. | Quiz | 20% | | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | | | | |

| | | n-class ssignment | 10% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|-------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Tot | al | 100% | | | | | | | | |
| | The quiz and in-class assignments are designed to measure the studen depth of knowledge in production logistics. Laboratory work and case stu are designed to reflect the students' understanding of inventory management issues in production logistics. The group project is designed to apprais student recommendations in applying different techniques on production a warehouse layout design. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enort Expected | Lectures/Tutorial | | | | | | | 21 Hrs. | | | |
| | Seminar/Case studies | | | | | | | 18 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study effort | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Self-learning and practice for laboratory work | | | | | | | 20 Hrs. | | | |
| | Self-learning and practice for project | | | | | | | 28 Hrs. | | | |
| | Assignment and quiz preparation | | | | | | | 25 Hrs. | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | | | 112 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Stephens, MP & Meyers, FE 2010, <i>Manufacturing Facilities D</i> and Material Handling, 4 th edn, Boston: Pearson Prentice Hall | | | | | | | | Design | | |
| | 2. Nyhuis, P 2009, Fundamentals of Production Logistics: Theory, Tools and Applications, Berlin: Springer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Sule, DR 2009, <i>Manufacturing Facilities: Location, Planning, and Design,</i> 3 rd edn, Boca Raton: CRC Press | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chase, RB, Jacobs, FR & Aquilano, NJ 2006, Open for Competitive Advantage, 11th edn, Irwin, New Yo Tompkins, JA, 2003, Facilities Planning, 3rd edn Wiley | | | | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoboken, N.J.: J. | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE449 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Mobile Technologies for Logistics Systems |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject aims to |
| | 1. enable students to understand the concept of mobile technology and to apply relevant techniques to solve traditional logistics process problem; |
| | 2. provide students with knowledge in applying latest commercial available hardware and software technologies to enable efficient information capturing, processing and exchanges among various business entities in today's supply chain and logistics environment; |
| | 3. provide a working knowledge of latest information and communication technology and an interactive environment in which students can learn and practice their skills in mobile applications. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. understand the existing logistics operations and to design process improvement procedures in various supply chain areas; |
| | b. apply appropriate mobile devices and data capturing techniques to improve data exchange and information flow; |
| | c. integrate existing logistics infrastructure into mobile technologies to form a more effective system; |
| | d. identify the advantages and limitations of mobile technologies in various areas. |
| | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | <u>Basics of Mobile Technologies</u> Mobility of data; Industry classifications of mobile technologies; Mobile network infrastructure concepts and capabilities |
| | network infrastructure concepts and capabilities. |
| | 2. <u>Information Exchange, Identification, Location and Tracking Techniques</u> Identification techniques (Barcode/Smartcard/RFID); Logistics |

| | management requirements; Wireless Network Services (WAP/SMS); Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) business tools; Image capture and transmission using camera phones; Video streaming and conferencing. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | <u>Workflow Improvement</u> Business automation tools; Mobile functionality requirements for productivity support; Personal productivity tools; Instant messaging using mobile technology; Technology integration requirements. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. <u>Mobile Applications in Different Areas</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | Integration of message delivery services; Export/import process enhancement; Mobile security; Personalization of consumer profiles; Mobile entertainment; Mobile platform functionality; Market growth attributes and projections. | | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mix of lectures, tutori used to deliver the mod cases, are used to dem applied to improve the e | dules in this su nonstrate to stu | ubject. udents | Case s how th | studies, | largely ba | sed on real | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | - | | | | | earning outcomes to | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | | | |
| | 1. Laboratory Exercise | 25% | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 2. Case Study | 15% | ✓ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | | | |
| | 3. Mini Project | 30% | ✓ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | | | |
| | 4. Test | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | Laboratory exercises p good tools to measur- related to mobile tech opportunities for stude problem solving in dif their individual perform | e the students nnology. The nts to share the fferent supply | s' prac case s leir idea chain a | tical sl tudy a as and | cills in nd mir evaluat | applying i project e their kn | principles give good owledge in | | | |

| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Effort Expected | Lecture/Tutorial 3 hours/week for 9 weeks | 27 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Laboratory/Case Study 3 hours/week for 4 weeks | 12 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | |
| | Self Study/Group Discussion for Mini Project, Case Study and Laboratory Exercise | 30 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Preparation for Presentation and Write-up Assignment | 28 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Preparation for Test | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | 112 Hrs. | | | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Hedgepeth WO 2007, <i>RFID Metrics: Decision Making T</i> <i>Supply Chains</i> , CRC Press | Tools for Today's | | | | |
| | 2. Sadeh N 2002, Mobile Commerce: Technologies, Servit Models, Wiley | ces and Business | | | | |
| | 3. Anderson C 2001, GPRS and 3G Wireless Applications, | Wiley | | | | |
| | 4. Landt J 2001, Shrouds of Time The history of RFID, AIN | A Inc. | | | | |
| | 5. Buckingham S 2000, <i>Success 4 SMS</i> , Mobile Lifestream | S | | | | |
| | 6. Rankl W and Effing W 2000, <i>Smart Card Handboo</i> Wiley and Sons Australia Ltd. | k, 2 nd edn, John | | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE450 |
|---------------------|---|
| Subject Title | Simulation of Logistics Systems |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite | ISE246 Introduction to Logistics Engineering |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with an in-depth knowledge of the techniques of computer simulation in general industrial and logistics systems. On completion, students will be capable of |
| | 1. understanding the role of simulation in design, planning, and control of industrial and logistics systems; |
| | 2. identifying how discrete event simulation can be used to model and analyze the performance of industrial and logistics systems; |
| | 3. assessing available simulation packages in the market in relation to particular requirements for the analysis of industrial and logistics systems; |
| | 4. planning and managing the design and development of industrial and logistics systems using relevant simulation software. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. understand the concept of simulation and various techniques of modeling industrial and logistics systems using computer simulation; |
| | b. understand the behavior of logistics system; |
| | c. identify the bottlenecks of industrial and logistics systems through computer simulation, and verify feasible scenarios to improve the performance of such systems; |
| | d. formulate different approaches in solving simulation problems that involve analysis of the performance of different parties in a supply chain. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Introduction</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | A comprehensive and state-of-the-art treatment of all the important aspects of a simulation study, including modeling, simulation software, model verification, and validation, input modeling, statistical design, and analysis of simulation experiments |
| | 2. System Dynamics and Modeling Techniques |
| | Definition of a system; System variables; Problem formulation; Discrete event simulation |

| | r | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | 3. | Supply Chain Simulation and Decision-Making using Simulation |
| | | The value of information; Supply chain variation; Bullwhip effect; Risk pooling |
| | 4. | Waiting line |
| | | Basic probability; Queuing theory, and multi-stage and multi-server problems |
| | 5. | Computer Modeling and Experimentation |
| | | Model design, testing, verification and validation, alternative approaches to computer modeling; Role of experimental design; Design approach; Factorial designs; Determination of optimum conditions in different scenarios of logistics systems |
| | 6. | Using Simulation for General Industrial System Analysis and Design |
| | | Optimization; Comparison of systems; System analysis technique; Useful industrial tools |
| | 7. | Simulating Logistics Systems |
| | | Techniques in simulating production logistics systems, such as machine setup, machine loading, rework and scrap, and transfer machines; Techniques in simulating transportation and material handling, such as conveyers, vehicles, carousels, automatic-guided vehicles, and robots; Techniques in simulating other systems, such as banks, retail stores, service factories, professional services, distribution centers, delivery services, and transportation services |
| | 8. | Typical Tutorials/Case Studies |
| | | Modeling and experimentation using a ProModel |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | used for t simu orien proc gene on a syste desi varien | ixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, laboratory work, and case studies are I to deliver the various topics in this subject. Different types of scenarios the analysis of industrial and logistics systems are adopted using various alation software programs as problem-solving tools. The subject is project- nted, and exposes students to hands-on experience in the simulation ess, including design, model construction, validation of the model, eration of results, and model maintenance. Some case studies, largely based actually industrial practices, are used to illustrate operations of logistics ems using appropriate simulation packages. The in-class assignments are gned to facilitate students' understanding of the concept of simulation and bus techniques in modeling industrial and logistics systems using computer alation. |

| Assessment Methods | | | - | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------|----|---|---------|--------------------|--|--|
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | | |
| | 1. Quiz | 30% | ~ | | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Group project | 30% | | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | 3. Lab exercises | 20% | | | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 4. Individual assignment | 10% | ~ | | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 5. In-class assignment | 10% | ~ | | ✓ | ~ | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Laboratory work is des formulate logistics proble the simulation approach. Class contact: | - | | | | | • | | |
| Effort Expected | | | | | | | 17.11 | | |
| | Lecture | 15 Hrs. | | | | | | | |
| | Tutorial | 6 Hrs. | | | | | | | |
| | Laboratory/Project | | | | | 18 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study effort Self-learning and practice for Laboratory work | | | | | 20 Hrs. | | | |
| | | actice for La | borato | y | | | 20 Hrs. | | |
| | | | | гу | | | 20 Hrs. 23 Hrs. | | |
| | work | actice for Pro- | oject | ry | | | | | |

| Reading List and References | 1. | Harrell, C, Ghosh, B.K. & Bowden, R 2000, <i>Simulation using ProModel</i> , Boston : McGraw-Hill |
|--------------------------------|----|---|
| | 2. | Simchi-Levi, D & Kaminsky, P 2003, <i>Designing and Managing the Supply Chain</i> , 2 nd edn, Boston: McGraw-Hill |
| | 3. | ProModel User Guide, 2000, ProModel Corporation |
| | 4. | Law, AM & Kelton, W.D. 2000, Simulation Modeling and Analysis, Boston: McGraw-Hall |
| | 5. | Pidd, M 1989, Computer Modelling for Discrete Simulation, Chichester, New York: Wiley |
| | 6. | Banks, J 1998, Handbook of Simulation, New York: Wiley |
| | 7. | Render, B, Stair, RM JR &. Hanna, ME 2006, <i>Quantitative Analysis for Management</i> , 9 th Edition, Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall |

| Subject Code | ISE457 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Business Process Management |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject aims at enabling students to |
| | 1. appraise the importance of structuring and measuring business processes in an organization; |
| | 2. identify and build business processes for various business applications; |
| | 3. apply appropriate measures to assess, report and improve the performance of business processes. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. describe the basic concept of business process management; |
| | b. assess the organizational implications of functional and process-centric management; |
| | c. illustrate the process of designing and developing a Business Process Management Solution; |
| | d. configure and manage a business process management system with knowledge of the scope and limitations of such tools; |
| | e. develop an overall understanding of team building and governance of processes in an organization. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. Introduction to Business Process Management |
| Indicative Syllabus | Definition of business process management; Process and workflow life cycle; Transformation of a functional enterprise to a process-centric enterprise; Business value and risk of process automation. |
| | 2. <u>Business Process Management Solution Development</u> |
| | Business process management solution architectures; Business process analysis; BPM Process Development; BPM reporting and monitoring. BPM and application integration; BPM and Robotic Process Automation; Configuration of business process management solutions; BPM software vendor products; and Evaluation and selection. |
| | 3. <u>Technology for Business Process Management</u> |
| | |

| | Process Modeling Standards - Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN); Process repository and Business rules systems. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|---|------|---|---|---|--------|--|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mix of lectures, laboratories, tutorial exercises, and projects is used to deliver the various topics in this subject. Practical problems and case studies are raised as a focal point for discussion in tutorial classes. Laboratory session(s) are also used to illustrate and assimilate some fundamental principles of business process management, some of which are covered in a problem-based format and exercises to enhance the learning objectives. Others are covered through directed study in order to enhance the students' ability of "learning to learn." The subject stresses creative thinking, and problem solving approach. Local and overseas case studies are also included to reinforce understanding and enhance practicality. | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | e | | | |
| | 1. Assignment | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | 2. Forum discussions | 10% | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Tests | 40% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Project | 30% | | | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | | |
| | The coursework consists of assignments with individual and group components, usually up to two individual tests. All assessment components require students to apply concepts delivered in lectures to real life cases. The assignments require students to conduct background research on BPM and identify, among others, potential applications and benefits. Short quizzes are also used to test student's understanding of the taught concepts and ability to apply BPM to stated situations. As they work in groups, students must tackle a project by identifying the problem structure, representing the processes, presenting and simulating the process flow, as well as identifying innovations and potential improvements in the current design. | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort | Class contact: | | | | | | | | | |
| Expected | Lecture (In-person | & Online) | | | | | 2 | 1 Hrs. | | |
| | Tutorial/Case Stuc | ly/Guest pres | entatio | n(s) | | | 1 | 9 Hrs. | | |
| | Online Bulletin Bo | bard | | | | | | 6 Hrs. | | |
| | Laboratory | | | | | | | 3 Hrs. | | |

| | | 1 | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | |
| | Tackling of assignments and preparation for tests | 35 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Background research and project | 40 Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | | |
| Reading List and | Reference Books | | | | | | |
| References | 1. Burton, R 2001, Business Process Management: Profiting from Process, Sams, Indianapolis | | | | | | |
| | 2. Smith, H and Fingar, P 2006, <i>Business Proce</i> <i>Wave</i> , Megan Kiffer Press, Tampa | ess Management: The Third | | | | | |
| | Journal | | | | | | |
| | 3. Bradford X 2005, <i>Business Process Mana</i> MCB University Press | <i>igement Journal</i> , England: | | | | | |

| Subject Code | ISE460 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Logistics Information Management |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject will provide students with |
| | 1. understanding of the practical applications and principles of logistics information management in dispersed logistics networks; |
| | 2. knowledge of the operation of an order-processing system at the strategic and tactical level; |
| | 3. ability to examine IT applications in transportation and warehouse management; |
| | 4. ability to identify the methodology for implementing the integrated logistics management concept using total cost analysis. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. apply the techniques and concepts of information system for warehousing, distribution, and transportation; |
| | b. identify how the logistics systems can be configured to support global logistics strategy; |
| | c. examine the ordering process and methods for implementing company's logistics information system; |
| | d. apply learned skills in articulating the requirements in processes and procedures related to logistics, marketing, and the total cost concept. |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. <u>Strategic Logistics Management</u> |
| Indicative Syllabus | Global logistics management processes, including environmental analysis, planning, structure, plan implementation, and controlling the logistics program; Factors affecting global logistics strategies; Financial aspect of global logistics and global market opportunities |
| | 2. <u>Managing Order Processing Information System</u> |
| | Customer order cycle, communication function with Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) and Value-Added Networks (VAN); Integrating order |

| | processing and the company's information system; Managing information systems to support time-based competition and e-Procurement | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 3. <u>Distribution Planning Management</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Strategic and operational issues drawn from logistics companies to highlight the use of management information systems for warehousing, freight forwarding, and distribution planning and vehicle routing with Global Position System (GPS) and Geographical Information System (GIS); Data requirement for computer-based distributed systems; Monitoring the performance of logistics system | | | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures and case studies, with the support of laboratory work, is used to deliver the various topics. Lectures on concepts and principles are given in class. Activities at tutorial involve discussions with students regarding their readings and assignments to provide technical guidance during the progress of the projects and render advice on report writing. Hands-on laboratory work and case studies are used to enhance students' understanding and awareness of the latest development and contemporary issues related to the subject area. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | led sub | ject lea | arning o | utcome | es to | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | | | | |
| | 1. Assignments | 15% | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Quiz | 15% | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| | 3. Test | 30% | ~ | ~ | | | | | | | |
| | 4. Projects | 40% | ~ | | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | Total | 100% | | • | • | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | Assignments are designed to assess students' knowledge on the techniques and concepts of information systems through specific operations in warehouse, distribution, and transportation. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The quiz is designed to information systems. | assess studen | ts' knov | wledge | regard | ing the | applica | tion of | | | |
| | Projects are designed in application of logistics logistics information sy | information n | nanager | nent, ir | ncludin | g the de | - | - | | | |
| | The test is designed whether they can presen | | | | tanding | g of the | e topic | es, and | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Student Study | Class contact: | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Effort Expected | • Lectures 3 hours/week for 8 weeks | 24 Hrs. | | |
| | Tutorials 3 hours/week for 2 weeks | 6 Hrs. | | |
| | • Laboratory 3 hours/week for 9 weeks | 9 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | |
| | Working on assignment | 15 Hrs. | | |
| | Preparation for presentation and report writing | 40 Hrs. | | |
| | Preparation for quiz and test | 30 Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | 124 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | 1. Stock, J.R. and Douglas, M.L. 2001, <i>Strateg</i> McGraw-Hill | gic Logistics Management, | | |
| | 2. Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, D. and Simchi-Levi, E. 2003, <i>Designing & Managing the Supply Chain Concepts, Strategies & Case Studies</i> , McGraw Hill | | | |
| | 3. Fawcett, P., McLeish, R. and Ogden, I. 199 Pitman Pub | 2, Logistics Management, | | |
| | 4. Baudin, M. c2004, <i>Lean Logistics: the Nuts Materials and Goods</i> , Productivity Press: New Y | v 0 | | |

| Subject Code | ISE461 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Green Legislation and Supply Chain Logistics | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | |
| Level | 4 | | | |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Knowledge of supply chain management | | | |
| Objectives | The subject relates green practices to supply chain management. Students learn now green legislation has evolved over the years, and the importance and impacts of environmental regulations with respect to supply chain management. In this connection, the environmental impacts of supply chains are discussed. In addition, he course introduces related methodologies and tools for analysing, designing, and improving supply chains in a green context. | | | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: | | | |
| outcomes | a. understand recent trends in green legislation with respect to supply chains; | | | |
| | b. understand the environmental impacts of supply chains and hence the need for green supply chains; | | | |
| | e. apply related methodologies and tools to the design of green supply chain and the improvement of existing supply chains; | | | |
| | d. integrate green practices, based on green legislation, into supply chain activities for sustainable development; | | | |
| | e. have a critical and analytical perspective that enhances their appreciation and independent judgment of green supply chain design; | | | |
| | f. understand the importance of green legislation and thus comply with green regulations in their future professional career. | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ | 1. Overview of Green Supply Chain Management | | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | Recent trends in green supply chain management; environmental impacts of supply chains, the green supply chain as a competitive advantage in today's business environment. | | | |
| | 2. <u>Evolution of Green Legislation</u> | | | |
| | Drivers of green supply chains; recent trends in green legislation; RoHS, WEEE, and REACH; need for and importance of green legislation related to supply chain management. | | | |
| | 3. Life-Cycle Approach to Green Supply Chains | | | |

| | Life-cycle assessment as a tool; greening of supply chains; green supply chain design. | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---|
| | 4. <u>GreenSCOR model</u> | | | | | | | |
| | Supply chain operations reference (SCOR) model; Supply Chain Coun cross-industry standard and diagnostic tool for supply-chain managemed GreenSCOR as a focused model; applications of the GreenSCOR mode a green supply chain. | | | | | gement; | | |
| | 5. <u>Greening Supply Chai</u> | ns by Revers | e Logis | stics | | | | |
| | Reverse logistics; co effective means to red processes; reverse logi | uce operatior | nal cost | | | | - | |
| | 6. <u>Sustainable Developm</u> | <u>ent</u> | | | | | | |
| | Sustainable developm | ent with resp | ect to s | supply | chain 1 | manage | ement. | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures and discussions of industrial case studies in small groups in tutorial sessions is employed. This interactive approach offers better opportunities for students to gain a theoretical understanding of the principles and hands-on experience. Students present the results of their discussion of selected cases in assigned project work either as individuals or in teams. This helps the students to develop a critical and analytical perspective to enhance their appreciation and independent judgment of green supply chain design. Industry experts may be invited to speak on a specific area such as the manufacture of electronics, printed circuit boards, and electrical appliances. This helps the students to understand the recent trends in green legislation with respect to supply chains, and to understand green practices and green supply chains for sustainable development in the real world. | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in | Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed | | | | | nes to | | |
| Alignment with Intended Learning | | | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| Outcomes | 1. Mid-term test | 20% | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | |
| | 2. Reflective Essay | 5% | | | | ~ | | ~ |
| | 3.Take-home assignment | 10% | | | ~ | | ~ | |
| | 4. Mini project (oral presentation and report) | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ~ |
| | 5. Examination | 45% | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | · · · | • | | | | | | |

| | The test and reflective essay are designed to facilitate students to reflect on and apply the knowledge gained of green legislation to real-life cases and industrial companies. The take-home assignment is designed to assess students' ability to apply different logistics techniques in building up and enhancing a green supply chain management system in a typical company. The integrated application-oriented group project is designed to facilitate students to acquire knowledge of the different areas of green legislation and supply chain logistics in various industrial sectors through team work (presentation and report). The final written examination is designed to assess students' understanding of the topic. Students are required to analyze problem-based and case-based questions/scenarios and to present concepts clearly and logically. | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures 2 hours/week for 11 weeks | 22 Hrs. | | | |
| | Tutorials 1 hour/week for 11 weeks | 11 Hrs. | | | |
| | Industrial case studies 3 hours/week for 2 weeks | 6 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | |
| | Preparation for tests and site visit (s) | 12 Hrs. | | | |
| | Execution of the group project | 27 Hrs. | | | |
| | Reading background information in preparation for tutorials; presentation and report writing | 21 Hrs. | | | |
| | Preparation for case studies, the take-home assignment, application software | 27 Hrs. | | | |
| | Total student study effort126 Hrs. | | | | |
| Reading List and | Recommended | | | | |
| References | 1. Sarkis, J., <i>Greener manufacturing and Operations</i> , G Limited, latest edition. | reenleaf Publishing | | | |
| | 2. Taylor, D. and Brunt, D. <i>Manufacturing Operations and Supply Chain Management: The LEAN Approach</i> , Thomson Learning, latest edition. | | | | |
| | Supplementary | | | | |
| | 1. Plenert, G., <i>How to Create an Integrated World Environment</i> , In Reinventing Lean, Chapter 10, pp. 29 | | | | |

| | Heinemann, latest edition. |
|------------|--|
| 2. | Van Hoek, R. I. 2001, Case Studies of Greening the Automotive Supply |
| | <i>Chain Through Technology and Operations</i> , International Journal of Environmental Technology and Management, 1(1-2), 140-163 |
| 3. | Sarkis, J. 2003, A Strategic Decision Framework for Green Supply Chain |
| 5. | Management, Journal of Cleaner Production, 11(4), 397-409 |
| Jour | nals |
| | |
| 1. | Logistics Information Management |
| 2. | Journal of Operations Management |
| 3. | Supply Chain Management: An International Journal. |
| <u>Web</u> | sites |
| 1. | Supply Chain Council: http://www.supply-chain.org |
| 2. | Supply Chain Management for Environmental Improvement: |
| | http://www.pprc.org/pubs/grnchain |
| | |

Subjects offered by School of Accounting and Finance

| Subject Code | AF2111 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Accounting for Decision Making | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Level | 2 | | | |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester | | | |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Exclusions: Financial Accounting (AF2108) Management Accounting 1 (AF2110) | | | |
| Role and Purposes | This subject contributes in providing students with a framework to understand the accounting process in organizations. It also introduces to students the tools to understand and interpret financial information. Students should be capable of making informed decisions, including but not limited_ethical decision making. | | | |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Describe the role and importance of management and financial accounting information in decision-making in a business environment. b. Identify assumptions, principles and conventions underlying financial reports as well as the potential ethical issues in organizations. c. Interpret and analyze financial reports d. Use cost and management accounting information in business decision-making. | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Framework of Financial Reporting Information needs of capital markets, basic financial statements, accounting cycle: capturing economics events; accruals and deferrals; reporting financial results and roles of an auditor. Preparation of Financial Statements Income Statement Retained Earnings Statement Balance Sheet Statement of Cash flows Analysis and Interpretation of Financial Statements Financial ratios, financial statement analysis and measuring business performance. Internal control and corporate governance Ethical decision making and corporate governance. | | | |

| | Costing Principles and Operations Management issues Manufacturing costs, product costs and period costs. Enterprise Resources Planning and other developments in operations management. Activity based costing with multiple activity cost pools and cost drivers relevant to each cost pool. Cost-Volume-Profit (CVP) Analysis Variable and fixed costs, contribution margin & breakeven analysis Incremental Analysis and Capital Budgeting Incremental analysis in make/ buy or stop/ process further and various decisions. The concept of present value and capital expenditure decision making | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | the ideas, concepts and reinforced by a one ho | A two hour mass lecture will be conducted each week to initiate students into the ideas, concepts and techniques of the topics in the syllabus, which is then reinforced by a one hour tutorial designed to consolidate and develop students' knowledge through discussion and practical problem solving. | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment % Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | | |
| | Continuous | 50% | a | b | с | d | |
| | Assessment | 5070 | | | | | |
| | 1. Quizzes (closed book) | 20% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| | 2. Individual Writing Assignment | 18% | | \checkmark | | | |
| | 3. Weekly Assignment | 12% | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | |
| | Final Examination (closed book) | 50% | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | |
| | To pass this subject, st the Continuous Assess | | - | | | O or abov | e in <u>both</u> |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | |
| Effort Required | Lectures | | | | | | 26 Hrs. |
| | Tutorials | | | | | | 13 Hrs. |

| | Other student study effort: | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Reading textbook & other material | 39 Hrs. |
| | Preparation of lecture & tutorial | <i>57</i> ms. |
| | Working on assignments | 39 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 117 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | Kimmel, Weygandt and Kieso, 2012, Account Decision Making, 5th Edition, ISBN: 97811181281 Weygandt, J & et al, 2012, Accounting Principles, Sons, (Asia) Pte Ltd. Larson & Chiapetta, 2013, Fundamental Account Hill Irwin. Marshall, McManus & Viele, 2014, Accounting - McGraw-Hill Irwin. Dyson, J. R. 2010. Accounting for Non-Account Times Prentice Hall. | 769. 10th Edition, John Wiley & <i>ating Principles</i> , McGraw- <i>What the Numbers Mean</i> , |

| Subject Code | AF3625 | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Engineering Economics | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | |
| Level | 3 | | |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester | | |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Exclusion: AF2618 | | |
| Objectives | This subject aims to equip students with | | |
| | the fundamental concepts of micro- and macroeconomics related to the engineering industry; | | |
| | the fundamental understanding of finance and costing for engineering operations, budgetary planning and control. | | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon successful completion of this subject, students will be able to: | | |
| | a. understand how the relevant economic factors shape the environment within which an engineering company operates; | | |
| | b. evaluate the financial condition of a company based on the financial statements; | | |
| | c. apply the basic cost accounting techniques in the planning and control of engineering and production activities. | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Economic Environment of a Firm Microeconomic Factors | | |
| | Scarcity, choice and opportunity cost; Demand, supply and price; Profit-maximizing behavior of the firm; Organization of industry: perfect competition and monopoly | | |
| | Macroeconomic Factors | | |
| | International trade and globalization | | |
| | Accounting and Engineering Economics | | |
| | Financial statements; Financial ratio analysis; Return on investment; Composition of cost; Cost-volume-profit analysis; Accounting profit versus economic profit | | |
| | Fundamentals of Budgetary Planning and Control | | |
| | Principle types of budgets for production and service operations; Approaches to budgeting and the budgeting process; Investment and source of finance; Cost of capital; Evaluation of investment alternatives | | |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The two-hour lecture each week focuses on the introduction and explanation of key concepts of Engineering Economics. The one- hour tutorial provides students with directed studies to enhance their self-learning capacities. Individual and group activities including discussions and presentations are conducted to facilitate students' understanding and application of the concepts they have learned to tackling real-life problems in Engineering Economics. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/task s | assessment weighting (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 50% | | | | |
| | 1. In-class activities | 15% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | 2. Written assignments | 15% | \checkmark | | \checkmark | |
| | 3. Test | 20% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | Final Examination | 50% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | |
| | To pass this s above in bot components. | | | - | | in Grade D or Examination |
| Student Study Effort Required | Class contact: | Class contact: | | | | |
| Litort Acquireu | Lecture | | | | | 26 Hrs. |
| | Tutorial | | | | | 13 Hrs. |
| | Other student s | study effort: | | | | |
| | Study and | self-learning | 2 | | | 48 Hr. |
| | Written as | signments | | | | 18 Hr. |
| | Total student s | tudy effort | | | | 105 Hrs. |

| Reading List and References | Recommended Textbooks |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | Parkin and Bade, <i>Foundations of Microeconomics</i>, 8th ed., Pearson, 2018. Sullivan, Wicks and Koelling, <i>Engineering Economy</i>, 16th ed., Pearson, 2014. |
| | References |
| | Drury, Colin, Management and Cost Accounting, 10th ed., Cengage Learning, 2018. Robert H. Frank, The Economic Naturalist: Why Economics Explain Almost Everything?, Basic Books, 2007. |

Updated July 2018

Subject offered by Department of Applied Mathematics

| Subject Code | AMA1110 | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-------|--------|--------------|---|--|
| Subject Title | Basic Mathematics I – Calculus and Probability & Statistics | | | | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | | | | |
| Level | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Pre-requisite | Nil | | | | | | |
| Objectives | This subject aims to introduce students to the basic concepts and applications of elementary calculus and statistics. Emphasis will be on the understanding of fundamental concepts and the use of mathematical techniques in handling practical problems in science and engineering. | | | | | | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: (a) apply analytical reasoning to solve problems in science and engineering; (b) make use of the knowledge of mathematical/statistical techniques and adapt known solutions to various situations; (c) apply mathematical modeling in problem solving; (d) demonstrate chilities of logical and englytical thinking. | | | | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | (d) demonstrate abilities of logical and analytical thinking. <u>Elementary calculus</u>: Limit and continuity, derivatives and their geometric meaning, rules of differentiation including chain rule, Leibniz's rule and L'Hopital's rule, exponential and logarithmic functions, trigonometric functions and their inverses, hyperbolic and inverse hyperbolic functions, applications of differential calculus. <u>Elementary Probability and Statistics</u>: Descriptive statistics, random variables, probability and probability distributions, binomial, Poisson and normal distributions, applications. Population and random samples. Sampling distributions related to sample mean, sample proportions, and sample variances. Concepts of a point estimator and a confidence interval. Point and interval estimates of a mean and the difference between two means. | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Basic concepts and elementary techniques of differential and integral calculus, elementary statistics and linear algebra will be taught in lectures. These will be further enhanced in tutorials through practical problem solving. | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks% weightingIntended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)abcd | | | | | | |
| | 1.Homework, quizzes and mid- term test | 40% | √ | ✓ ✓ | ✓ ✓ | × | |
| | 2. Examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | \checkmark | ✓ | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | |

| | Continuous Assessment comprises of assignments, in-class quizzes, online quizzes and a mid-term test. An examination is held at the end of the semester. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Questions used in assignments, quizzes, tests and examassess students' level of understanding of the basic conto use mathematical techniques in solving problems in engineering. | ncepts and their ability | | |
| | To pass this subject, students are required to obtain grade D or above in both the continuous assessment and the examination components. Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | The subject focuses on understanding of basic concept techniques in differential/integral calculus, elementary elementary linear algebra. As such, an assessment m examinations/tests/quizzes is considered appropriate. are required to submit homework assignments regular subject lecturers to keep track of students' progress in | y statistics and ethod based mainly on Furthermore, students ly in order to allow | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lecture | 26 Hrs. | | |
| | Tutorial | 13 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | |
| | Homework and self-study | 81 Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | 120 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and | Chung, K.C. A Short Course in Calculus and Matrices, McGraw Hill 2013 | | | |
| References | Hung, K.F., Kwan, Wilson, Pong, T.Y. Foundation Mathematics & Statistics, McGraw Hill 2013 | | | |
| | Larson, R., Edwards, B. Single Variable Calculus, Brooks/Cole 2012 | | | |
| Walpole, R.E., Myers, R.H., Myers, S.L. Ye, K. Probability and S Engineers and Scientists, Prentice Hall, 2012 | | ability and Statistics for | | |

Subject offered by Department of Applied Social Sciences

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University <u>Subject Description Form</u>

Please read the notes at the end of the table carefully before completing the form.

| Subject Code | APSS1L01 | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| Subject Title | Tomorrow's Leaders | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | 3 | | |
| Level | 1 | | | |
| GUR Requirements Intended to Fulfill | This subject intends to fulfill the following requirement(s) : Healthy Lifestyle Freshman Seminar Languages and Communication Requirement (LCR) Leadership and Intra-Personal Development Service-Learning Cluster-Area Requirement (CAR) Human Nature, Relations and Development Community, Organization and Globalization History, Cultures and World Views Science, Technology and Environment China-Study Requirement Yes or No Writing and Reading Requirements English or Chinese | | | |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | NIL | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | |
| | 100% Continuous Assessment | Individual Assessment | Group Assessment | |
| | 1. Class Participation | 20% | | |
| | 2. Group Project | | 30% | |
| | 3. Term Paper 50% | | | |
| | Note: The grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned; The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject | | | |

| Objectives | The course is designed to enable students to learn and integrate theories, research and concepts of the basic personal qualities (particularly intrapersonal and interpersonal qualities) of effective leaders. This subject also intends to help students develop and reflect on their intrapersonal qualities, interpersonal qualities and connection of learning to oneself. Finally, the subject cultivates students' appreciation of the importance of intrapersonal and interpersonal qualities in effective leadership. |
|--|--|
| Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1) | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. understand and integrate theories, research and concepts on the basic qualities (particularly intrapersonal and interpersonal qualities) of effective leaders; b. develop self-awareness and self-understanding; c. acquire interpersonal skills; d. develop self-reflection skills; e. understand the importance of intrapersonal and interpersonal qualities in effective leadership, particularly the connection of learning in the subject to one's personal development. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2) | An overview of the personal attributes of effective leaders: roles of self- understanding and interpersonal relationship qualities in effective leadership. Cognitive competence: different types of thinking styles; higher-order thinking; experiential learning; role of cognitive competence, critical thinking and problem solving in effective leadership. Emotional competence: awareness and understanding of emotions; emotional quotient (EQ); role of emotional management in effective leadership; mental health and stress management. Resilience: stresses faced by adolescents; life adversities; coping with life stresses; role of resilience in effective leadership. Morality and integrity: moral issues and moral competence; role of morality in effective leadership; ethical leadership; integrity and effective leadership. Positive and healthy identity: self-identity, self-esteem and self-concept; self-discrepancies; role of self-concept in effective leadership. Spirituality: meaning of life and adolescent development; role of spirituality in effective leadership; servant leadership. Social competence, care and compassion in effective leadership; egocentrism in university students. Relationship building, team building and conflict management relationship quality and effective leadership; conflict management and effective leadership. Interpersonal communication: theories, concepts, skills and blocks of interpersonal communication; role of communication skills in effective leadership. Mental health and effective leadership: stress management; importance of mental health and effective leadership: stress management; importance of mental health and effective leadership: stress management; importance of mental health and wellness among university students. |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology (<i>Note 3</i>) | Students taking this course are expected to be sensitive to their own behavior in intrapersonal and interpersonal contexts. Intellectual thinking, reflective learning, experiential learning and collaborative learning are emphasized in the course. Case studies on successful and fallen leaders will also be covered in the course. The teaching/learning methodology includes: 1. Lectures; 2. Experiential classroom activities; 3. Group project presentation; 4. Written assignment. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|----------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | | 0 | arning o tick as | | |
| Outcomes | | | a | b | c | d | e | |
| (Note 4) | 1. Class Participation^ | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 2. Group Project* | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 3. Term Paper^ | 50% | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | Total 100% *assessment is based on group effort ^assessment is based on individual effort Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: 1. Assessment of Class Participation (20%): It is expected that classroom activities and preparation for lectures can help students understand the subject matter and oneself, develop social skills, connect learning to oneself and promote an appreciation of the importance of intrapersonal and interpersonal leadership qualities. Hence, marks for class participation and preparation for lectures will be given. Students will be assessed by: a) preparation for class (e.g., complete online assignment and dig up materials before class), b) participation in class (e.g., completion of worksheets and sharing) and c) volunteering to answer questions and join discussions in class. Also, students will be invited to rate the performance and learning of other group members in an honest and authentic manner. The marks will reflect the mastery of knowledge, self-reflection and quality of interpersonal skills (such as collaboration with other members and contribution to the group) of the group members. Peer assessment will contribute to marks in class participation. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. <u>Assessment of Grou</u> an indication of the s concepts on persona | students' und | lerstanc | ling an | d integ | ration of | of theo | ries and |

| | reflections, interpersonal skills and degree of recognition of the importance of active pursuit of knowledge covered in the course. |
|--------------|--|
| | Assessment of Term Paper (50%): Individual paper can give an indication of the students' understanding and integration of theories and concepts on the personal qualities in effective leadership, self-assessment, self- reflection, connection of the subject matter to oneself and degree of recognition of the importance of active pursuit of knowledge covered in the course. |
| (201 cons | ed on the implementation of this subject in the past four academic years 0-2011; 2011-2012; 2012-2013; 2013-2014), evaluation findings istently showed that this subject was able to achieve the intended learning omes in the students. The positive evaluation findings are documented as ows: |
| Sh | ek, D. T. L. (2012a). Development of a positive youth development subject in a university context in Hong Kong. International Journal on Disability and Human Development, 11(3), 173-179. nek, D. T. L. (2012b). Post-lecture evaluation of a positive youth development subject for university students in Hong Kong. The Scientific World Journal. Article ID 934679, 8 pages, doi:10.1100/2012/934679 nek, D. T. L. (2013). Promotion of holistic development in university students: A credit-bearing subject on leadership and intrapersonal development P. (D. (1) 47.(1) |
| Sh | development. Best Practices in Mental Health, 9(1), 47-61. nek, D. T. L., & Law, M. Y. M. (2014). Evaluation of a subject on leadership and intrapersonal development: views of the students based on qualitative evaluation. International Journal on Disability and Human Development.doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0339 |
| Sh | and Human Development.doi.10.1313/Julid-2014-0337 ek, D. T. L., & Leung, H. (2014). Post-lecture subjective outcome evaluation of a university subject on leadership and positive youth development in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability and</i> <i>Human Development</i> .doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0343 |
| Sh | nek, D. T. L., & Leung, J. T. Y. (2014) Perceived benefits of a university subject on leadership and intrapersonal development. <i>International</i> <i>Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> .doi:10.1515/ijdhd- 2014-0345 |
| | nek, D. T. L., & Ma, C. M. S. (2014). Do university students change after taking a subject on leadership and intrapersonal development? <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> . doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0341 |
| Sh | nek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2012a). Focus group evaluation of a positive youth development course in a university in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 11(3), 249-254. |
| Sh | tek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2012b). Process evaluation of a positive youth development course in a university setting in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 11(3), 235-241. |
| Sh | ek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2012c). Promoting leadership and |

| intrapersonal competence in university students: What can we learn from Hong Kong? <i>International Journal on Disability and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 11(3), 221-228. |
|---|
| Shek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2012d). Promoting psychosocial competencies in university students: Evaluation based on a one group pretest-posttest design. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 11(3), 229-234. |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2012e). Qualitative evaluation of a positive youth development course in a university setting in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 11(3), 243-248. |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2013). Post-course subjective outcome |
| evaluation of a course promoting leadership and intrapersonal development in university students in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 12(2), 193-201. |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Sun, R. C. F. (2013). Post-lecture evaluation of a university course on leadership and intrapersonal development. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , <i>12</i> (2), 185-191. |
| Shek, D. T. L., Sun, R. C. F., & Merrick, J. (2012). Editorial: How to promote holistic development in university students? <i>International</i> |
| Journal on Disability and Human Development, 11(3), 171-172. Shek, D. T. L., Sun, R. C. F., Tsien-Wong, T. B. K., Cheng, C. T., & Yim H. Y. (2013). Objective outcome evaluation of a leadership and |
| intrapersonal development subject for university students. International Journal on Disability and Human Development, 12(2), 221-227. |
| Shek, D. T. L., Sun, R. C. F., Yuen, W. W. H., Chui, Y. H., Dorcas, A., Ma, C. M. S., Yu, L., Chak, Y. L. Y., Law, M. Y. M., Chung, Y.Y. H., & Tsui, P. F. (2013). Second piloting of a leadership and intrapersonal development subject at The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> , 12(2), 107-114. |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Wu, F. K. Y. (2012). Reflective journals of students taking a positive youth development course in a university context in Hong Kong. <i>The Scientific World Journal</i>. Article ID 131560, 8 pages, 2012. doi:10.1100/2012/131560 |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Wu, F. K. Y. (2014). The role of teachers in youth development: Reflections of students. <i>International Journal on Disability and Human Development</i> . doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0344 |
| Shek, D. T. L., Wu, F. K. Y., & Law, M. Y. M. (2014). Perceptions of a university subject on leadership and intrapersonal development: Reflections of the scholarship recipients. <i>International Journal on</i> <i>Disability and Human Development</i> . doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0340 |
| Shek, D. T. L., & Yu, L. (2014). Post-course subjective outcome evaluation of a subject on leadership and intrapersonal development for university students in Hong Kong. <i>International Journal on Disability</i> <i>and Human Development</i> . doi:10.1515/ijdhd-2014-0342 |

| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Effort Expected | Lectures and experiential learning activities | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | Other student study effort: | | | |
| | Group project preparation | 20 Hrs. | | | |
| | Reading and writing term paper | 76 Hrs. | | | |
| | Total student study effort | 135 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and References | Basic References: Barki, H., & Hartwick, J. (2004). Conceptualizing the c interpersonal conflict. <i>The International Journal o Management</i>, <i>15</i>(3), 216-244. Catalano, R. F., Berglund, M. L., Ryan, J. A. M., Loncz J. D. (2002). Positive youth development in the U findings on evaluations of positive youth development <i>Prevention and Treatment</i>, <i>5</i>(15), 1-106. Dalton, J., & Crosby, P. (2007). Being and having: Shothigher education (and people) be a measure of what what one has? <i>Journal of College and Character</i>, <i>9</i> Dolbier, C. L., Soderstrom, M. & Steinhardt, M. A. (200 between self-leaders and enhanced psychological, houtcomes. <i>Journal of Psychology</i>, <i>135</i>(5), 469-485. Erikson, E. H. (1968). <i>Identity: Youth and crisis</i>. New Y Company, Inc. Gilley, A., Gilley, J. W., McConnell, C. W., & Veliquet competencies used by effective managers to build the study. <i>Advances in Developing Human Resources</i>, Goleman, D. (1995). <i>Emotional Intelligence: Why it car</i> New York: Bantam Books. | onstruct of of <i>Conflict</i> wak, H. S., & Hawkins, nited States: Research ment programs. uldn't excellence in t one does rather than D(1), 1-5. 01). The relationships health and work York: W. W. Norton & tte. A. (2010). The eams: An empirical I2(1), 29-45. m matter more than IQ. | | | |
| | Houghton, J. D., & Yoho, S. K. (2005). Toward a contingency model of leadership and psychological empowerment: When should self-leadership be encouraged? <i>Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies</i>, 11(4), 65-84. | | | | |
| | Kim, Y. H., Chiu, C. Y., & Zou, Z. M. (2010). Know thyself: Misperceptions of actual performance undermine achievement motivation, future performance, and subjective well-being. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 99(3), 395-409. | | | | |
| | Kohlberg, L. (1964). Development of moral character as M. L. Hoffman, & L. W. Hoffman (Eds.), <i>Review of</i> | | | | |

| research (pp. 381-431). New York: Russell Sage Foundation. |
|---|
| Lau, P. S. Y., & Wu, F. K. Y. (2012). Emotional competence as a positive youth development construct: A conceptual review. <i>The Scientific World</i> <i>Journal</i> , 2012, 8 pages. doi:10.1100/2012/975189 |
| Ma, H. K. (2012). Social competence as a positive youth development construct: A conceptual review. <i>The Scientific World Journal</i> , 2012, 7 pages. doi:10.1100/2012/287472. |
| Marsh, H. W. (1990). A multidimensional, hierarchical self-concept: Theoretical and empirical justification. <i>Educational Psychological</i> <i>Review</i> , 2(2), 77-172. |
| Masten, A. S., & Obradović, J. (2006). Competence and resilience in development. <i>Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1094</i> (1), 13-27. |
| Rycek, R. F., Stuhr, S. L., McDermott, J., Benker, J., & Swartz, M. D. (1998). Adolescent egocentrism and cognitive functioning during late adolescence. <i>Adolescence</i> , 33(132), 745-749. |
| Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. <i>Imagination, Cognition and Personality</i> , 9(3), 185-211. |
| Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. <i>American Psychologist</i> , 55(1), 5-14. |
| Shek, D. T. L. (2010). Nurturing holistic development of university students in Hong Kong: Where are we and where should we go? <i>The Scientific World Journal</i> , <i>10</i> , 563-575. |
| Shek, D. T. L. (2012). Spirituality as a positive youth development construct: A conceptual review. <i>The Scientific World Journal</i> , 2012, 8 pages. doi:10.1100/2012/458953 |
| Sun, R. C. F., & Hui, E. K. P. (2012). Cognitive competence as a positive youth development construct: A conceptual review. <i>The Scientific World</i> <i>Journal</i> , 2012, 7 pages. doi:10.1100/2012/210953 |
| Supplementary References: Adler, R. B., Rosenfeld, L. B., & Proctor II, R. F. (2010). <i>Interply: The process</i> <i>of interpersonal communication</i> . New York: Oxford University Press. |
| Bandura, A. (1986). <i>Social foundations of thought and action</i> . New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. |
| Bass, B. M., & Steidlmeier, P. (1999). Ethics, character, and authentic transformational leadership behavior. <i>Leadership Quarterly</i> , <i>10</i> (2), 181-217. |
| Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A |

| social learning theory perspective for construct development and testing. <i>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</i> , 97(2), 117-134. |
|---|
| Cao, L., & Nietfeld, J. L. (2007). College students' metacognitive awareness of difficulties in learning the class content does not automatically lead to adjustment of study strategies. <i>Australian Journal of Educational and Developmental Psychology</i> , <i>7</i> , 31-46. |
| Cheung, C. K., & Lee, T. Y. (2010). Contributions of moral education lectures and moral discussion in Hong Kong secondary schools. <i>Social</i> <i>Psychology of Education: An International Journal</i> , <i>13</i> (4), 575-591. |
| Davey, M., Eaker, D. G., & Walters, L. H. (2003). Resilience processes in adolescents: Personality profiles, self-worth, and coping. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Adolescent Research</i> , 18(4), 347-362. |
| Govier, I. (2000). Spiritual care in nursing: A systematic approach. <i>Nursing Standard</i> , <i>14</i> (17), 32-36. |
| Kumru, A., & Thompson, R. A. (2003). Ego identity status and self-monitoring behavior in adolescents. <i>Journal of Adolescent Research</i> , 18(5), 481- 495. |
| Luthans, F., Vogelgesang, G. R., & Lester, P. B. (2006). Developing the psychological capital of resiliency. <i>Human Resource Development Review</i> , <i>5</i> (1), 25-44. |
| Neck, C. P., & Houghton, J. D. (2006). Two decades of self-leadership theory and research: Past developments, present trends, and future possibilities. <i>Journal of Managerial Psychology</i> , 21(4), 270-295. |
| Rose-Krasnor, L. (1997). The nature of social competence: A theoretical review. <i>Social Development</i> , <i>6</i> (1), 111-135. |
| Saarni, C. (1999). <i>The development of emotional competence</i> . New York: Guilford. |

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time overcrowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4:Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.

Subjects offered by Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Subject Description Form

Please read the notes at the end of the table carefully before completing the form.

| Subject Code | CBS1104C (Cantonese) / CBS1104P (Putonghua) |
|--|---|
| | Remarks: Students taking the Cantonese version of CBS1104 (i.e. CBS1104C) will be offered a 39 hour non-credit bearing e-learning course in Putonghua (optional). |
| Subject Title | University Chinese(大學中文) |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 1 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Students with HKDSE Chinese subject result at level 3 or above or equivalent |
| Objectives | This subject aims at enhancing the students' command of language knowledge to communicate effectively in both written and spoken Chinese, with particular reference to the stylistic variations of expression in different communicative settings. The ultimate goal of this subject is to train students to be effective communicators and life-long learners, and to equip them for the Chinese Discipline-Specific Language Requirement subject. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1) | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: (a) consolidate the ability to identify and correct the most common errors in written texts; (b) develop Chinese writing skills through the analysis and in-depth reading of selected literary masterpieces; (c) master the format, organization, language and style of expression of various genres of Chinese writing; (d) produce formal presentations in spoken Chinese effectively and appropriately |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2) | Written communication Language, format and organization of each genre; coherence and thread of thinking in Chinese writing; style of expression of different genres; context dependent stylistic variation; development of logical and persuasive arguments. Spoken communication Choice of words; articulation and flow of speaking; manner of speaking and gesture; identification of main idea and key messages; evaluation of relevancy of information in a message; skills of |

Г

| | 3. Reading strategies Intensive and critical reading; identification of authors' stances, arguments and purposes; extracting useful information from the texts; determination of the meanings of the important concept words in context; evaluation of the validity of the factual information and arguments of the texts; appreciation of different genres including literary masterpieces. | | | the texts; words in ttion and | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 4. Language developmer Grammatical skills; choice of diction. | | r words; | use of s | specific s | entences; |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3) | The teaching/learning m seminars, self-formed presentations and wr enhancing students' pro included in Chinese LCI Students are expected to materials on the e-Learn | study g itten assig oficiency in R R teaching. | roups, s nments. both spok hers' guio | seminar E-learnir cen and w delines an | discussion ng mater vritten Ch | on, oral rials for inese are ess to the |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | Please |
| (Note 4) | | | a | b | с | d |
| | Quizzes / Exercises | 20% | \checkmark | | \checkmark | |
| | Written Assignments | 55% | \checkmark | | | |
| | Oral presentation | 25% | \checkmark | | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | l | | |
| | Explanation of the ap assessing the intended left The quizzes and exer- knowledge of Chinese lift (c). The writing assessing students' basic competer appropriate grammatical assessment assesses str appropriately and effect exercises are provided in | earning outco rcises are of inguistics an nents aim to once in the us l structures udents' abil ively (ref. IL | omes: designed d how we obtain at se of writ (ref. ILOs ity to pl Os (a), (c | to asses ell they act n objectiv ten Chine s (a), (b) an and p) and (d)) | s studen hieve ILC ve measur ese in acc and (c)). present ac | ts' basic Ds (a) and rement of urate and The oral ccurately, |

| Student Study | Class contact: | |
|-----------------|---|---------|
| Effort Expected | Seminar | 39 Hrs |
| | Additional activity: | |
| | e-Learning in Putonghua and written Chinese | 9 Hrs |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Outside Class Practice | 39 Hrs |
| | Self-study | 39 Hrs |
| | Total student study effort | 126 Hrs |

| Reading List and References | 1. | 于成鯤、陳瑞端、秦扶一、金振邦主編:《當代應用文寫作規 |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|
| Kelerences | 2. | 範叢書》,上海:復旦大學出版社,2011年。 任伯江:《口語傳意權能:人際關係策略與潛力》,香港:香港 中立士閉山時社,2006年。 |
| | 3. | 中文大學出版社,2006年。 吳禮權:《演講的技巧》,香港:商務印書館,2013年。 |
| | 4. | 李錦昌:《商業溝通與應用文大全》,香港:商務印書館, 2012年。 |
| | 5. | 邵敬敏:《現代漢語通論》,上海:上海教育出版社,2007 年。 |
| | 6. | 香港城市大學語文學部編著:《中文傳意-基礎篇》。香港:香 港城市大學出版社,2001。 |
| | 7. | 香港城市大學語文學部編著;《中文傳意-寫作篇》。香港:香港城市大學出版社,2001。 |
| | 8. | 孫光萱:《中國現代散文名家名篇賞讀》,上海:上海教育出版社,2001年。 |
| | 9. | 梁慧敏:《正識中文》,香港:三聯書店,2010年。 |
| | | 梁慧敏:《語文正解》,香港:三聯書店,2015年。 |
| | 11. | 梁慧敏:《語文通病》,香港:三聯書店,2014年。 |
| | 12 | 陳瑞端,《生活病語》,香港:中華書局,2000。 |
| | | 陳瑞端:《生活錯別字》,香港:中華書局,2000年。 |
| | 14 | 賴蘭香:《傳媒中文寫作》(新修本),香港:中華書局,2012 |
| | | 年。 |

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time over-crowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4: Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | CBS3241P | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Professional Communication in Chinese | | | | |
| Credit Value | 2 | | | | |
| Level | 3 | | | | |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite | Chinese LCR subjects (in Semester 2 of Year 3 or Semester 1 of Year 4) | | | | |
| Objectives | This subject aims to develop the language competence for professional communication in Chinese required by students to communicate effectively with various parties and stakeholders in regard to engineering-related project proposals and reports. | | | | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, and in relation to effective communication with a variety of intended readers/audiences in Chinese, students will be able to | | | | |
| | a. plan, organise and produce professionally acceptable project proposals and reports with appropriate text structures and language for different intended readers | | | | |
| | b. plan, organise and deliver effective project-related oral presentations with appropriate interactive strategies and language for different intended audiences | | | | |
| | c. adjust the style of expression and interactive strategies in writing and speaking in accordance with different intended readers/audiences | | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Project proposals and reports in Chinese Planning and organising project proposals and reports Explaining the background, rationale, objectives, scope and significance of a project Referring to the literature to substantiate project proposals Describing the methods of study Describing and discussing project results, including anticipated results and results of pilot study Presenting the budget, schedule and/or method of evaluation Writing executive summaries./abstracts | | | | |
| | 2. Oral presentations of projects Selecting content for audience-focused presentations | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Choosing language Using appropriate presentations Using effective verb | transitions | and n | naintai | ning o | cohere | nce in | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Teaching/Learning | Learning and teaching appro | oach | | | | | | |
| Methodology | The subject is designed to develop the students' Chinese language skills, both oral and written, that students need to communicate effectively and professionally with a variety of stakeholders of engineering-related projects. It builds upon the language and communication skills covered in GUR language training subjects. | | | | y and ects. It | | | |
| | The study approach is primarily seminar-based. Seminar activities include instructor input as well as individual and group work, involving drafting and evaluating texts, mini-presentations, discussions and simulations. | | | | | | | |
| | The learning and teaching activities in the subject will focus on a course-long project which will engage students in proposing and reporting on an engineering-related project to different intended readers/audiences. During the course, students will be involved in: | | | | | | | |
| | planning and researchin writing project-related giving oral presentation | documents s | uch as | | | | - | orts |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | omes | | |
| | | | а | b | c | | | |
| | 1. Project proposal in Chinese | 60% | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Oral presentation of project proposal | 40% | | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: | | | | | | | |
| | The assessments will arise fr Students will be ass targeted at different assessment of stude style appropriate to an Students will collability and giving oral pres | essed on wri ent intended nts' ability t the purposes orate in grou | itten d l read o seled and ir ps in p | ocume lers/au ct cont itendec | nts and diences ent and l reade | d oral s. Th d use ers/aud earchir | presen is fac langua iences. | tations ilitates ge and cussing |

| | be individual work to ensure that students will be rigorously engaged in the application of language skills for the entire document. | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------|--|--|
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | |
| Effort Expected | Seminars | 26 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | |
| | Researching, planning, writing, and preparing the project | 44 Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | 70 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and | a) 司有和 (1984) :《科技寫作簡明教程》,安徽教 | 育出版社。 | | |
| References | b) 葉聖陶、呂叔湘、朱德熙、 林燾 (1992):《文章 社。 | ā講評》語文出版 | | |
| | c) 于成鯤主編(2003):《現代應用文》,復旦大學出版社。 d) 岑紹基、謝錫金、祈永華(2006):《應用文的語言・語境・語用》 香港教育圖書公司。 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | e) 邵敬敏主編 (2010) : 《現代漢語通論 (第二版)》 | ,上海教育出版社。 | | |
| | f) 于成鯤、陳瑞端、秦扶一、金振邦主編 (2010): 作規範叢書:科教文與社交文書寫作規範》,復 | | | |
| | g) 香港特別行政區政府教育局 · 課程發展處中國語 《常用字字形表》 · 政府物流服務署印。 | 文教育組 (2012) : | | |

Subjects offered by English Language Centre

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | ELC1011 |
|---|---|
| | |
| Subject Title | Practical English for University Studies |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 1 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject aims to develop and enhance students' general proficiency and communication skills in English. A strong focus will be given to enhancing competence and confidence in writing, grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation and fluency. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon successful completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. organise and write accurate and coherent short texts b. improve language accuracy and the ability to proofread for common errors in written texts c. use appropriate verbal and non-verbal skills to enhance fluency and accuracy in spoken communication such as short presentations To achieve the above outcomes, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present their views logically and coherently. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Written communication Enhancing the use of accurate and appropriate grammatical structures and vocabulary for various communicative purposes; improving the ability to organise written texts logically; and improving cohesion and coherence in writing. Spoken communication Developing verbal and non-verbal interaction strategies appropriate to the context and level of formality. Reading and listening Understanding the content and structure of information delivered in written and spoken texts; developing effective reading and listening strategies. Language development Improving and extending relevant features of grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation and fluency. |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The study method is primarily seminar-based. Following a blended delivery approach, activities include teacher input as well as in- and out- of-class individual and group work involving drafting of texts, information search, mini-presentations and discussions. Students will make use of elearning resources and web-based work to improve their grammar and vocabulary, and other language skills. Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Additional reference materials will be recommended as required. | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | | U |
| | | | a | b | с |
| | 1. In-class paragraph writing | 20% | ✓ | ~ | |
| | 2. Essay writing | 40% | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | 3. Documentary presentation | 40% | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| | Total | 100 % | | | |
| | assessing the intended learning The paragraph writing test, whi and paragraph organization skil (b). The essay writing assessment ev | of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in e intended learning outcomes: oh writing test, which assess students' grammar, vocabul h organization skills, necessitate achievement of LOs (a riting assessment evaluates students' ability write a longe ate and appropriate grammatical structures (ref. LOs (a) | | | |
| | The documentary presentation assesses students' ability to speak accurately, appropriately and confidently. Students will research a topic organise information from a variety of sources, and deliver the information as a digital documentary and mini-presentation (ref. LOs (a) (b) and (c)). In addition to these assessments, students are required to complete furthe | | | | n a topic, LOs (a), ete further |
| | language training through web- language training offered in onl and corresponds to their learnin | ine tasks is ali | | | |

| Student Study | Class contact: | |
|-----------------|--|----------|
| Effort Expected | Seminar | 39 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Self-study/preparation | 78 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 117 Hrs. |

| Reading List and | Course material | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| References | Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Recommended references | | | |
| | Boyle, J. & Boyle, L. (1998). Common Spoken English Errors in Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Longman. | | | |
| | Brannan, B. (2003). <i>A writer's workshop: Crafting paragraphs, building essays (3rd ed.)</i> . Boston: McGraw-Hill. | | | |
| | Hancock, M. (2003). <i>English pronunciation in use</i> . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. | | | |
| | Nettle, M. and Hopkins, D. (2003). <i>Developing grammar in context:</i> <i>Intermediate</i> . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. | | | |
| | Redman, S. (2003). English vocabulary in use: Pre-intermediate and intermediate. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. | | | |
| | Powell, M. (2011). Presenting in English. How to get successful presentations. USA. Heinle & Heinle Publishers. | | | |

July 2018

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | ELC1012/ELC1013 |
|---|---|
| Subject Title | English for University Studies |
| | (This subject will be offered in two versions for students who will primarily be using (1) APA/Harvard referencing styles or (2) IEEE/Vancouver referencing styles in their university studies.) |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 1 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Students entering the University with Level $3 - 5^{**}$ from the HKDSE will be required to take this course. |
| Objectives | This subject aims to help students study effectively in the University's English medium learning environment, and to improve and develop their English language proficiency within a framework of university study contexts. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon successful completion of the subject, students will be able to: |
| (Note 1) | Refer to sources in written texts and oral presentations |
| (Note 1) | Paraphrase and summarise materials from written and spoken sources |
| | Plan, write and revise expository essays with references to sources |
| | Deliver effective oral presentations |
| | To achieve the above outcomes, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present information logically and coherently. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | 1. Written communication Analysing and practising common writing functions; improving the |
| (Note 2) | ability of writing topic sentences and strategies for paragraph development; understanding common patterns of organisation in expository writing; taking notes from written and spoken sources; practising summarising and paraphrasing skills; improving coherence and cohesion in writing; developing revision and proofreading skills. |
| | 2. Spoken communication Recognising the purposes of and differences between spoken and written communication in English in university study contexts; identifying and practising the verbal and non-verbal interaction strategies in oral |

| | presentations; developing and applying critical thinking skills to discussions of issues. 3. Language development Improving and extending relevant features of grammar, vocabulary and | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| | pronunciation. | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology (<i>Note 3</i>) | delivery approach, activitie of-class individual and grou texts, mini-presentations, d approach to writing is adop | ady method is primarily seminar-based. Following a blended by approach, activities include teacher input as well as in- and out- s individual and group work involving drafting and evaluating mini-presentations, discussions and simulations. The process ich to writing is adopted, and students make use of eLearning cess to engage in academic discussions and to reflect on their ag. | | | | | |
| | Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Additional reference materials will be recommended as required. | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | |
| (Note 4) | | | a | b | c | d | |
| | 1. Academic essay 1 | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| | 2. Academic essay 2 | 30% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| | 3. Oral presentation | 40% | ✓ | ✓ | | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriate assessing the intended learned Assessments 1 and 2 necess order to write an effective a and improving the essay for an effective academic orality they will need to read, note refer to those sources in the | ning outcomes: sitate achievement academic essay via r assessment 1. In presentation, as de and synthesize fro | of LO the proorder f mande | s (a), (b ocess o or stude d in ass riety of | b) and (f extendents to essmer f source | ding present nt 3, es, and | |
| | In addition to these assessments, students are required to complete furth language training, through web-based language work, reading tasks and online reflections. The additional language training offered in online tasks is aligned with all the four LOs. In some of the tasks, students to critically read and summarize information contained in a variety of sources, as required in LOs (a) and (b). | | | | | s and ine its to | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | |

| Effort Expected | Seminars | 39 Hrs. | | | | |
|------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | |
| | Self study/preparation | 78 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | 117Hrs. | | | | |
| Reading List and | Course material | | | | | |
| References | Learning materials developed by the English Langua | age Centre | | | | |
| | Recommended references | | | | | |
| | Bailey, S. (2014). Academic writing: a handbo students. Abingdon: Routledge. | ok for international | | | | |
| | Comfort, J. (2001). Effective presentations. Oxford: Cornelsen Oxford University Press. | | | | | |
| | Hung, T. T. N. (2005). Understanding English book for Chinese learners of English. Hong Ko University Press. | 0 | | | | |
| | Tang, R. (2012). Academic writing in a second Issues and challenges facing ESL/EFL academic education contexts. London: Continuum Internation | c writers in higher | | | | |
| | Zwier, L. J. (2002). Building academic vocabulary. Ann Arbor, MI University of Michigan Press. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time over-crowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4: Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | ELC2011 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Advanced English Reading and Writing Skills |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite | Pre-requisite: ELC1012 / ELC1013 English for University Studies |
| Objectives | This subject aims to help students become more effective readers and writers. It focuses on developing students' facility to read a variety of texts in a critical manner, both intensively and extensively; and to write texts that demonstrate knowledge and insight. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon successful completion of the subject, students will be able to examine a variety of texts, including literary texts, and: |
| | a. reflect on and critically analyze texts of different genres and styles, identifying the writer's aims and stance |
| | b. identify and evaluate language used to make claims and support these with valid arguments |
| | c. write a text on a chosen topic that includes their opinion and interpretation of some key issues and demonstrates critical thinking and creativity |
| Subject Synopsis / Indicative Syllabus | Reading strategies Reading extensively to appreciate the use of language, acquire information, promote understanding, and develop empathy. Reading intensively to investigate a particular topic and develop an in-depth understanding of issues and stances. Reading critically to extract implications, identify writers' assumptions and purposes, and analyze issues raised in texts written from different perspectives. |
| | Writing strategies Describing and analyzing the structure, meaning and characteristics of a variety of texts. Presenting views and arguments to educated readers with sophisticated language and appropriate visual images and formats. |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The study method is primarily seminar-based. Following a blended learning approach, activities include teacher input as well as in- and out-of-class work involving sharing and discussion of reading experiences; and reading, evaluating and drafting texts. The process approach to writing is adopted, and students make use of e-learning resources to engage in discussions and to reflect on their learning. |
| | Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Additional |

| 3 |
|---|
| |

| | reference materials will be recommended as required. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | led subject learning outcomes assessed (Please tick as priate) | | | |
| | | | a | b | с | | |
| | 1. Reflective writing | 20% | ✓ | | | | |
| | 2. Analyzing genres of writing | 40% | ~ | \checkmark | | | |
| | 3. Feature article writing | 40% | | | ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | |
| | stance, and evaluate the choice (b). Assessment 3 requires str insight into a particular topic impress readers through its str ILO (c). Through these assess demonstrate more advanced to | udents to first , then produce ubstance, struc sments, studen | conduct resea an article wh cture and lang nts will be able | arch and gain ich can info uage; and is | n some rm and aligned with | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Seminars | | | 39 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | |
| | Online forums and blogs Readings and sharing session preparation Research and drafting/revising of texts | | | | 78 Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort: | | | | 117 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | Course material Learning materials developed Recommended references | l by the Englis | sh Language C | Centre | | | |
| | Best, J. (2001). Damned lies politicians, and activis | | | | | | |

| Cooper, S. & Patton, R. (2010). Writing logically, thinking critically. New York, NY: Longman. |
|---|
| Damer, T. E. (2009). Attacking faulty reasoning: A practical guide to fallacy-free arguments. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning. |
| Kennedy, X. J. & Gioia, D. (2010). <i>Literature: An introduction to fiction, poetry, drama, and writing</i> (11 th ed.). New York, NY: Longman. |
| Mefcalfe, M. (2006). Reading critically at university. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. |

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | ELC2012 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Persuasive Communication |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Pre-requisite: ELC1012 or ELC1013 English for University Studies |
| Objectives | This subject aims to help students become more persuasive communicators in a variety of contexts that they may encounter at university and in the workplace. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1) | By the end of the subject, students should be able to communicate effectively in an English-medium environment through: a) writing persuasive texts intended for a variety of audiences b) communicating persuasively in oral contexts c) make persuasive arguments in formal discussions To achieve these, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present and support stance and opinion. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2) | Preparing for effective persuasion Assessing the situation; selecting relevant content; organising ideas and information; selecting an appropriate tone, distance and level of formality to support the communication of messages. Persuasion through writing Developing and practising appropriate language, tone, style and structure; achieving cohesion and coherence. Persuasion through speaking Developing and practising appropriate verbal and non-verbal skills for persuasive oral communication; improving and extending relevant pronunciation features, including articulation, pausing, intonation, word stress and sentence stress. |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3) | The study method is primarily seminar-based. Activities include teacher input as well as individual and group work involving reading and appreciating texts, discussions and presentations of ideas. |

| | Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Additional reference materials will be recommended as required. | | | | | | ces on | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | weighting to | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | comes | |
| Outcomes | | | а | b | c | | | | |
| (Note 4) | 1. Speech | 30% | | ✓ | | | | | |
| | 2. Persuasive written text | 40% | ~ | | ~ | | | | |
| | 3. Debate | 30% | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes:Assessment 1 is an individual speech. Assessment 2 concentrates on persuasive writing. Assessment 3 examines a different aspect of persuasion, the debate. | | | | | | on | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Seminars | | | | | 39 Hrs. | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | | |
| | Self study/prepa | ration | | | | | 78 | 78 Hrs. | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | 117 Hrs. | | | |
| Reading List and | Required readings | | | | | | | | |
| References | ELC-provided subject materials. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other readings | | | | | | | | |
| | Breaden, B. L. (1996). Brace College. | Speaking to j | persua | <i>de</i> . Fo | rt Wor | th, ΤΣ | K: Har | court | |
| | Covino, W.A. (1998). 7 Bacon. | The elements | of per | suasio | n. Bos | ton: A | Allyn a | nd | |
| | | Edwards, R. E. (2008). <i>Competitive debate: The official guide</i> . New York: Alpha Books. | | | | | W | | |

| Leanne, S. (2008). Say it like Obama: The power of speaking with purpose and vision. New York: McGraw Hill. |
|--|
| Rogers, W. (2007). <i>Persuasion: messages, receivers, and contexts.</i> Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. |
| Stiff, J. B. (2003). <i>Persuasive communication</i> (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press. |

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Subject Description Form

Please read the notes at the end of the table carefully before completing the form.

| Subject Code | ELC2013 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | English in Literature and Film |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite / Co- requisite/ Exclusion | Pre-requisite: English for University Studies (ELC1012/1013) |
| Objectives | This subject aims to introduce students to a range of literary genres in English as well as to enable them to consider differences in media representations of genres, and to appreciate and negotiate the meanings of a variety of literary texts. It is also intended that the subject will help students further develop literacy, as well as higher order thinking and life-long learning skills. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1) | Upon successful completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. examine and analyse literary texts from different perspectives b. discuss literary techniques employed by writers c. appreciate and articulate differences in textual and visual media representations To achieve the above outcomes, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present and support stance and opinion. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2) | Written communication Describing and interpreting content and language in literary texts; employing appropriate grammatical structures and vocabulary. Spoken communication Presenting critical evaluation of literary works effectively and convincingly. Reading Developing understanding of and competence in using literary devices such as metaphor, simile and symbolism, via reading literary texts and viewing film versions. Language development Improving fluency and pronunciation, and extending grammatical and lexical competence. |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3) | The study method is primarily seminar-based. Following a blended delivery approach, activities include teacher input as well as in- and out-of-class individual and group work involving listening to and viewing a variety of audio- visual sources, reading and drafting texts, conducting internet research, making mini-presentations, participating in discussions, and comparing various representations of literature. Students will make use of elearning resources and web-based work to further improve their English literacy skills. Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Additional reference materials will be recommended as required. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|----------------------|--|---|---------|--|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | outcome (Please t | tended subject learning atcomes to be assessed Please tick as opropriate) | | | | |
| (Note 4) | | | a | b | с | | | |
| | 1. Individual Essay | 40% | ~ | \checkmark | | | | |
| | 2. Group Presentation | 30% | ~ | ✓ ✓ ✓ | | | | |
| | 3. Individual Project | 30% | ~ | \checkmark | ~ | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: In assessment 1, students are required to write an individual paper in which they critically reflect on their reading of prose, and by so doing, demonstrate their achievement of LO (a). Assessments 2 and 3 are aligned with all three LOs. Assessment 2 assesses students' understanding of a literary drama and requires comparison of the merits of its textual and theatrical versions. Assessment 3 is an individual project that requires interpretation and presentation of more creative literature and audio-visual sources. | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Expected | Seminars | | | 39 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Other student study effor | rt: | | | | | | |
| | Self study/preparation 78 Hi | | | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort 117 Hr | | | | | 17 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | <i>Recommended reading</i> The PolyU library retains either hardcopies or electronic copies of the following titles. The titles can also be found online. | | | | | | | |

| Stam, R., and Raengo, A. (eds.). (2004). <i>A companion to literature and film</i> . [electronic source] Blackwell reference online. Malden: Blackwell. Call number PN1995.3.C65 2004eb http://www.blackwellreference.com/subscriber/uid=262/book?id=g97806312 |
|---|
| 30533_9780631230533&authstatuscode=202 |
| Other readings will be specified by the ELC teacher, and may contain short fiction, novelettes, plays and poetry. |

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time over-crowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4: Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Subject Description Form

Please read the notes at the end of the table carefully before completing the form.

| Subject Code | ELC2014 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Advanced English for University Studies |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Pre-requisite: English for University Studies (ELC1012/ELC1013) (unless exempted) |
| Objectives | This subject aims to help students study effectively in the University's English medium learning environment, and to improve and develop their English language proficiency within a framework of university study contexts. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1) | Upon successful completion of the subject, students will be able to: a) research relevant academic texts for a topic and integrate the sources into a position argument essay appropriately and effectively; b) plan, research for, write and revise a position argument essay; and c) present and justify views effectively in a mini oral defence. To achieve the above outcomes, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present and support stance and opinion logically and persuasively. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2) | Written communication Developing logical and persuasive arguments; applying a variety of organisation patterns in discursive writing, including the writing of explanatory and evaluative texts; selecting information from academic texts critically; supporting stance; maintaining cohesion and coherence in discursive writing; achieving appropriate style and tone. Spoken communication Enhancing and practising the specific oral and aural skills required to participate effectively in an academic discussion and to present and justify views in an oral defence. Reading and listening Understanding the content and structure of information in oral and written texts; comprehending, inferring and evaluating messages and |

| | attitude. | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|---|---|---|
| | 4. Language development Improving and extending relevant features of grammar, vocabulary and pronunciation. | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3) | The study method is primarily seminar-based. Following a blended delivery approach, activities include teacher input as well as in- and out-of-class individual and group work involving drafting and evaluating texts, mini-presentations, discussions and simulations. The process approach to writing is adopted, and students make use of elearning resources to engage in academic discussions and to reflect on their learning. Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout the course. Students will be referred to learning resources on the Internet and in the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | |
| (Note 4) | | | a | b | c |
| | 1. Position Argument Essay (draft) | 20% | ~ | ~ | |
| | 2. Academic Presentation & discussion | 35% | ~ | | ~ |
| | 3. Position Argument Essay (final) | 45% | ✓ ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: Assessments 1 and 3 assess students' abilities to produce a coherent academic text which requires research, and effective use and referencing of sources (ref. LOs (a) and (b)). Assessment 2 assesses their abilities to plan, present and justify their views in an oral defence (ref. LOs (a) and (c)). In addition to their assessments, students complete further language training by carrying out academic research and by completing a variety o independent-learning tasks focusing on grammar and academic skills such as paraphrasing and discussion strategies. | | | | |

| Student Study Effort Expected | Class cont | Class contact: | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|--|
| | • | Seminars | 39 Hrs. | |
| | Other stud | lent study effort: | | |
| | • | Self study/preparation | 78 Hrs. | |
| | Total stud | ent study effort | 117 Hrs. | |

| Reading List and References | Course material Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | Recommended references |
| | Davies, B. (2012). <i>Reading research: A user friendly guide for health professionals</i> (5 th ed.). Toronto, ON: Elsevier Canada. |
| | Faigley, L. (2012). <i>Backpack writing: Reflecting, arguing, informing, analyzing, evaluating</i> (3 rd ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson. |
| | Madden, C. and Rohlck, T. N. (1997). <i>Discussion and interaction in the academic community</i> . Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press. |
| | McWhorter, K. T. (2007). <i>Academic reading</i> (6 th ed.). New York, NY: Pearson/Longman |
| | Oshima, A. & Hogue, A. (2006). <i>Writing academic English</i> (4th ed.). White Plains, NY: Pearson/Longman. |
| | Reinhart, S. M. (2013). <i>Giving academic presentations</i> (2 nd ed.). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press. |
| | Rost, M. (2013). Active listening. Harlow, England: Pearson. |
| | Wood, N. V. (2012). <i>Perspectives on argument</i> (7 th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson. |
| | |

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon subject completion. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time, overcrowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4: Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method is intended to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | ELC3521 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Professional Communication in English |
| Credit Value | 2 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite | English LCR subjects |
| Objectives | This subject aims to develop the language competence for professional communication in English required by students to communicate effectively with various parties and stakeholders in regard to engineering-related project proposals. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, and in relation to effective communication with a variety of intended readers/audiences in English, students will be able to: |
| | a. plan, organise and produce professionally acceptable project proposals with appropriate text structures and language for different intended readers |
| | b. plan, organise and deliver effective project-related oral presentations with appropriate interactive strategies and language for different intended audiences |
| | c. adjust the style of expression and interactive strategies in writing and speaking in accordance with different intended readers/audiences |
| Subject Synopsis / Indicative Syllabus | Project proposal in English Planning and organising a project proposal Explaining the background, rationale, objectives, scope and significance of a project Referring to the current situation or existing literature to substantiate a project proposal Describing the methods of study Describing and discussing anticipated project results and (if applicable) results of a pilot study Presenting the budget, schedule and (if applicable) method of evaluation Writing an executive summary Oral presentation of project proposal in English Selecting content for an audience-focused presentation Choosing language and style appropriate to the intended audience Using appropriate transitions and maintaining coherence in a team |

| | Using effective verbal and non-verbal interactive strategies |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The subject is designed to develop the English language skills, both oral and written, that students need to use to communicate effectively and professionally with a variety of stakeholders of engineering-related projects. It builds upon the language and communication skills covered in GUR language training subjects. |
| | The study approach is primarily seminar-based. Seminar activities include instructor input as well as individual and group work, involving drafting and evaluating texts, mini-presentations, discussions and simulations. |
| | The learning and teaching activities in the subject will focus on a course-long project which will engage students in proposing and reporting on an engineering-related project to different intended readers/audiences. During the course, students will be involved in: |
| | • planning and researching the project |
| | • writing project-related documents such as project proposals |
| | • giving oral presentations to intended stakeholders of the project |

| Intended Learning | assessment weighting be | | | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Outcomes | methods/tasks | | a | b | c | | |
| | 1. Project propo in English | sal 40% | | | ~ | | |
| | 2. Oral presentation of project proposal English | 60% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | 1 | | | |
| | 1 | | | - | | ed on writte | |
| | This facilitates assess and style appropriate Assessment type | presentations targ | geted at s' abili | differe ty to se | ent intend lect conte readers/au Intende | ed readers ent and use idiences. | en /audiences |
| | This facilitates assest and style appropriate | in English proposal of 200 ember writes a r | geted at s' abilit and int 00-2500 eport o | differe ty to se ended n f 200- | ent intend lect conte readers/au Intende | ed readers ent and use idiences. ed s/audienc | en /audiences language Timin |

| Student Study | Class contact: | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Effort Expected | Seminars | 26 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Researching, planning and writing the project Rehearsing the presentation | 52 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort: | 78 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | D.F. Beer, (Ed.), Writing and speaking in the tec practical guide, 2nd ed., Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 20 R. Johnson-Sheehan, Writing proposals, 2nd ed., Pearson/Longman, 2008. S. Kuiper, Contemporary business report writin Thomson/South-Western, 2007. M.S. Lawrence, Writing as a thinking process: The Mich: University of Michigan Press, 1975. D.C. Reep, Technical writing: Principles, strate Pearson, Longman, 2006. | 003. , New York: g, 3 rd ed., Cincinnati, OH: <i>Teacher's manual</i> . Ann Arbor, |

Subjects offered by Faculty of Engineering

| Subject Code | ENG1003 |
|---|---|
| Subject Title | Freshman Seminar for Engineering |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 1 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | The objectives of this subject are to: (1) Introduce students to the engineering broad discipline and enthuse them about their major study (2) Cultivate students' creativity and problem-solving ability, and global outlook (3) Introduce students to the concept of entrepreneurship (4) Engage the students in desirable forms of learning at university that emphasizes self-regulation, autonomous learning and deep understanding |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will: (a) Be able to demonstrate an understanding and an enthusiasm about the engineering broad discipline and their major study (b) Develop their problem-solving ability and global outlook (c) Be able to demonstrate an understanding of entrepreneurship (d) Be able to research for information, formulate a project plan, and manage a project with initiative (e) Be able to demonstrate an understanding of academic integrity. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity (4 hours*) Students will be required to complete successfully an Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity on or before week 5 of the first semester. The students will understand the importance of academic integrity by completing the Online Tutorial. Seminars (12 hours*) There will be seminars given by various speakers on various topics to introduce to students the engineering broad discipline, to enthuse them about their major study, to arouse students' interests in engineering and to cultivate their understanding of and sense of belonging to the discipline and the engineering profession, and to cultivate students' global outlook. The formats of the seminars may be, but not limited to, Departmental Seminars, and Renowned Speaker Seminar. Freshman Project (45 hours*) There will be practical workshops, presentation and demonstration |
| | There will be practical workshops, presentation and demonstration sessions for the Freshman Project. The freshman project aims at |

| | developing students' creativity, problem-solving skills, research for information, and project management abilities through practical and hands-on tasks at a level commensurate with their first-year engineering backgrounds. Students will work in small groups under the guidance of teachers/instructors to design and implement an engineering solution to some given problems. 4. Entrepreneurship Project (45 hours*) The entrepreneurship project is designed to develop students' appreciation and understanding about entrepreneurship and the commercialization process by attending lectures, workshops and tutorials. In the course of the Entrepreneurship Project, students will identify technology opportunities and learn the skills of preparing a simple business plan. (* Note: hours indicate total student workload) |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity The Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity is developed by the University to help the students understand the importance of academic integrity. By going through the Online Tutorial, students will be aware of the importance of upholding academic integrity during University study. They will also learn good practices by which to stay clear of dishonest behaviors and academic plagiarism. Seminars The seminars (such as renowned speaker seminars and departmental seminars) are designed to arouse students' interest about engineering. |
| | The delivery mode will be <i>interactive</i> and <i>engaging</i> . Students will be motivated to search for information and do background reading. They will be encouraged to raise questions and discuss with the presenters. Assessment tasks (quizzes) will be designed to measure students' learning outcomes as well as to encourage participation and interaction. <i>Freshman Project</i> For the Freshman Project, students will work collaboratively with their group members to design and implement an engineering solution to a |
| | given problem under the guidance of instructors. There will be close staff-students and students-students <i>interaction</i> . Students will be given opportunities to develop creativity, problem-solving skills, research for information and project management abilities. Assessment tasks will consist of demonstration, presentation, reports, and reflective essay writings. These are designed to evaluate individual student's performance and achievement of the relevant intended learning outcomes as well as to encourage active participation. |
| | <i>Entrepreneurship Project</i> There will be lectures, workshops, and tutorials. A general overview of the concepts required to conduct the project will be provided to students through lectures. They will then work in small groups in a workshop to appreciate the essential elements in the development of a business plan and subsequently to produce a simple business plan and to present it to |

| | fellow classmates. Assessme understanding about entrepreneur | | focus tion an | towa d crea | | | ents' |
|--|---|-------|------------------|----------------|---|---|-------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Students' performance in this subject will be assessed by using a letter- grading system in accordance with the University's convention from grade F (failure) to A+. The relative weights of the different assessment components are as follows: | | | | | | from |
| | %Intended subject learning weightingSpecific assessment methods/tasks%Immeth | | | | - | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | e |
| | Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity | 0% | | | | | ~ |
| | Seminars Quizzes | 10% | ~ | \checkmark | | | |
| | <i>Freshman Project</i> Project demonstration, presentation, report and reflective essay writing | 45% | | ~ | | ~ | |
| | <i>Entrepreneurship Project</i> Business plan | 45% | | | ~ | ~ | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | • | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: <u>Quizzes</u> (online or paper-based) can measure the students' understanding about the engineering discipline. Through <u>reflective essays</u>, students can reflect on their appreciation and understanding about the <i>engineering</i> discipline. Through project <u>demonstration</u>, <u>presentation</u> and project <u>reports</u>, students can demonstrate their <i>creativity and problem-solving</i> skills abilities. They can also demonstrate their ability to research for information, formulate a project plan, and manage a project with initiative. Through <u>business plan</u>, students can demonstrate their understanding about <i>entrepreneurship</i>. | | | | | | s can |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Pass Conditions | | | | | | |
| | In order to page this subject, students must obtain a Crede D or shows for | | | | | | |

In order to pass this subject, students must obtain a Grade D or above for total marks comprising the Seminars, Freshman Project and Entrepreneurship Project as described here <u>AND</u> pass the Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity on or before week 5 of semester 1 as described in the previous section.

| Student Study | Class contact: | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Effort Expected | Introduction and Seminars (such as Departmental Seminars, Renowned Speaker Seminar) | 6 hours |
| | Freshman project: 3 hours per week for 5 weeks | 15 hours |
| | Entrepreneurship project: 3 hours per week for 5 weeks | 15 hours |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | <u>4</u> hours for Online Tutorial on Academic Integrity; <u>6</u> hours for seminars quizzes preparation; <u>60</u> hours for Freshman project and Entrepreneurship project: background information search, project work preparation, meeting and discussion, presentation and demonstration, and report writing. | 70 Hours |
| | Total student study effort | 106 Hours |
| Reading and References List | H. Scott Fogler and Steven E. LeBlanc, <i>Strategies</i> <i>for creative problem solving</i> , Upper Saddle River, N.J. : Prentice Hall, 2008 | |
| | N.J. Smith (ed), <i>Engineering project</i> <i>management</i> , Oxford, UK; Malden, MA: Blackwell, 2008 | |
| | Gene Moriaty, <i>The engineering project: its nature, ethics, and promise,</i> University Park, Pa.: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2008. | |
| | K. Allen, <i>Entrepreneurship for scientists and engineers</i> , Upper Saddle River, N.J. : Prentice Hall, 2010. | |
| | The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers, "Engineering Our City", Youtube clip ref. no. nYMmI6vlVeQ | |
| | HKIE Corporate Video, Youtube clip ref. no. INMV18MuNEY | |

(revised) June 2017

| Subject Code | ENG2003 |
|---|---|
| Subject Title | Information Technology |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | To provide the foundation knowledge in internet applications, computer networks, and database management that is essential to modern information system design |
| Intended Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: <u>Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills</u> 1. Understand the functions and features of modern computing systems. 2. Understand the client-server architecture and be able to set up multiple internet applications. 3. Understand the principles of computer networks and be able to set up simple computer networks. 4. Understand the basic structure of a database system and be able to set up a simple database system. <u>Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness</u> 1. Solve problems using systematic approaches. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Syllabus: <u>Introduction to computers</u> Introduction to information technology using Internet of Things as a real life example. Introduction to modern computing systems. <u>Computer Networks</u> Introduction to computer networks (Client-Server Architecture). Study different internet applications (HTTP/FTP/DNS). Explain basic concepts on packet routing (Data Encapsulation/IP Addressing/Functions of Routers). Introduction to basic network security measures. Introduction to data processing and information systems Database systems – architecture, relational database concept, structural query language (SQL), database management systems, Web and database linking, database application development. |

| | Introduction to Information systems. Workflow management. Case study: Database design, implementation and management. | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | There will be a mix of lectures, tutorials, and laboratory sessions/workshops to facilitate effective learning. Students will be given case studies to understand and practice the usage of modern information systems. | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | |
| | | | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | B1 |
| | 1. Quizzes (in tutorials) | 3% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark |
| | 2. Quizzes (in lectures) | 14% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | 3. Workshops | 14% | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| | 4. Mid-term Test | 11% | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| | 5. Assignment | 8% | | | | | \checkmark |
| | 6. Examination | 50% | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark |
| | Total | 100 % | | • | | • | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: The assessment methods include an end-of-subject 2-hour writte examination (total 50%) and other assessment methods (total 50%) including quizzes, a mid-term test, workshops, and an assignmen which cover intended subject learning outcomes A1, A2, A3, A4, an B1. | | | | | | vritten 50%), nment, |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | • Lectures (18), tutorials (6), and workshops (15) | | | | | 39 Hours | |
| | Other student study effort | : | | | | | |
| | • Workshops preparation (6/workshop) | | | | 30 H | lours | |
| | • Self study (3/week) | | | | | 39 H | lours |
| Total student study effort | | | | 108 Hours | | | |

| Reading List and References | 1. B. Williams and S. Sawyer, Using Information Technology: A <i>Practical Introduction to Computers and Communications</i> , 11 th ed., McGraw-Hill, 2014. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | 2. J. F. Kurose and K. W. Ross, <i>Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach</i> , 7 th ed., Pearson, 2016. |
| | 3. D. E. Comer, <i>Computer Networks and Internets</i> , 6 th ed., Pearson, 2015. |
| | B. A. Forouzan, <i>TCP/IP Protocol Suite</i>, 4th ed., Tmh, 2010. W. Stalling, <i>Data and Computer Communications</i>, 10th ed., Pearson, |
| | 2013. |
| | 6. S. Morris and C. Coronel, <i>Database Systems: Design</i> , <i>Implementation, and Management</i> , 11 th Edition, Course Technology, 2014. |
| | M. Mannino, Database Design, Application Development, & Administration. 6th ed., Chicago Business Press, 2014. |

(revised) July 2018

| Subject Code | ENG3004 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Society and the Engineer |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject is designed for engineering students as a complementary subject on the role of the professional engineer in practice and their responsibilities toward the profession, colleagues, employers, clients, and the public. The objectives of the subject are to enable students to |
| | 1. appreciate the historical context of modern technology and the nature of the process whereby technology develops and the relationship between technology and the environment, as well as the implied social costs and benefits; |
| | 2. understand the social, political, legal, and economic responsibilities and accountability of the engineering profession and the organizational activities of professional engineering institutions; |
| | 3. be aware of the short-term and long-term effects related to safety and health, and the environmental impacts of technology; |
| | 4. observe professional conduct, as well as the legal and other applicable constraints, related to various engineering issues; and |
| | 5. develop a strong vision to optimize their contribution to sustainable development. |
| Intended Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to |
| Outcomes | a. identify and evaluate the effects of technology as it applies to the social, cultural, economic, legal, health, safety, and environmental dimensions of society; |
| | b. explain the importance of local and international professional training, professional conduct and ethics, and responsibilities in various engineering disciplines, particularly the Washington Accord; |
| | c. evaluate and estimate, in a team setting, the impact of contemporary issues, planned projects, and unforeseen technological advances related to engineers; effectively communicate and present the findings to laymen and peers. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Impact of Technology on Society Historical cases and trends of technological innovation explored through their impact on social and cultural developments of civilization and their |

| | 1 1 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | commonalities. |
| | 2. <u>Environmental Protection and Related Issues</u> |
| | Roles of the engineer in energy conservation, ecological balance, and sustainable development. |
| | 3. <u>Global Outlook for Hong Kong's Economy and Industries</u> |
| | Support organizations, policies and their impacts on industrial and economic development in Greater China, the Pacific Rim, and the world. |
| | 4. <u>Regulatory Organizations and Compliance</u> |
| | Discussion of engineer's responsibilities within different regulatory frameworks and environments; Examples from various entities such as the Labor Department and the Occupational Health and Safety Council; Legal dimensions to engineering such as liability, contract law, and industrial legislation. |
| | 5. <u>Professional Institutions</u> |
| | Local and overseas professional institutions; Washington Accord and the qualifications and criteria of professional engineers. |
| | 6. <u>Professional Ethics</u> |
| | Prevention of bribery and corruption; The work of the Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC); Social responsibilities of engineers. |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Class comprises short lectures to provide essential knowledge and information on the relationships between society and the engineer under a range of dimensions. |
| | Other methods include discussions, case studies, and seminars to develop students' in-depth analysis of the relationships. |
| | Each student will submit two assignments based on their weekly learning activities, which will be part of the subject's evaluation. The assignments will deal with important issues of social, cultural, economic, legal, health, safety, and environmental dimensions of society. |
| | Students are assembled into groups; throughout the course, they will work on engineering cases by completing the following learning activities: |
| | 1. Case analysis where students explore the relationships between society and the engineering issues of a project under specific dimensions; |
| | 2. Construction and assembly of a case portfolio which includes |
| | i. Presentation slidesii. Feedback critiquesiii. Weekly summary reports |

| | iv. A report on Sustainable Developmentv. Individual Reflections | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--|--------------|----------|--|
| | 3. Final oral presentation | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to assessed | | | |
| | | | a | b | с | |
| | 1. Continuous assessment | 70% | | | | |
| | • Group weekly learning activities | (20%) | ~ | \checkmark | ✓ | |
| | • Individual Assignments (2) | (20%) | ~ | \checkmark | | |
| | • Individual final presentation | (15%) | ~ | \checkmark | | |
| | • Individual reflection statement | (5%) | ~ | ~ | | |
| | • Group project and SD reports | (10%) | ~ | ~ | ✓ | |
| | 2. Examination | 30% | ~ | \checkmark | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: | | | | | |
| | The coursework requires students to work in groups to study cases from the perspectives of the eight dimensions in an engineering setting. Based on these exercises, students' ability to apply and synthesize acquired knowledge can be assessed through their performance during groups' discussion, oral presentations, and the quality of their portfolio reports on the case studies. The open-book examination is used to assess students' critical thinking and | | | | | |
| | problem-solving skills when working of | n their own. | | | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | 07.11 | |
| | Lectures and review | | | 27 Hrs. | | |
| | Presentation | | | | 12 Hrs. | |
| | Other student study efforts: | | | | | |
| | Research and preparation | | | | 55 Hrs. | |
| | Report and Assignments writing | | | | 25 Hrs. | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | 119 Hrs. | |

| Reading | Reference Books & Articles: | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| List and Reference | 1. Education for Sustainable Development - An Expert Review of Processes and Learning, UNESCO, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| S | 2. Poel, Ibo van de, and Lambèr M. M. Royakkers. Ethics, Technology, and Engineering : an Introduction. Wiley-Blackwell, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Engineering-Issues, Challenges and Opportunities for Development, USECO, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | Engineering for Sustainable Development: Guiding Principles, Royal Academy of Engineering, 2005 | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Securing the future: delivering UK sustainable development strategy, 2005 | | | | | | | |
| | Securing the future: derivering of K sustainable development strategy, 2005 Johnston, F S, Gostelow, J P, and King, W J, 2000, <i>Engineering and Society Challenges of Professional Practice</i>, Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall | | | | | | | |
| | Hjorth, L, Eichler, B, and Khan, A, 2003, <i>Technology and Society A Bridge to the</i> 21st Century, Upper Saddle River, N.J.:Prentice Hall | | | | | | | |
| | 8. The Council for Sustainable Development in Hong Kong, http://www.enb.gov.hk/en/susdev/council/ | | | | | | | |
| | 9. Poverty alleviation: the role of the engineer, | | | | | | | |
| | http://publications.arup.com/publications/p/poverty_alleviation_the_role_of_the_ engineer | | | | | | | |
| | Reading materials: | | | | | | | |
| | Engineering journals: | | | | | | | |
| | - Engineers by The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers | | | | | | | |
| | - Engineering and Technology by The Institution of Engineers and Technology | | | | | | | |
| | Magazines: Time, Far East Economic Review | | | | | | | |
| | Current newspapers: South China Morning Post, China Daily, Ming Pao Daily | | | | | | | |

(revised) August 2018

| Subject Code | ENG4001 |
|--|---|
| Subject Title | Project Management |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite/Co- requisite/Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject provides students with knowledge in: project management tools in business organizations, taking into account the time-cost relationships, resources, processes, risks, the project life cycle, organization, and management principles; project management methodologies and their application; choosing project variables for effective project management; and various developments of project management. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. demonstrate good understanding of definition of a project, the characteristics and project life cycle; b. identify appropriate project variables and practices that are applicable to engineering projects; c. perform project planning, cost/resources estimation, evaluate and monitor of project progress; and d. propose project management solutions, taking into consideration the project objectives and constraints. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Project objectives that constraints. Project Overview, Management Principles, and the Systems Approach Characteristics of projects and project management. Management principles. Project organization. Team development. Systems concepts and principles. Conflict management. Project Methodologies and Planning Techniques Constraints: time, cost, and technical performance. Work breakdown structure. Management of scope. Scheduling tools: Gantt charts, network analysis techniques, time-phased networks, CPA, PERT, and resource smoothing. <u>Cost Estimation and Cost Control for Projects</u> Types of estimates. Budgeting project costs. Experience curve. Cost schedules and forecasts. Cost control systems. <u>Evaluation and Control of Projects</u> Earned value measurement system. Managing project risks. Status reporting. Project closeout and termination. |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | A mixture of lectures, tutorial exercises, case studies, and laboratory work are used to deliver the various topics in this subject. Some material is covered using a problem-based format where this advances the learning objectives. Other material is covered through directed study to enhance the students' "learning to learn" ability. Some case studies are from best practices of projects, based on a literature review. They are used to integrate the topics and demonstrate to students how the various techniques are interrelated and applied in real-life situations. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|---|---|---|------------------|------|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks % Intended subject lear outcomes to be asses | | | | | - | | |
| | | weighting | a | b | c | d | | |
| | 1. Tutorial exercises/ written report | 20% | | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 2. Mid Term Test | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | 3. Written examination | 60% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: Continuous assessment (1) & (2): Test, written reports and tutorial exercise are used to assess students' understanding and application of the knowledg that they have learnt relative to learning outcomes (a), (b) and (c). Written examination: questions are designed to assess learning outcomes (a) (b), (c), and (d). | | | | | rcises vledge | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Litor Expected | Lectures Tutorials / Case stud | 3 hours/wee | | | | 27 | Hrs. | |
| | Tutorials / Case studies 3 hours/week for 4 weeks | | | | | 12 Hrs. | | |
| | | | | | | | Hrs. | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for assignments, short tests, and the written examination | | | | | 79 | Hrs. | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | 118 | Hrs. | |

| Reading List and References | 1. | Meredith JR and Mantel SJ, 2010, <i>Project Management: a Managerial Approach</i> , Wiley, Hoboken NJ |
|--------------------------------|----|---|
| | 2. | Kerzner, H 2009, Project Management: a Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling, John Wiley, New York |
| | 3. | Smith, NJ (ed.) 2008, Engineering Project Management, Blackwell, Oxford |

(Revised) July 2015

Subjects offered by Department of Logistics and Maritime Studies

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | LGT2009 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Introduction to Shipping and Transport Logistics Operations |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Role and Purposes | This is an introductory course, to develop necessary skills and knowledge for analyzing simple shipping and transport logistics operations, and to provide a foundation for advanced level courses in these subjects (Outcomes 8 and 11). |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Evaluate the suitability of different types of ships for specific cargo transportation requirements, by applying basic concepts of ship design and classification. b. Appraise how maritime geography (including common sea routes, navigation channels and geographic constraints) affects shipping operations. c. Be familiar with current developments in the shipping industry to a level that is adequate for continued self-enhancement of knowledge of the subject. d. Be familiar with ships, ports and maritime geography to a level that provides adequate foundation for advanced level courses in shipping and logistics. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Basic Ship Design and Layout Elementary ship design, construction and layout. An overview of different ship types (Bulk / Container carriers, tankers, specialist ships, passenger vessels). Propulsion systems, fuels. Tonnage measurement of ships. |

Ship Stability and Safety

Ship stability and use of stability information. Load-line zones.

Safety: navigational safety, fire safety, cargo safety, flooding, water tight compartments, safety systems.

Vessel Operations

Elementary navigation, navigation aids. Berthing, anchoring and mooring arrangements. Rules of the Road. Watch- keeping requirements, ship's crew composition and functions.

Time zones and time differences, local time, standard time, UTC and International Date Line.

Cargo types

Characteristics of primary cargoes: container / bulk / hazardous cargoes, dangerous goods, deck cargoes, specialized cargoes.

Ports and operations

Ports and terminals, terminal design and equipment characteristics, harbor configurations, pilotage, port controls, tugs, water/fuel/refuse barges, bunkers, ship handling.

Cargo operations

Types of cargoes, cargo compartments, hatch covers, cargo planning, stowage, handling and preparation, measurement, shipboard and dock side cargo handling systems, venting and ballast systems.

Maritime Geography

Sea routes, navigable canals, waterways and geographic constraints. Draft and maneuverability limitations.

Voyage Planning

Passage planning, route selection, transit time, turn around, economical speed, operational speed.

Organization and Structure of Shipping

Role of shipping registers, classification societies and various international maritime and trade organizations.

Different types of shipping companies including their structures and management. Coastal and foreign trade.

Maritime conventions and rules. Customs, Quarantine.

Introduction to selected maritime conventions (STCW, MARPOL,

| | SOLAS, SAR and COLREGs. Maritime Zones. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----|----------------|--|--|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Lectures introduce and explain key concepts with appropriate examples. Tutorials give students an opportunity to enhance their understanding of concepts taught in lectures. Tutorials are highly interactive and include discussions of current / past events, case studies and may include student presentations. | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | s Specific assessment methods/tasks % Weighting Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | a | b | c | d | | | | |
| | Coursework | 50% | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | ~ | | | | |
| | Examination | 50% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods assessing the intended learning outcomes: The coursework includes - Individual Project 40%; Participation in cla discussions/attendance 10%. Students would be given regular feedba on their performance, by email or as comments on assignment. | | | | | | | class dback | | |
| | submitted. To pass this subject, students are required to obtain Grade D or above in BOTH the Continuous Assessment and Exam components. | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | | | | 26 Hrs. | | | Hrs. | | |
| | Tutorials | | | | | | 13 | Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Self study | | | | | | 31 | Hrs. | | |
| | Group project | | | | | | 60 | Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | | 130 | Hrs. | | |

| Reading List and References | <u>Compulsory</u> |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Kererences | Branch, Alan Edward & Robarts, Michael. (2014). <i>Branch's Elements of Shipping (9th Edition)</i> . Routledge, New York |
| | (PolyU library call no: HE 571.B67 2014eb. Also available as eBook.) |
| | Alderton, Patrick M. (2007). <i>Reeds Sea Transport: Operation and Economics (6th edition)</i> Adlard Coles Nautical, London. |
| | <u>Supplementary</u> |
| | Lun, Lai & Cheng. (2010). <i>Shipping and Logistics Management</i> . Springer; London, ISBN:978-1-84882-996-1, e-ISBN:978-1-84882-997-8. |
| | <u>Indicative</u> |
| | Lloyd's Practical Shipping Guides: Port Management and Operations (2008), Informa, London |
| | <i>The Admiralty Manual of Navigation Volume I</i> (2008), The Nautical Institute, London |

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | LGT3102 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Management Science |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 3 |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Role and Purposes | To introduce to students the methodology of Management Science as a scientific approach to managerial decision making. To impart in students the concepts, theories and techniques of a variety of management science methods. (Outcomes 3 and 6) To develop students' ability and confidence in the use of management science methods for solving management decision problems. (Outcome 8) |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Have a basic understanding of the principles, concepts and techniques in management science. b. Understand the basic technical and analytical skills for management science. (Outcomes 3 and 6) c. Understand the application of management science in a managerial context for management decision-making. (Outcome 8) |
| | Students are expected to be able to demonstrate a range of skills to solve problems in management science. These include: d. Critical thinking and analytical skills that include the capability to identify assumptions, evaluate statements, detect false logic and formulate problems. (Outcomes 3, 6, and 8) e. Effective problem solving and decision-making using appropriate analytical skills including identifying, formulating and solving problems in management science. (Outcomes 3, 6, and 8) f. Numeracy and quantitative skills including the use of models in management science. (Outcomes 3 and 6) |

| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Subject Synopsis/ | The methodology of M | lanagement | Science | | | | | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | Linear Programming: model formulation, graphical solution for pro- with two variables, computer solutions, sensitivity analysis | | | | | | | blems | |
| | Assignment, Transportation, and Transshipment Problems: | | | | | | | | |
| | Goal Programming Integer Programming Network Modeling: shortest route, maximal flow, minimum cost flow Waiting Line Models | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Simulation | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Concepts and techniques will be introduced through lectures. In seminars students are required to apply their knowledge and skills to analyse and solve various management science problems. Use of relevant computer packages will be included. | | | | | | | se and | |
| Assessment Methods | | | | | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subject learning outcomesto be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | | ies | | |
| | | | а | b | c | d | e | f | |
| | 1. Assignments | 20% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | |
| | 2. Tests | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | 3. Examination | 50% | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: The assessment methods include assignments, tests, and examination. Problems will be set to test the students' performance with respect to the learning outcomes. <i>To pass this subject, students are required to obtain Grade D or above in</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | BOTH the Continuous | | - | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | | | | | | 26 | Hrs. | |
| | Seminars | | | | | | 13 | Hrs. | |
| | Other student study ef | fort: | | | | | | | |
| | Assignments | | | | | | 27 | Hrs. | |
| | Revisions | | | | | 60 Hrs. | | | |
| | Total student study eff | fort | | | | 126 Hrs. | | | |

| Reading List and References | B. Render, R.M. Stair, M.E. Hanna and T.S. Hale, <i>Quantitative Analysis</i> for Management, 13rd edition, Pearson, 2018. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | F.S. Hillier, M.S. Hillier, K, Schmedders and Molly Stephens, Introduction to Management Science: A Modeling and Case Studies Approach with Spreadsheets, 5th edition, McGraw Hill, 2014. |
| | D.R. Anderson, An Introduction to Management Science: Quantitative Approaches to Decision Making, 15th edition, Cengage Learning, 2019. |
| | K.R. Baker and K.H. Kropp, <i>Management Science: An Introduction to the Use of Decision Models</i> , Wiley, 1985. |
| | J.H. Moore and L.R. Weatherford. <i>Decision Making with Microsoft Excel</i> , 6th edition, Prentice Hall, 2001. |
| | |

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | LGT4106 |
|---|---|
| Subject Title | Supply Chain Management |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Role and Purposes | The course focuses on operations management in basic supply chains, such as manufacturer-retailer and supplier-manufacturer systems. The course objectives are to learn recent best practices in supply chain management, and to develop skills in solving specific types of logistics and supply chain problems (Outcomes 6, 8, 9, 10). The course also intends to improve students' ability to deal with unstructured dynamic problems encountered in logistics and supply chain management (Outcome 3). Skill development is accomplished through lectures, group assignments, and case studies. |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Understand the strategic importance of supply chain management (SCM) in improving a firm's competitive position in the marketplace; b. Understand the key characteristics of successful supply chains and how they differ from the traditional approaches; c. Gain insights into issues involved in the design, planning, and deployment of a supply chain. (Outcomes 3, 8, and 9) d. Understand the impact of SCM principle on a firm's overall strategy. (Outcome 10) e. Understand the importance of information technologies in the integration of supply chains. (Outcome 6) f. Gain fundamental skills for analyzing and managing a supply chain in an organization. (Outcome 9) Studying this subject will also help develop students' global outlook on global supply chain and global outsourcing, critical and creative thinking, and entrepreneurship. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Concepts in SCM; inventory management in the supply chain; cross- docking; vendor-managed inventory; risk pooling concept; logistics |

| | network design and planning; bullwhip effect and value of information; supply chain integration; product and process design for logistics; supply contracts; pricing and revenue management; strategic alliances and partnerships; information technology for the supply chain. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | In the lectures, the general principles of the syllabus topics will be presented and developed, together with guidance on further reading and activities. Lectures may also be used for the presentation and discussion of leading cases. In the tutorials, students will develop and apply the general principles of the topic in student-centered activities, including simulation games, in- class exercises, and discussions. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods | | ſ | 1 | | | | | |
| in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weightin | to be | ded su assess opriate | ed (Pl | | - | omes |
| | | сŋ | а | b | c | d | e | f |
| | 1. Coursework | 50 % | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | 2. Final Examination | 50 % | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | Total | 100 % | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: Assessment of Coursework (i.e., Continuous Assessment) includes homework assignments, test(s), and simulation game(s). The test(s) and final exam will cover all topics in the syllabus, with a focus of testing students' understanding of the strategic importance of SCM, key characteristics of successful supply chains, impact of SCM principle on a firm's overall strategy, and the importance of information technologies. It will also test students' insights into issues involved in the supply chain planning and design, as well as students' fundamental skills for analyzing a supply chain. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | To pass this subject, st BOTH the Continuous | | - | | | | D or a | bove in |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | • Lectures | | | | | | | 6 Hrs. |
| | Tutorials | | | | | | | 3 Hrs. |
| | • Final exam | | | | | | - | 3 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effe | | 1 | | | | | |
| | Homework assi | gnments and | a case | studies | | | 43 | 5 Hrs. |

| | Reading assignments | 42 Hrs. |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| | Total student study effort | 129 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | Chopra, S., Supply Chain Management: Stra Operation, 7th edition, Pearson. Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P. and Simchi-Lev Managing the Supply Chain: Concepts, Strategies edition, McGraw-Hill. | i, E., Designing and |

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

| Subject Code | LGT4115 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | E-Commerce and Logistics |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Role and Purposes | The role of this subject is to provide undergraduate students with an understanding of the basic business models and strategies for e- commerce within different organizations. The students should be able to explain how the internet and related technologies to effectively improve business decision and reshape the business strategy (Outcome 3). The course introduces the difference between traditional businesses and currently fast-evolving e-business and the students will analyze related cases to evaluate how e-commerce change the traditional business processes (Outcome 5). The influence from e-commerce on logistics industry will be analyzed (Outcome 11) and the students will be challenged to apply the information system techniques to improve the e-logistics management (Outcome 6). |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Describe and explore various business and marketplace models and basic concepts and knowledge for e-commerce b. Describe structure and functions of key technologies supporting e-commerce and apply corresponding tools to improve e-commerce processes and make better business decisions c. Analyze sample e-commerce cases and evaluate how e-commerce business influence traditional business through the use of information technology among governments, people, and companies; d. Understand the information systems approach for the planning, analysis, design, development, and evaluation of supply chain and e-logistics management. e. Identify emerging trends in e-commerce development. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Fundamentals of e-commerce Common business models, critical success factors, internet marketing, and key technologies, etc. |

| | E-commerce application | | _ | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Digital commerce, online media, social commerce, e-payment, e- advertising, and mobile commerce, etc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Web Statistics & Web Analytics | | | | | | | | |
| | Business intelligence, search engine optimization, web traffic, visitor analysis, and cloud computing, etc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Supply chain and e-logis | Supply chain and e-logistics management | | | | | | | |
| | Business strategies, analytical methodologies, and information technology in supply chain management; the information system techniques for planning, analyzing, designing, development, and evaluation of supply chain and e-logistics management, etc. | | | | | | | | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | There will be a mix of lectures, discussions, case studies, and laboratories. Mini-group discussion and projects will be carried out on some business cases in depth and reports are produced at the end of the term. Hands-on experiences of using e-commerce tools will also be provided to the students. | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment | | | | | | | | | |
| Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | outco | | | t lea essed (l | arning Please | | |
| Outcomes | | | a | b | c | d | e | | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 50% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | |
| | Final Exam | 50% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | ✓ | | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | | |
| | To pass this subject, stu BOTH the Continuous A | | - | | | | · above in | | |
| | Explanation of the appro the intended learning out | - | of the as | ssessme | ent met | hods in | assessing | | |
| | The various methods are designed to ensure that all students taking this subject have a balanced learning experience. Individual assignment will be designed to test students' understanding on e-commerce concepts and knowledge, as well as the ability to explore new knowledge and apply them to solve the real business problems. Term project will require students to propose e-commerce business plan, design and apply information technology to deliver the proposal. | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | |

| Effort Expected | Lecture | 26 Hrs. |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Tutorial | 13 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Preparation for lectures/tutorials | 45 Hrs. |
| | Preparation for individual assignment/ group project/ final exam | 42 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 126 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | Recommended TextbooksLaudon, K. C. and Traver, C. G. (2016). E-Commerce: B Technology, Society. Pearson Education, 12th Edition. IS 0133938951.Reference BooksTurban, E., King, D., Lee, J. K., Liang, TP., and Turk (2015) Electronic Commerce: A Managerial and Socia Perspective. Springer, 8 th edition. ISBN: 978-3319100Camm, J.D. (2017). Essentials of Business Analytics (S Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.Evans, J. (2016). Business Analytics: Methods, Models, a (Second ed.). Boston: Pearson. | BN: 978- ban, D. C. <i>al Networks</i> 1906. Second ed.). |

Subjects offered by Department of Management and Marketing

| Subject Code | MM1L01 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Tango! Managing Self & Leading Others |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 1 |
| Pre-requisite/ Corequisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Role and Purposes | This subject contributes to the achievement of the University Graduation Requirements relating to Leadership and Intra-personal Development (LIPD) and the FB BBA Generic Learning Outcomes. 1. Aim to prepare you to lead yourself and others (aim of LIPD) 2. Apply creative thinking in the business setting (BBA Learning Outcome #3) 3. Evaluate the process and structure through which organizations plan, decide, motivate and control their activities (BBA Learning Outcome #9) |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Demonstrate understanding of intra-personal concepts and theories b. Demonstrate understanding of leadership concepts and theories c. Apply creative thinking in idea expression and presentation d. Apply leadership concepts and theories in organizational settings |

| 8-1 | 60 |
|-----|----|
|-----|----|

| Subject Synopsis/ | Self-management | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicative Syllabus | 1. Who am I? | | | | |
| | a. self-understanding | | | | |
| | b. self-perceptions | | | | |
| | c. me in the eyes of others | | | | |
| | d. understanding personality | | | | |
| | 2. Begin with the end in mind! | | | | |
| | a. knowing priorities | | | | |
| | b. setting goals | | | | |
| | c. resources & action plan | | | | |
| | 3. The superman's hurdles | | | | |
| | a. own styles in leadership potentials | | | | |
| | b. managing stress | | | | |
| | c. understanding EQ | | | | |
| | 4. Solve it using creativity | | | | |
| | a. creativity in me, creative process, creativity in the | | | | |
| | workplace | | | | |
| | Leading people | | | | |
| | 5. Connecting the minds | | | | |
| | a. team formation | | | | |
| | b. team roles | | | | |
| | c. determinants of team effectiveness | | | | |
| | 6. <i>Candy box</i> | | | | |
| | a. leadership theories: meaning, attributes, behaviours | | | | |
| | 7. Fight or flight? | | | | |
| | a. types of conflict | | | | |
| | b. managing conflict | | | | |
| | c. caring about others | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 8. Leadership challenges | | | | |
| | a. desirable competencies in the real world | | | | |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | 1. | In general, through assignments (one individ develop positive attitude their learning in trackin personal and leadership | dual and one e, build know ng and analy | grouj vledg zing | p assige and | gnmei skills | nt), stu s, and | dents apply |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 2. | Specifically, weekly 2-hour lectures are designed to channel students with theories, concepts, principles, strategies of self-management and leadership. Each lecture is focused on 1-2 specific topics for discussion. In-lecture short exercises are built-in to encourage student engagement track learning of students. Furthermore, weekly 1-hour tutorials are in place to support students to learn best. Classes incorporate group activities, case studies, discussion, and/or presentation, with its design referenced to the 4-stage of experiential learning (ie having an experience, reviewing the experience, concluding the experience and planning the next steps) and different types of learning styles (ie visual, auditory and kinaesthetic learners). Students are directed and encouraged to appropriate <i>reading resources</i> for long-term continuous learning. | | | | | | self- n 1-2 built- |
| | 3. | | | | | | | |
| | 4. | | | | | | | ading |
| | 5. | Written <i>assignments</i> , a students to have their a self-efficacy increased. have interaction with interview). | <i>exercises and</i> chievement/e The assignme | <i>d pro</i> xperi ents f | e <i>senta</i> ence (acilita | demor ate the | nstrate stude | d and nts to |
| Assessment Methods in | | | | | | | | |
| Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting* | oute | comes | to be | ect le assess approp | |
| | | | | a. | b. | с. | d. | |
| | | Continuous | 1 | I | | | | |
| | | Assessment | | | | | | |
| | | Tutorial Attendance and Participation | 15% | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | | Lecture Attendance and Performance through exercises/activities/ quizzes | 15% | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| | | Group Assignment | 35% | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | | Individual Assignment | 35% | ~ | | ~ | | |
| | | Total | 100% | | | | | |
| | ma alte at i To | Veighting of assessment of y be different, subject erations are available to set the beginning of the semes pass this subject, student the Continuous Assessment | to each sub students via t ster. ss are required | <i>bject</i> he Su d to c | lectu bject | rer. Outlii | Detai ne ava | ils or ilable |

Β.

4.

Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes:

Tutorial Attendance and Participation, applicable to weekly tutorials, encourages active classes for better learning. Graded participation and attendance shape active learning attitude and development of reflection of students to enhance knowledge and skill acquisition and retention. Allocation of marks is based on assessment criteria and/or rubrics (eg 'come to class prepared, makes thoughtful comments when called upon, and contributes occasionally without prompting').

2. Lecture Attendance and Performance through exercises/activities/ quizzes, applicable to weekly lectures, boosts learning by incorporating participation and active lectures with or without employment of technology. Allocation of marks is based on performance and assessment criteria.

For **group assignment**, students are expected to complete an empirical study, which makes enquiries into contemporary issues on individual and leadership effectiveness, and give a group presentation. Besides enhancing the understanding of theories, application of relevant skills; the process involves students learning with and from each other as fellow learners. Taken as a whole, this assignment facilitates the integration of learning and increase students' self-efficacy. Allocation of marks is based on assessment criteria and rubrics.

For **individual assignment**, each student is required to complete an individual essay based on individual scenarios to advise and develop strategies to achieve continuous, personal growth. Allocation of marks is based on assessment criteria and rubrics.

| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------|
| | Lectures | 26 Hrs. |
| | Tutorials | 13 Hrs. |
| | Other student study effort: | |
| | Preparation for lectures and seminars (reading & get ready an enquiry mindset) | 13 Hrs. |
| | • Group assignment preparation (collective as well as individual efforts outside classroom) | 39 Hrs. |
| | Individual assignment preparation | 26 Hrs. |
| | Total student study effort | 117 Hrs. |

| Reading List and | Textbooks/Book chapters |
|------------------|--|
| References | Pang E. 2013, <i>Managing self and leading other</i>, 2nd edn, McGraw-Hill, Singapore. |
| | 2. De Janasz, S.C., Dowd, K.O. & Schneider, B.A. 2009, <i>Interpersonal skills in organizations</i> , 3rd edn, McGraw-Hill, Singapore. |
| | Hughes, R.L., Ginnett, R.C. & Curphy, G.J. 2012, <i>Leadership</i>, 7th edn, McGraw-Hill, Singapore. |
| | Lamberton, L.H. & Minor L. 2010, Human relations – Strategies for success, 4th edn, McGraw-Hill, NY. |
| | Waitley, D. 2010, <i>Psychology of success – Finding meaning in work and life</i>, 5th edn, McGraw-Hill, NY. |
| | Supplementary readings relating to "Self-Management" |
| | 1. Beauregard, T.A. 2010, "Introduction: The import of intrapersonal and interpersonal dynamics in work performance", <i>British Journal of Management</i> , vol. 21, pp. 255-261. |
| | 2. Blakeslee, T.R. 1996, <i>Beyond the conscious mind – Unlocking the secrets of the self</i> , Plenum Press, New York. |
| | 3. Carter, P. & Russell, K. 2003, <i>More psychometric testing</i> , Wiley, England. |
| | 4. Connolly, M.B. & Crits-Christoph, P. 1999, "The reliability and validity of a measure of self-understanding of interpersonal patterns, <i>Journal of Counseling Psychology</i> , vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 472-482. |
| | 5. Cranwell-Ward, J. 1990, <i>Thriving on stress – Self-development for managers</i> , Routledge, London. |

| 6. | Gable, S.L., Reis, H.T., Impett, E.A. & Asher, E.R. 2004, "What do you do when things go right? The intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events", <i>Journal of Personality and Social</i> <i>Psychology</i> , vol. 87, No. 2, pp. 228-245. |
|-----|---|
| 7. | Ghaye, T. & Lillyman S. 2000, <i>Caring moments – The discourse of reflective practice</i> , Mark Allen Publishing, UK. |
| 8. | Hamachek, D.E. 1987, <i>Encounters with the self</i> , 3rd edn, CBS College Publishing, USA. |
| 9. | Huang, L. 2010, "Cross-cultural communication in business negotiations", <i>International Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 196-196199. |
| 10. | Johns, C. 2002, <i>Guided reflection advancing practice</i> , Blackwell Publishing, UK. |
| 11. | Keenan, J.P. 2003, <i>The face in the mirror</i> , Harper Collins Publishers, New York. |
| 12. | King, C.L. 2010, "Beyond persuasion: The rhetoric of negotiation in business communication", <i>The Journal of Business Communication</i> , vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 69. |
| 13. | Lawson, K. 2007, "Influencing: Skills and techniques for business success", <i>Personnel Today</i> , no. 0959-5848, pp. 30-30. |
| 14. | Murdock, J.W. & Goel, A.K. 2008, "Meta-case-based reasoning: Selfimprovement through self-understanding" <i>Journal of Experimental</i> & <i>Theoretical Artificial Intelligence</i> , vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1-36. |
| 15. | Overbeck, J.R., Neale, M.A. & Govan, C.L. 2010, "I feel, therefore you act: Intrapersonal and interpersonal effects of emotion on negotiation as a function of social power", <i>Organizational Behavior</i> <i>and Human Decision Processes</i> , vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 126-139. |
| 16. | Patterson, I. 2007, "Influencing: Skills and techniques for business success", <i>Training Journal</i> , no. 14656523, pp. 59-59. |
| 17. | Ross, W.H.,Jr, Conlon, D.E. & Lind, E.A. 1990, "The mediator as leader: Effects of behavioral style and deadline certainty on negotiator behavior", <i>Group & Organization Management</i> , vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 105-105. |
| 18. | Seibt, J. 2011, "Beyond the 'identity'-paradigm: Conflict resolution and the dynamics of self-understanding", <i>Conflict Resolution</i> <i>Quarterly</i> , vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 229-237. |
| 19. | Tschudin, V. 1991, <i>Beginning with awareness – A facilitator's guide</i> , Churchill Livingstone, Singapore. |

| 20. Weissman, D.R. 2010, <i>Awakening to the secret code of your mind</i> , Hay House Inc., USA. |
|--|
| 21. Wilmot, W. & Hocker, J. 2010, <i>Interpersonal conflict</i> , 6th edn, McGrawHill, New York. |
| Supplementary readings relating to "Leading People" |
| 22. Bateman, B., Wilson, F.C. & Bingham, D. 2002, "Team effectiveness - Development of an audit questionnaire", <i>The Journal of Management Development</i> , vol. 21, no. 3/4, pp. 215. |
| 23. Bushe, G.R. & Coetzer, G.H. 2007, "Group development and team effectiveness", <i>Journal of Applied Behavioral Science</i> , vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 184-212. |
| 24. Campion, M.A., Papper, E.M. & Medsker, G.J. 1996, "Relations between work team characteristics and effectiveness: A replication and extension", <i>Personnel Psychology</i> , vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 429-452. |
| 25. Chen, G., Donahue, L.M. & Klimoski, R.J. 2004, "Training undergraduates to work in organizational teams", <i>Academy of</i> <i>Management Learning & Education</i> , vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 27-40. |
| 26. Cohen, S.G., Chang L. & Ledford Jr., G.E. 1997, "A hierarchical construct of self-management leadership and its relationship to quality of work life and perceived work group effectiveness", <i>Personnel Psychology</i> , vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 275-308. |
| 27. De Dreu, C.K.W. & Van Vianen, A.E.M. 2001, "Managing relationship conflict and the effectiveness of organizational teams", <i>Journal of Organizational Behavior</i> , vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 309-328. |
| Fedor, D.B., Ghosh, S., Caldwell, S.D., Maurer, T.J. & Singhal, V.R. 2003, "The effects of knowledge management on team members' ratings of project success and impact", <i>Decision Sciences</i>, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 513-539. |
| 29. Gardner, B.S. & Korth, S.J. 1998, "A framework for learning to work in teams", <i>Journal of Education for Business</i> , vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 28. |
| 30. Gibson, C.B. 2001, "Me and us: Differential relationships among goalsetting training, efficacy and effectiveness at the individual and team level", <i>Journal of Organizational Behavior</i> , vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 789-808. |
| 31. Hirst, G., Mann, L., Bain, P., Pirola-Merlo, A. & Richter, A. 2004, "Learning to lead: The development and testing of a model of leadership learning", <i>Leadership Quarterly</i>, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 311- 327. |

| Ho, J. & Nesbit, P.L. 2009, "A refinement and extension of the selfleadership scale for the Chinese context", <i>Journal of Managerial</i> <i>Psychology</i>, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 450-476. |
|--|
| 33. Hoegl, M. & Gemuenden, H.G. 2001, "Teamwork quality and the success of innovative projects: A theoretical concept and empirical evidence", <i>Organization Science</i> , vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 435. |
| 34. Hobson, C.J., Strupeck, D. & Szostek, J. 2010, "A behavioral roles approach to assessing and improving the team leadership capabilities of managers", <i>International Journal of Management</i> , vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 3-15. |
| Innes, R.B. 2006, "What can learning science contribute to our understanding of the effectiveness of problem-based learning groups?", <i>Journal of Management Education</i>, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 751- 764. |
| 36. Lovelace, K.J., Manz, C.C. & Alves, J.C. 2007, "Work stress and leadership development: The role of self-leadership, shared leadership, physical fitness and flow in managing demands and increasing job control", <i>Human Resource Management Review</i> , vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 374-387. |
| O'Connor, D. & Yballe, L. 2007, "Team leadership: Critical steps to great projects", <i>Journal of Management Education</i>, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 292-312. |
| 38. Pearce, C.L. 2007, "The future of leadership development: The importance of identify, multi-level approaches, self-leadership, physical fitness, shared leadership, networking, creativity, emotions, spirituality and on-boarding processes", <i>Human Resource Management Review</i> , vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 355359. |
| 39. Ramamoorthy, N. & Flood, P.C. 2004, "Individualism/collectivism, perceived task interdependence and teamwork attitudes among Irish bluecollar employees: A test of the main and moderating effects", <i>Human Relations</i> , vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 347. |
| 40. Sitkin, S.B. & Hackman, J.R. 2011, "Developing team leadership: An interview with coach Mike Krzyzewski", <i>Academy of Management Learning & Education</i> , vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 494-501. |
| Tjosvold, D., Law, E.S. & Sun, H. 2006, "Effectiveness of Chinese teams: The role of conflict types and conflict management approaches", <i>Management & Organization Review</i>, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 231-252. |
| 42. Wageman, R., Hackman, J.R. & Lehman, E. 2005, "Team diagnostic survey", <i>Journal of Applied Behavioral Science</i> , vol. 41, no. 4, pp. |

| 373-398. |
|---|
| 43. Werner, J.M. & Lester, S.W. 2001, "Applying a team effectiveness framework to the performance of student case teams", <i>Human</i> <i>Resource Development Quarterly</i> , vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 385-402. |

8-169

| Subject Code | MM2021 |
|---|--|
| Subject Title | Management & Organisation |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 2 |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester |
| Pre-requisite/ Co- requisite/ Exclusion | Exclusion: People and Management (MM2191) or equivalent |
| Role and Purposes | This subject contributes to the achievement of the BBA (Hons) Programme Outcomes by enabling students with an understanding of management functions, group and individual dynamics within organisations and to apply such concepts to analyse and solve problems in business situations. The subject also provides students with knowledge and skills in leadership, teamwork, and decision making. In addition, it prepares students on how to analyse and resolve ethical issues in various business settings. |
| Subject Learning | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: |
| Outcomes | a. explain the nature of managerial work in a variety of forms of organisations, and analyse the impact of the external environments, both domestic and global, on managers' jobs; |
| | b. explain and analyze the functions of management in organisations, i.e. planning, organising, leading, and controlling; (BBA Outcome 9) |
| | c. apply the essence of human behavior in teamwork, leadership, and decision making and evaluate the implications for the management of organisations; (BBA Outcome 9) |
| | d. analyse and compare the arguments surrounding social responsibility and ethical behavior in organisations and businesses. (BBA Outcome 4) |
| Subject Synopsis/ | Management Functions |
| Indicative Syllabus | The major elements of the management functions: planning, organising, leading, and controlling, and their importance for the effective management of business organisations. |
| | Planning |
| | Foundations of planning. Decision making and problem solving. Global business environment. Strategic management. |
| | Organising an Enterprise |
| | Review of a variety of organisational structures and the identification of the conditions under which they are appropriate. Managerial communication and information technology. Staffing and human resource management. |
| | Leading |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The manager's role as a leader. Foundations of human behaviour. Leading and motivating employees – individuals and groups. Controlling Foundations of control. Operations and quality management. Controlling for organisational performance. Social Responsibility and Managerial Ethics Arguments for and against social responsibility as a business objective. Factors affecting managerial ethics. Approaches to improving ethical behaviour. The two-hour weekly lecture will be structured to guide and promote students' understanding of relevant management and organisation concepts. In addition, there will be one tutorial of one hour per week. The tutorials will adopt a student of centred approach, including case study, in-class exercises, newspaper and professional articles for discussion and team-presentation. | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | veighting Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | |
| Outcomes | | | а | b | c | d | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 50% | | | | | |
| | 1. Individual Work | 20% | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | 2. Group Project | 15% | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | |
| | 3. Participation | 15% | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | Examination | 50% | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | |
| | *Weighting of assessment methods/tasks in continuous assessment may be different, subject to each subject lecturer. To pass this subject, students are required to obtain Grade D or above in BOTH the Continuous Assessment and Examination components. Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: the various methods are designed to ensure that all students taking this subject – | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • Read the key ch journals in subject | napters of the rec t outline; | ommende | d textboo | oks and i | ndicative | |
| | • Demonstrate the presented in the le | basic understanding ectures; | g of mana | agement f | unctions v | which are | |
| | • Analyse business settings; | s situations and | problems | in conte | emporary | business | |
| | • Identity teamwork environment; | k, leadership and de | ecision ma | aking proc | cess in the | business | |
| | • Discuss the ethica | al issues arising from | m the case | es and oth | er questio | ns; | |

| | Participate in in-class exercises, case study, professional articles or discussion question to be presented in the lectures. Feedback is given to students immediately following the presentations and all students are invited to join this discussion. | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | 26 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Tutorials | 13 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | |
| | Preparation for lectures/ seminars | 39 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Preparation for individual work/ group project/ examination | 39 Hrs. | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | 117Hrs. | | | | |
| Reading List and References | <u>Recommended Textbooks</u> | | | | | |
| | Stephen P. Robbins & Mary Coulter, <i>Management</i>, Prer 2017. Jones & George, <i>Essentials of Contemporary Managemen</i> Hill, 2009. Daft, R.L., <i>New Era of Management</i>, 9th edition; Slearning, 2009. Bateman & Snell, <i>Management – Leading & Collabora World</i>, 8th edition, McGraw-Hill, 2009. <u>Reference Textbooks</u> Hill & McShane, <i>Principles of Management</i>, 1st edition, McGraw H DuBrin, <i>Essentials of Management</i>, 3rd edition, McGraw H DuBrin, <i>Essentials of Management</i>, 8th edition. South-W Daft & Marcic, <i>Understanding Management</i>, 6th Publishing, 2009. Kreitner, <i>Management</i>, 11th edition, South-Western Publi Lussier, <i>Management</i>, 11th edition, South-Western Publi Lussier, <i>Management Fundamentals</i>, 4th edition, South-Western Publi | ent, 3 rd edition; McGraw South-Western Cengage ating in the Competitive McGraw Hill, 2008. Hill, 2008. estern Publishing, 2009. edition, South-Western ashing, 2009. uth-Western Publishing, | | | | |
| | Indicative Periodicals & Newspapers Readings | | | | | |
| | Company Annual Reports | | | | | |
| | The Asian Wall Street Journal | | | | | |

8-172

| The Economist |
|------------------------------------|
| South China Morning Post |
| Business Week |
| |
| Indicative Journal Readings |
| Academy of Management Journal |
| Academy of Management Review |
| Asia Pacific Journal of Management |
| Journal of Management |
| Journal of Organizational Behavior |
| Human Relations |

| Subject Code | MM2711 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Subject Title | Introduction to Marketing | | | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | | | |
| Level | 2 | | | | |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester | | | | |
| Pre-requisite / Co- requisite/Exclusion | Exclusion : Marketing and the Consumer (MM2791) or Introduction to Marketing (MM2B05) or equivalent | | | | |
| Role and Purposes | This core subject introduces the basic principles and concepts of Marketing. It provides an analytical foundation for further study of Marketing and also contributes to the BBA Programme Outcomes in two ways. First, the content directly addresses the <u>creation of value (Outcome 8)</u> , ethics (Outcome 4), <u>cultural diversity and globalization (Outcome 2)</u> . Second, the classroom activities and assessments develop students' teamwork, ability to communicate in English, <u>analyse business situations by applying relevant conceptual frameworks (Outcomes 10</u>) and <u>creative thinking (Outcome 3</u>). | | | | |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. Analyse diverse marketing situations and identify marketing opportunities and threats (BBA Outcome 2); b. Apply marketing theories and models to practical marketing situation (BBA Outcome 3); c. Evaluate ethical issues from a marketing perspective and sugget appropriate actions (BBA Outcome 4); d. Analyse and/or suggest ways to create value in goods and services and deliver these to customers (BBA Outcome 8); e. Critically select and manage information, develop and present cohere arguments on marketing issues. | | | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Overview of Marketing What is marketing and why is it important? The marketing process Developing Marketing Strategies and a Marketing Plan The marketing plan and strategic planning tools Marketing and Society Marketing's impact on individual consumers, society and other businesses Marketing ethics and corporate social responsibility UNDERSTANDING THE MARKET Analyzing the Marketing Environment The company's macro- and micro- environment | | | | |

| | Consumer Behaviour |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | The consumer decision making process |
| | The consumer decision making process |
| | Types of buying decision behaviour |
| | Factors affecting consumer behaviour: cultural, social, personal, psychological |
| | Business Buying Behaviour |
| | Business to business markets |
| | Business buyer behaviour |
| | Factors affecting the buying process: buying centre, buying situations |
| | Role of the internet in business-to-business marketing |
| | Marketing Research and Information Systems |
| | The marketing research process |
| | Marketing information systems |
| | VALUE CREATION |
| | Market Segmentation, Targeting and Positioning |
| | Benefits of segmentation |
| | Segmentation bases |
| | The segmentation process |
| | The positioning process and repositioning |
| | Product and Services |
| | Product Lifecycle |
| | Branding |
| | Characteristics of services and their implications for marketing |
| | Price |
| | Considerations affecting pricing decisions |
| | Major pricing strategies |
| | New product pricing: skimming and penetration pricing |
| | Price adjustment strategies |
| | |
| | Distribution |
| | Nature and importance of marketing channels |
| | Channel design decisions: channel structure, distribution intensity |
| | Channel management |
| | Promotion |
| | The communication process |
| | AIDA model |
| | Importance of integrated marketing communications |
| | Designing the promotion mix |
| | Setting the promotion budget |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The two-hour weekly lecture aims to guide and promote students' understanding of relevant concepts. The weekly one-hour tutorial activities include discussions on case studies, contemporary marketing topics and journal articles. Students will also work in groups to prepare and make presentations, and to critique the work presented by others. Emphasis is placed throughout on the application of theory to the solution of practical and realistic marketing |

| | problems in the local and global setting. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|---|---|--------|---|--------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended Subject Learning Outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | |
| | | | а | b | c | d | e | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 50% | | | | | | |
| | 1. Individual essay | 15% | | | ~ | | ~ | |
| | 2. Group project(s) and presentation | 25% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 3. Individual contribution to class discussions | 10% | | | | | ~ | |
| | Examination | 50% | ✓ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | |
| | *Weighting of assessment methods/tasks in continuous assessment may be different, subject to each subject lecturer. | | | | | | | |
| | To pass this subject, students are required to obtain Grade D or above in <u>BOTH</u> the Continuous Assessment and Examination components. | | | | | | | |
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: the above methods are designed to ensure that all students - Read the recommended material; | | | | | | | |
| | • Discuss the issues brought up in the lectures/seminars; | | | | | | | |
| | • Appreciate the different approaches that may be adopted in solving marketing problems and | | | | | | | |
| | • Participate in presenting the group's views on a case/marketing situation. | | | | | | | |
| | Feedback is given to students immediately following the presentations. All students are also invited to join the discussion. | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort | Class contact: | | | | | | | |
| Required | Lectures | | 26Hrs. | | | | | |
| | Seminars | | | | | 3 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | |
| | Preparation for tuto presentation | orials and | | | | | 2 | 6 Hrs. |

| | Reading and essay writing | 21 Hrs. | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | • Self study in preparation for exam | 40 Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | 126 Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | Recommended Textbook Kotler, P., Armstrong, G., Ang, S.H., Leong, S.M., Principles of Marketing: An Asian Perspective, Education South Asia. References Kerin, R. A., Hartley, S. W., Rudelius, W. and Lau, 2nd edition, Singapore, McGraw-Hill. Grewal, D. and Levy, M. (2012) Marketing, 3rd Edit Various newspapers, magazines, journal articles and second s | 4th Edition, Singapore, Pearson G.T. (2013), <i>Marketing in Asia</i> , ion, New York, McGraw-Hill. | | |

| | MM3111 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | MM3111 | | | | | |
| Subject Title H | Human Resource Management | | | | | |
| Credit Value 3 | 3 | | | | | |
| Level 3 | 3 | | | | | |
| Normal Duration 1- | -semester | | | | | |
| Pre-requisite/ Co- Pr requisite/ Exclusion | re-requisite: Management and Organisation (MM2021) or equivalent | | | | | |
| O m pi th m cc re cc | This subject contributes to the achievement of the BBA (Hons) Programme Dutcomes by enabling students with an understanding of human resource management within organizations and to apply such concepts to analyze and solve problems in business situations (BBA Outcome 9). The role and purpose focus on he key theories and practices of HRM and provides the students with skills in managing human resources effectively (BBA Outcome 3). At the end of the course, students should understand how HRM functions, for examples, recruitment and selection, training and development, performance management, compensation and industry relations, are organized and managed with the relevant embedded ethical issues (BBA Outcome 4). | | | | | |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. explain the basic knowledge of HRM functions in organizations (BBA Outcome 9); b. demonstrate an understanding of the skills involved in the management of human resources and appreciate typical everyday problems confronting human resource managers (BBA Outcome 3); c. understand the operational aspects of HRM including recruitment and selection, training and development, payment systems and performance management and industrial relations (BBA Outcome 9); d. to analyse, apply and reflect on HRM functions and relevant activities in relation to ethics in the work place (BBA Outcome 4); e. enhance their critical thinking, theorising and synthesising abilities and apply them to problem-solving in the field of managing people and | | | | | |

| Subject Synopsis/ | Human Resources Plann | ing | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|---------|---|-------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Indicative Syllabus | The processes of HR plann The contribution of human | - | • | | - | te goals | s and st | trategies. | |
| | Recruitment and Selection | n | | | | | | | |
| | Compare and contrast alternative approaches and techniques of recruitment, selection and assessment. The importance of ethics and equal opportunities in recruitment and selection. | | | | | | | | |
| | Employee Development a | and Perform | ance A | pprais | sal | | | | |
| | • | nanagement. | The pu | irposes | zations' investment in training, es of alternative approaches to | | | | |
| | Pay and Benefits | | | | | | | | |
| | The significance of reward it can be implemented. T context on HRM compense practices. | The impact of | of socia | al, eco | nomic, | politic | al and | cultural | |
| | Employee Relations | | | | | | | | |
| | The nature and implication the role of trade union, how | | | - | | | | - | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | The three-hour weekly lecture aims to impart HRM functions and practices. The key to understanding HRM lies within its social, economic, political and cultural context and the lectures will aim to make links between context, activities and theory. Through the lectures, students will learn how to apply, critically review and synthesis the relevant theories and concepts via case studies, group discussions, group presentations, exercises and simulation games. Students will be assessed by means of project presentation, essay writing and examination. | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | | | | arning tick as | | | |
| Outcomes | | | a | b | с | d | e | | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 50% | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Individual Assignment | 20% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | |
| | 2. Group Presentation | 20% | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| | 3. Class Participation10% \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| | Examination | 50% | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| | Total | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | different, subject to each subject subject to each subject to each subject sub | *Weighting of assessment methods/tasks in continuous assessment may different, subject to each subject lecturer. To pass this subject, students are required to obtain Grade D or above in BOT | | | | | | · | |

| | the Continuous Assessment and Examination compo | onents. | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes: the various methods are designed to ensure that all students taking this subject – | | | |
| | 1. To engage in a case-study group project to apply theories to practice. | | | |
| | To write an individual assignment that explores a certain topic/area of HRM in greater depth. | | | |
| | 3. To take a closed-book exam to demonstrate conceptual and analytical skills by presenting arguments for and/or against certain topics based on theories, and if and when appropriate, taking circumstantial practicalities into consideration. | | | |
| | Feedback is given to students immediately follow students are invited to join this discussion. | ving the presentations and all | | |
| | | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | | |
| Effort Expected | Lecture | 26 Hrs. | | |
| | Seminars | 13 Hrs. | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | |
| | Preparation for discussion | 39Hrs. | | |
| | Preparation for project/ assignments | 39Hrs. | | |
| | Total student study effort | 117Hrs. | | |
| Reading List and References | Recommended Textbooks Dessler, G. (2015), <i>Human Resource Management</i> , 14 th ed, Prentice Hall. | | | |
| | Useful References | | | |
| | Bannister, Chan and Mak (2002), <i>Managing Human Resources in Hong Kong</i> , 3 rd ed., Sweet & Maxwell Asia. | | | |
| | Gomez-Mejia, Balkin & Cardy (2007), <i>Managing Human Resources</i> , 5 th ed., Prentice Hall. | | | |
| | Ivancevich, (2010), <i>Human Resource Management</i> , 11 th ed., McGraw-Hill Irwin. | | | |
| | Noe, Hollenbeck, Gerhart, Wright, (2007), <i>Human Resource Management:</i> <i>Gaining a competitive Advantage</i> , 5 th ed., Irwin McGraw-Hill. | | | |
| | Redman, T. & Wilkinson, A. (2009), <i>Conte</i> <i>Management: Text and Cases</i> , 3rd ^d ed, Prentice Ha | | | |

| Mondy (2010), Human Resources Mana | gement, 11 th ed., Prentice Hall. |
|---|---|
| Snell, S., & Bohlander, G. (2009). <i>Prince</i> 15 th ed., Thomson South-Western. | iples of Human Resource management. |
| Francesco, A. M. & Gold, B. A. (2005), (7 th ed.), Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson | 0 |
| Hong Kong Labor Department: http://ww | w.info.gov.hk/labour/content.htm |
| Hong Kong Labor Legislation: <u>http://www</u> | w.info.gov.hk/labour/legislat/index.htm |
| Equal Opportunity Commission: <u>www.eo</u> | oc.org.hk |
| Occupation Safety and Health Council wy | ww.oshc.org.hk |
| Hong Kong Institute of Human Resources | s Management (IHRM) <u>www.hkihrm.org</u> |
| Indicative Readings | |
| Academic associations | |
| Academy of Management (website: http:// | //aom.org/) |
| Society of Industrial/Organizational Psycl | hology (<i>website</i> : <u>http://www.siop.org/</u>) |
| Society for Human Resource Managemen | nt (<i>website</i> : <u>https://www.shrm.org/</u>) |
| Academia Journals | |
| Academy of Management Journal | Journal of Management |
| Academy of Management Review | Journal of Organizational Behavior |
| Administrative Science Quarterly | Organizational dynamics |
| Journal of Applied Psychology | Human Resource Management |
| Personnel Psychology | Journal of Vocational Behavior |
| Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Process | Human Relations |
| Human Resource Management Review | Journal of Business Research |
| Practitioner Journals | |
| Harvard Business Review | Academy of Management Executive |
| California Management Review | MIT Sloan Management Review |
| HR Magazine | Training |
| Organization Dynamics | Training and Development |
| Workforce Management | Training and Development Journal |
| Human Resource Executive | Public Personnel Management |
| Workforce | Business Horizons |
| Personnel Journal | Compensation and Benefits |

| | Review |
|---------------------|---------|
| Wall Street Journal | Forbes |
| Business Week | Fortune |

| Subject Code | MM4311 | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Subject Title | Strategic Management | | |
| Credit Value | 3 | | |
| Level | 4 | | |
| Normal Duration | 1-semester | | |
| Pre-requisite/ Co- requisite/ Exclusion | Pre-requisite: Management and Organisation (MM2021) or equivalent | | |
| Role and Purposes | This subject contributes to the achievement of the five (5) of the degree program's BBA (Hons) Outcomes. In so doing, this subject provides students with an understanding of the concepts of strategy, strategizing and strategic management, and enabling them to apply tools, concepts and frameworks to help an organization define / redefine its sustained competitive advantage. This subject will also enable students to develop global outlook, the analysis of the business environments, critical thinking, analytical skills, and ethical awareness, inter alia. | | |
| Subject Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. demonstrate a global outlook and understand cultural diversity, globalization and their implications for business when dealing with strategic management issues of an organization (BBA Outcome 2); b. identify and respond appropriately to ethical issues as they arise generally and in the business setting pertaining to managerial and organizational decision making and their impact to firm performance (BBA Outcome 4); c. analyze business situations and problems by applying conceptual frameworks drawn from different disciplines when determining the sustained competitive advantage of an organization; d. identify and analyze the means by which value is created in goods and services and delivery to users when making strategic recommendations based on rigorous and systematic research of an organization's strategic positioning (BBA Outcome 8); e. evaluate the processes and structures through which organizations plan, decide, motivate and control their activities and their impact on strategy implementation | | |
| | (BBA Outcome 9); f. through external analysis, identify and analyze those aspects of the domestic and global business environment that set the 'parameters of choice' within which business organizations set objectives and take actions (BBA Outcome 10). | | |
| Subject Synopsis/ | Understanding Strategic Management | | |
| Indicative Syllabus | Strategic management process; mission and vision statements; corporate governance. | | |
| | Environmental Analysis and Diagnosis | | |
| | Environmental scanning; general environment; techniques for environmental analysis; industry and competitive analysis; competitive and co-operative dimensions; synthesis of external strategic factors. | | |

| | Internal Scanning and Analysis | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|--|
| | Internal scanning and analysis of the competitive value of resources; value chain analysis; synthesis of internal strategic factors; assessment of assets, capabilities and competencies. | | | | | | | | |
| | Strategy Formulation | | | | | | | | |
| | Situational analysis: SWOT; formulating organizational strategies; corporate str business strategy; international strategy; strategic choice. | | | | te stra | ategy; | | | |
| | Strategy Implementation | | | | | | | | |
| | Implementation process; strategic lead organization culture; creating an ethication culture is a strategic lead or the strategic lead of the strategic lea | | | nge; o | organiz | zationa | al stru | cture; | |
| | Strategic Evaluation and Control | | | | | | | | |
| | Evaluation and control in strategic measuring performance; balanced score | | | ategic | contr | rol: p | robler | ns in | |
| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Lectures cover core principles and concepts of the subject syllabus. Seminars are structured to enhance students' understanding of relevant concepts through various kinds of activities, including case studies, presentation and discussion. Students are expected to play an active role in seminars, and are required to present real-life cases and apply subject knowledge to the discussion. Emphasis is also placed on bringing research findings into classroom discussions and in what way they can help managers make better decisions about strategy, strategizing and strategic management. | | | kinds bected apply search | | | | | |
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % | | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | |
| Outcomes | | weighting | a | b | c | d | e | f | |
| | Continuous Assessment | 100 % | | | | | | | |
| | GROUP: | 40% | | | | | | | |
| | Major Group Project (+ on Ethics) | 30% | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| | Group (Individual) Peer Evaluation | 10% | | | | | ~ | | |
| | INDIVIDUAL: | 60% | | | | | | | |
| | Individual test | 10% | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | Individual Write-up Assignment | 20% | ~ | | | | | | |
| | Class Participation | 30% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | |
| | Total | 100 % | | | | | | | |
| | *Weighting of assessment methods/ta subject to each subject lecturer. To pass this subject, students are required. Assessment components. | | | | | - | | | |

| | Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing intended learning outcomes: the various methods are designed to ensure that students taking this subject – | | |
|---|---|----------|--|
| | • Consider and analyse the issues and concepts which are presented in the seminars; | | |
| • Read relevant chapters of the recommended textbook and othe learning material including research journal articles, cases, r reports, industry reports, BBC programs, etc; | | | |
| | Appreciate that there are alternative approaches, perspectives and theories to deal with the strategic issues; Undertake critical reflective thinking and practice about new ways of thinking and new ways of doing for a company's sustained competitive advantage. Feedback is given to students immediately after they have presented their view and all students are invited to join this discussion. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Student Study | Class contact: | | |
| Effort Expected | Lectures | | |
| | Seminars | 13 Hrs. | |
| | | | |
| | Preparation for discussion | 39 Hrs. | |
| | Preparation for project/assignment/tests | 39 Hrs. | |
| | Total student study effort | 117 Hrs. | |

| Reading List and References | Required Textbook (subject teachers will decide on one of the followings):Chan, K. F., & Lau, T. (2004). Case-Based Learning in Strategic ManagemMcGraw- Hill. | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Dess, G., Lumpkin, G. T., Eisner, A. & McNamara, G. (2013). Strategic Management:TextandCases(7thEdition).McGraw-Hill. | | |
| | Grant, R. M., Butler, B., Orr, S. & Murray, P. (2014), <i>Contemporary Strategic Management: An Australasia Perspective</i> (2 nd Edition). John Wiley & Sons. | | |
| | Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2014). <i>Strategic Management: Concepts: Competitiveness and Globalization</i> (11 th Edition). Cengage Learning. | | |
| | Johnson G., Whittington, R., Scholes, K., Angwin, D., & Regner, P. (2017). <i>Exploring Strategy (text ONLY)</i> 11th Edition FT. Prentice Hall. | | |
| | Recommended Periodicals and Newspapers | | |
| | The Asian Wall Street Journal, Financial Times, China Daily, Business Week, Fortune, South China Morning Post, The Economist, Hong Kong Economic Times | | |
| | Recommended Academic Journals | | |
| | Harvard Business Review, Strategic Management Journal, Academy of Management Journal, Academy of Management Review, Organization Science, Journal of Management Studies, Journal of Management, Academy of Management Executive / Perspectives | | |

SECTION 9 – INDUSTRIAL CENTRE TRAINING MODULES

The IC Training modules for the programme are listed below. Note that this list is not exhaustive and other modules may be developed to replace or supplement those listed. Such alterations are on-going and will be made in conjunction with the Departmental Undergraduate Programme Committee's assessment of current needs in conjunction with the Industrial Centre.

TABLE 9 - INDEX

| Code | Module | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| IC2126 | ERP Advanced | 9-2 |
| IC2129 | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis | 9-4 |
| IC3103 | Integrated Project | 9-6 |

| Subject Code | IC2126 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | ERP Advanced |
| Credit Value | 1 Training Credit |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject aims at providing students with hands-on practice on the operation of contemporary enterprise resource planning in the whole business environment. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a) Acquire a certain level of understanding and practical skill in using ERP software in assisting their future study and professional (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1</i>). Category A b) Describe business process common to most businesses (order processing, inventory management, procurement, etc) (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1</i>). Category A |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | <u>Integrate Business Processes</u> Sales order process, master schedule, procurement, invoicing the customer, payment, financial accounting, production management, inventory management |
| Learning Methodology | Both tutorial and hands-on exercises will be used to deliver various topics. Some of them covered in a problem-based learning approach where enhances intended learning outcomes, and the remaining hands-on practice for real-life applications. |

| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Assessment Methods | Weighting (%) | | Learning es Assessed |
|--|--|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Outcomes | | (70) | a | b |
| | 1. Assignment | 60 | \checkmark | ~ |
| | 2. Test | 40 | \checkmark | ~ |
| | Total | 100 | | - |
| Student Study | Assignment: To reflect and apply the knowledge periodically throughout the training. Test: To reflect the understanding of the concepts throughout the training. Class Contact | | | |
| Effort Required | Workshop practice | 30 Hrs. | | |
| | Other Study Effort | | | 0 Hr. |
| | Total Study Effort | | | 30 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | 1. Shtub, A., Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP): The Dynamics of Operations Management, Boston, Mass: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999. | | | |
| | 2. Joseph A Brady, Ellen F Monk, Bret Wagner, "Concepts in Enterprise Resource Planning", Thompson Course Technology, USA, 2001. | | | |

| Subject Code | IC2129 |
|--|--|
| Subject Title | Computing Tools in Resources Planning & Analysis |
| Credit Value | 2 Training Credits |
| Level | 2 |
| Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil |
| Objectives | This subject offers wide coverage on the application of software in areas encompass computer-aided statistical analysis and enterprise resource planning that aims at providing the necessary fundamental knowledge and computer skills to students. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a) Acquire a certain level of understanding and practical skill in using specific software in assisting their future study and professional (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-5</i>). Category A. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | Students are required to take 2 modules: - 1) <u>TM3015 - Basic Computer-aided Statistical Analysis</u> Introduction to SPSS, data collection, questionnaire design, variables and reverse coding, descriptive statistics; non-normality handling, grouping, randomisation and transformation; bivariate statistics, confidence intervals and effect size; factor analysis, reliability analysis with measured and latent variables; simple and multiple linear regression, goodness-of-fit and multicollinearity; One-way and two-way ANOVA, F-ratio and planned comparison; visualising and reporting statistics with histograms, box-plots, line charts, scatter-plots. 2) <u>TM3016 - Fundamentals of Enterprise Resource Planning</u> Overview of ERP system, major components and its functions; operation principle of manufacturing resources planning, BOM, master production schedule, work orders; inventory management and planning, stock movement and re-ordering. |

| Learning Methodology | Please refer to the individual Module Descriptions of TM3015 and TM3016 for details. | |
|--|--|---------|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | Please refer to the individual Module Descriptions of TM3015 and TM3016 for details. | |
| Student Study | Class Contact | |
| Effort Required | Computer Training | 60 Hrs. |
| | Total Study Effort | 60 Hrs. |
| Reading List and References | Please refer to the individual Module Descriptions of TM3015 and TM3016 for details. | |

| Subject Code | IC3103 | |
|--|---|--|
| Subject Title | Integrated Project | |
| Credit Value | 3 Training Credits | |
| Level | 3 | |
| Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion | Nil | |
| Objectives | This subject aims to provide students hands-on engineering-business project experience. In real industrial situations, all projects are related to both engineering and business; such as design feasibility and market opportunity. Engineers are expected having the skills of working in interdisciplinary teams on multidisciplinary projects. In this subject, students have to work in a team with members from other disciplines on an engineering-business project which students may practice and integrate their learned theories and knowledge from academic subjects in their programmes. | |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a) Describe the benefits from experience in working within an interdisciplinary team on a multidisciplinary project of both engineering and business. (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-4</i>). Category A b) Formulate solutions for different stages of a multidisciplinary project such as project planning, market research, design & packaging, CAD & prototyping, technology investigation, inventory & distribution management, and business proposal & presentation. (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-4</i>). Category A c) Integrate knowledge developed over the course of their field of study to achieve the objectives of the project by producing the deliverables (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-4</i>). Category A d) Manifest their work effectiveness in multidisciplinary and multilateral teams, and demonstrate tolerance and awareness of other viewpoints (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-4</i>). Category B e) Collaboratively execute an application oriented project through group work and discussions and inspires oneself to learn continuously about current industrial technologies (<i>Objective 1 and Syllabus Item 1-4</i>). Category B. | |

| [| Г |
|--|--|
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | The extent of the project will depend on the nature of the project that students work on, not all listed activities are likely to be undertaken for all projects. |
| | 1. Project Planning |
| | Scheduling of Market Research, Design, Prototype, Technology Audit, Inventory and Distribution Management, and Business Proposal. Allocation of resources of Manpower, Machines, and Money. |
| | 2. <u>Market Research</u> |
| | Start with collecting information in market in the view of the given project theme. Then analyze the potential market, estimate the market opportunity, and identify the market niche, |
| | 3. <u>Design Activity</u> |
| | Iterative design processes to evaluate & make concept decisions for the theme product and also packaging; document and communicate the concept information to designer, engineers, and marketing people. |
| | 4. <u>Prototype Development</u> |
| | Build a prototype with the facilities in the centre such as CAD, RP, or CNC; to evaluate, demonstrate, and present the design concepts as well as functionality. |
| | 5. <u>Technology Investigation</u> |
| | Investigate the existing technologies and equipment in the centre. Evaluate the cost and performance of different manufacturing processes. Study the feasibility of manufacturing of the product. |
| | 6. Inventory & Distribution Management |
| | Estimate the production volume and the inventory control level, or if necessary as well as the warehouse management. Propose the wholesale and retail distribution channels. |
| | 7. Business Proposal and Presentation |
| | Present a business proposal with consolidating the findings from Market Research to Distribution Management. Summarize the pricing strategy, cost, resources, volume, time and prediction of the profit. |

| Learning Methodology | All projects assigned will be of 'real' work basis proposed by supervisors. Typical projects are product for a specific application, material handling systems, testing jig and fixtureetc. These projects are always having a real problem of serious interest to the clients which requires students to meet the expected demand. | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|--------------|---|--------------------|---|---|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning | Assessment | Weighting | | | ded Lea omes As | 0 | |
| Outcomes | Methods | (%) | a | b | c | d | e |
| | 1. In-class Assignment | 30 | ✓ | ~ | ~ | | ~ |
| | 2. Project Performance | 30 | ✓ | ~ | ~ | ~ | |
| | 3. Oral Presentation | 20 | \checkmark | ~ | | ~ | |
| | 4. Written Report | 20 | | | ~ | ~ | ✓ |
| | Total 100 | | | | | | |
| | The In-class assignment is aimed at assessing student's individual performance and practical ability in the project works. The Project Performance is a group assessment on the deliverables in different stages during the project. Oral Presentation allows students presenting their project clearly and logically including the project objectives, approaches, and deliverables. It consists both "group" and "individual" works to reflect the overall group performance and individual student's contribution. Written Report is to facilitate students to sum up the project holistically. The assessment will focus on the discussion and reflection. It consists both "group" and "individual" works to reflect the overall group performance and | | | | | | |

| Student Study | Class Contact | | |
|---|--|---------|--|
| Effort Required | Practical appreciation and Group Project | 90 Hrs. | |
| | Total Study Effort | 90 Hrs. | |
| Reading List and Reading materials published by the Industrial Centre on | | on | |
| References | 1. Rapid Prototyping | | |
| | 2. Computer Aided Manufacturing | | |
| | 3. Plastics Processing | | |
| | 4. Surface Finishing | | |

GENERAL UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR NORMAL STUDENTS

General University Requirements (GUR)

| (a) | Language and Communication Requirements | 9 credits |
|-----|---|---------------------------|
| (b) | Freshman Seminar | 3 credits |
| (c) | Leadership and Intra-Personal Development | 3 credits |
| (d) | Service-Learning | 3 credits |
| (e) | Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) | 12 credits |
| (f) | China Studies Requirement | (3 of the 12 CAR credits) |
| (g) | Healthy Lifestyle | Non-credit bearing |
| | | Total = 30 credits |

(a) Language and Communication Requirements (LCR)

<u>English</u>

All undergraduate students must successfully complete <u>two</u> 3-credit English language subjects as stipulated by the University, according to their English language proficiency level (**Table A**). These subjects are designed to suit students' different levels of English language proficiency at entry, as determined by their HKDSE score or the English Language Centre (ELC) entry assessment (when no HKDSE score is available, e.g. in the case of non-local students).

Students entering the University with specified attainment grades in certain public examinations can be given credit transfer or exemption for one or both LCR English subjects, as listed in **Table C**.

| Table A: | English LCR st | ubjects (each 3 credits) |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|

| English language competence level/ Subject | Practical English for University Studies (ELC1011) | English for University Studies (ELC1012/1013) | Any LCR Proficient level elective subject in English (Table B) |
|--|--|---|---|
| HKDSE Level 4 and above or equivalent | | Subject 1 | Subject 2 |
| HKDSE Level 3 or equivalent | Subject 1 | Subject 2 | |

Table B: Proficient level elective subjects for DSE Level 4 students and above (or equivalent)(each 3 credits)

| | Advanced English for University Studies (ELC2014) |
|----------------------|---|
| LCR Proficient level | Advanced English Reading and Writing Skills (ELC2011) |
| elective subjects | English in Literature and Film (ELC2013) |
| | Persuasive Communication (ELC2012) |

| Examination | Result | Subject 1 | Subject 2 | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| HKDSE – English Language | Level 5* and 5** | | | |
| GCEOL/GCSE/IGCSE – English | Grade A | English for University Studies | Exemption | |
| HKALE – Use of English | Grade A and B | | Credit transfer | |
| GCE(AL/ASL) – English Language | Grade A and B | | | |
| | English A (HL): 4 or above English A (SL): 6 or above English B (HL): 5 or above | | | |
| IB English A (HL): 3 or below English A (SL): 5 or below English B (HL): 4 or below English B (SL): any level | | Credit transfer | Any LCR proficient level elective subject in English (Table B above) | |
| IELTS | Score 7.0 or above, with no sub-test score below 6.5 | English for | | |
| TOEFL Paper-based | 600 or above | University Studies | Exemption | |
| TOEFL Internet-based | 100 or above | | | |

Table C: Credit transfer/ exemption for English LCR subjects

Chinese

All undergraduate students are required to successfully complete <u>one</u> 3-credit Chinese language subject as stipulated by the University, according to their Chinese language proficiency level (**Table D**).

Table D: Chinese LCR subjects

| Categories of students | Required subject |
|--|---|
| For Chinese speaking students | University Chinese (CBS1104C/P) 3 credits |
| For non-Chinese speakers or students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below | One subject from Table E below |

 Table E:
 Chinese LCR subjects for non-Chinese speakers or students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below

| Subject (3 credits) | Pre-requisite/exclusion |
|--|--|
| Chinese I (for non- Chinese speaking students) CBS1151 | For non-Chinese speaking students at beginners' level |
| Chinese II (for non- Chinese speaking students) CBS1152 | For non-Chinese speaking students; and Students who have completed Chinese I or equivalent |
| Chinese III (for non- Chinese speaking students) CBS2151 | For non-Chinese speaking students at higher competence levels; and Students who have completed Chinese II or equivalent |
| Chinese IV (for Non- Chinese speaking students) CBS2154 | For non-Chinese students at intermediate competence levels; and Students who have completed Chinese III or equivalent |
| Chinese Literature – Linguistics and Cultural Perspectives (for non- Chinese speaking students) CBS2152 | For non-Chinese speaking students at higher competence levels |

Students who have obtained verified qualifications or certain results in some public examinations [e.g. HKDSE, HKALE, JEE, GSAT(Taiwan)] should be granted exemption for the Chinese LCR subject.

Writing Requirement

In additional to the LCR in English and Chinese explained above, all students must also, among the Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) subjects they take (see section (e) below), pass <u>one</u> subject that includes the requirement for a substantial piece of writing in English and <u>one</u> subject with the requirement for a substantial piece of writing in Chinese.

Reading Requirement

All students must, among the CAR subjects they take, pass <u>one</u> subject that includes the requirement for the reading of an extensive text in English and <u>one</u> subject with the requirement for the reading of an extensive text in Chinese.

A list of approved CAR subjects for meeting the Writing Requirement (with a "W" designation) and for

meeting the Reading Requirement (with an "R" designation) is shown at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

Non-Chinese speakers and those students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below will by default be exempted from DSR – Chinese and CAR – Chinese Reading and Writing requirements. However, this group of students would still be required to take one Chinese LCR subject to fulfil their Chinese LCR.

Note: In addition to the LCR and Reading and Writing Requirements, students also have to complete 4 credits of discipline-specific language requirements (2 credits in English and 2 credits in Chinese) as specified in the curriculum requirements of their Major.

All students must successfully complete, normally in their first year of study, one 3-credit Freshman Seminar offered by their chosen Broad Discipline. The purpose is to (i) introduce students to their chosen discipline and enthuse them about their Major study, (ii) foster students' creativity, problem-solving ability and global outlook, (iii) give students an exposure to the concepts and an understanding of their discipline-based professional career development with the incorporation of entrepreneurship, and (iv) engage students, in their first year of study, in desirable forms of university learning that are conducive to smooth adjustment to University life, self-regulation, and autonomous learning.

A list of Freshman Seminars offered by the Broad Disciplines can be found at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

(c) Leadership and Intra-Personal Development

All students must successfully complete <u>one</u> 3-credit subject in the area of Leadership and Intra-Personal Development, which is designed to enable students to (1) understand and integrate theories, research and concepts on the qualities (particularly intra-personal and interpersonal qualities) of effective leaders in the Chinese context, (2) develop greater self-awareness and a better understanding of oneself, (3) acquire interpersonal skills essential for functioning as an effective leader, (4) develop self-reflection skills in their learning, and (5) recognise the importance of the active pursuit of knowledge on an intra-personal and interpersonal level and its relationship to leadership qualities.

A list of designated subjects for meeting the leadership and intra-personal development requirement is available at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

(d) Service-Learning

All students must successfully complete <u>one</u> 3-credit subject designated to meet the Service-Learning Requirement, in which they are required to (1) participate in substantial community service or civic engagement activities that will benefit the service users or the community at large in a meaningful way, (2) apply the knowledge and skills acquired from their Major or other learning experiences at the University to the community service activities, and (3) reflect on their service learning experience in order to link theory with practice for the development of a stronger sense of ethical, social and national responsibility.

These subjects may take the form of:

- An open-to-all GUR service-learning subject
- A GUR service-learning subject targeted for a particular student group (e.g. a Broad Discipline, or
- A customised DSR subject (core or elective) within the Major/Minor with all the required features and components to meet the Service-Learning Requirement.

Students who have satisfied the Service-Learning Requirement via a customised DSR subject will be required to take another 3-credit subject to make up for the total credit requirement.

A list of designated subjects for meeting the service-learning requirement is available at: https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm

(e) Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR)

To expand students' intellectual capacity beyond their disciplinary domain and to enable them to tackle professional and global issues from a multidisciplinary perspective, students are required to successfully complete at least <u>one</u> 3-credit subject in <u>each</u> of the following four Cluster Areas:

- Human Nature, Relations and Development
- Community, Organisation and Globalisation
- History, Culture and World Views
- Science, Technology and Environment

A list of CAR subjects under each of the four Cluster Areas is available at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

(f) China Studies Requirement

Of the 12 credits of CAR described in (e) above, students are required to successfully complete a minimum of 3 credits on CAR subjects designated as "China-related". The purpose is to enable students to gain an increased understanding of China (e.g. its history, culture and society, as well as emerging issues or challenges).

A list of approved CAR subjects for meeting the China Studies Requirement is available at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

(g) Healthy Lifestyle

Healthy lifestyle is the platform for all-round development. Students are required to successfully complete a non-credit-bearing programme in healthy lifestyle.

For the 2012/13 to 2014/15 intake cohorts, the programme covers: (i) fitness evaluation, (ii) concepts on health and fitness, (iii) sports skills acquisition, and (iv) exercise practicum. More details can be found at: <u>http://www.polyu.edu.hk/ogur/student/4yr/gur/hls/1214</u>

With effect from the 2015/16 intake cohort, students will be required to complete the following components: (i) sports training/participation, (ii) e-learning modules, and (iii) lectures/talks. The syllabus covers physical health, mental health, social health, spiritual health, values and priorities on health behaviour with reference to competing priorities in life, reflection on healthy living and plans for self-improvement or maintenance of health behaviour. Details of the programme can be found at:

http://www.polyu.edu.hk/ogur/student/4yr/gur/hls/revised

Students on Articulation Degree Programmes and Senior Year Intakes to the 4-year Ug degree programmes are not required to take Healthy Lifestyle Programme. Advanced Standing students are required to take HLS (except for those who are HD/AD holders who follow the Senior Year/Articulation Degree programme GUR curriculum).

GENERAL UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS FOR SENIOR YEAR STUDENTS

General University Requirements (GUR)

| (a) | Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) | 6 credits |
|-----|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (b) | China Studies Requirement | (3 of the 6 CAR credits) |
| (c) | Service-Learning | 3 credits |
| | | Total = 9 credits |

(a) Language and Communication Requirements (LCR)

Those students not meeting the equivalent standard of the Undergraduate Degree LCR (based on their previous studies in AD/HD programme and their academic performance) will be required to take degree LCR subjects on top of the normal curriculum requirement. The Programme offering department will refer to the guidelines provided by the Language Centres (ELC and CBS) to determine whether a new student has met the equivalent standard. Non-Chinese speakers and those students whose Chinese standards are at junior secondary level or below will by default be exempted from the DSR - Chinese and CAR - Chinese Reading and Writing requirements. However, this group of students would still be required to take one Chinese LCR subject to fulfil their Chinese LCR.

Degree LCR subjects include

TWO English language subjects

- Practical English for University Studies (ELC1011) 3 credits
- English for University Studies (ELC1012/1013) 3 credits
- Advanced English for University Studies (ELC2014) 3 credits
- ONE Chinese language subject
- University Chinese (CBS1104C/P) 3 credits

(b) Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR)

Students should not take more than 3 credits (normally 1 subject) from the same cluster area. Students need to fulfill the English and Chinese reading and writing requirements. Students may apply for a waiver if they have fulfilled the English and Chinese reading and writing requirements and/or CSR requirement in their previous studies. The following four Cluster Areas:

- Human Nature, Relations and Development
- Community, Organisation and Globalisation
- History, Culture and World Views
- Science, Technology and Environment

Writing Requirement

In additional to the LCR in English and Chinese explained above, all students must also, among the Cluster Areas Requirement (CAR) subjects they take, pass <u>one</u> subject that includes the requirement for a substantial piece of writing in English and <u>one</u> subject with the requirement for a substantial piece of writing in Chinese.

Reading Requirement

All students must, among the CAR subjects they take, pass <u>one</u> subject that includes the requirement for the reading of an extensive text in English and <u>one</u> subject with the requirement for the reading of an extensive text in Chinese.

A list of CAR subjects under each of the four Cluster Areas is available at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>

(c) China Studies Requirement

Of the 6 credits of CAR described in (b) above, students are required to successfully complete a minimum of 3 credits on CAR subjects designated as "China-related". The purpose is to enable students to gain an increased understanding of China (e.g. its history, culture and society, as well as emerging issues or challenges).

A list of approved CAR subjects for meeting the China Studies Requirement is available at: https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm

(d) Service-Learning

All students must successfully complete <u>one</u> 3-credit subject designated to meet the Service-Learning Requirement, in which they are required to (1) participate in substantial community service or civic engagement activities that will benefit the service users or the community at large in a meaningful way, (2) apply the knowledge and skills acquired from their Major or other learning experiences at the University to the community service activities, and (3) reflect on their service learning experience in order to link theory with practice for the development of a stronger sense of ethical, social and national responsibility.

These subjects may take the form of:

- An open-to-all GUR service-learning subject
- A GUR service-learning subject targeted for a particular student group (e.g. a Broad Discipline, or
- A customised DSR subject (core or elective) within the Major/Minor with all the required features and components to meet the Service-Learning Requirement.

Students who have satisfied the Service-Learning Requirement via a customised DSR subject will be required to take another 3-credit subject to make up for the total credit requirement.

A list of designated subjects for meeting the service-learning requirement is available at: <u>https://www2.polyu.edu.hk/as/Polyu/GUR/index.htm</u>