

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject Code | ENGL4009 |
| Subject Title | Meaning in Professional Interaction |
| Credit Value | 3 |
| Level | 4 |
| Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion | None |
| Objectives | The subject aims to develop students' critical understanding of the form, meaning and use of language and the principles involved in the interpretation of written and spoken texts; their knowledge and skills to analyse and discuss the ways of describing meaning at word, clause and text levels and apply to appropriate frameworks for describing and discussing pragmatic phenomena, especially in professional communication; and their ability to identify and reflect on the contextual influences which affect English language in use. |
| Intended Learning Outcomes | <p>Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:</p> <p>Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Understand and apply the concepts of pragmatics to the critical analysis of the effectiveness and appropriacy of spoken and written English in professional contexts and to then reflect and generalise from the findings; b. critically evaluate and justify the suitability of pragmatic theories and frameworks in analysing, interpreting and discussing professional interaction; c. synthesise and effectively apply pragmatic approaches to the analysis of new (or unseen) professional interaction; <p>Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> d. exercise judgment and develop intellectual curiosity; e. engage with English professional interaction in a more considered and responsible way; and f. employ a range of strategies for learning autonomously and collaboratively. |
| Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Descriptive approaches to meaning 2.Deixis 3.Speech acts and speech events 4.The co-operative principle and relevance theory 5.Conversational implicature 6.Indirectness 7.Politeness phenomena |

| Teaching/ Learning Methodology | In addition to interactive lectures and seminars, additional reading and online learning takes the learner deeper into the concepts, ideas and application of pragmatics in professional interaction. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes | <table border="1" data-bbox="488 427 1394 958"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="488 427 751 640" rowspan="2">Specific assessment methods/tasks</th> <th data-bbox="751 427 919 640" rowspan="2">% weighting</th> <th colspan="6" data-bbox="919 427 1394 568">Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="919 568 995 640">a</th> <th data-bbox="995 568 1072 640">b</th> <th data-bbox="1072 568 1149 640">c</th> <th data-bbox="1149 568 1225 640">d</th> <th data-bbox="1225 568 1302 640">e</th> <th data-bbox="1302 568 1394 640">F</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="488 640 751 712">1. In-class tests</td> <td data-bbox="751 640 919 712">30%</td> <td data-bbox="919 640 995 712">✓</td> <td data-bbox="995 640 1072 712">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1072 640 1149 712">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1149 640 1225 712">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1225 640 1302 712"></td> <td data-bbox="1302 640 1394 712"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="488 712 751 815">2. Oral presentation</td> <td data-bbox="751 712 919 815">30%</td> <td data-bbox="919 712 995 815">✓</td> <td data-bbox="995 712 1072 815">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1072 712 1149 815">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1149 712 1225 815">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1225 712 1302 815">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1302 712 1394 815">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="488 815 751 887">3. Written paper</td> <td data-bbox="751 815 919 887">40%</td> <td data-bbox="919 815 995 887">✓</td> <td data-bbox="995 815 1072 887">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1072 815 1149 887">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1149 815 1225 887">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1225 815 1302 887">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1302 815 1394 887">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="488 887 751 958">Total</td> <td data-bbox="751 887 919 958">100 %</td> <td colspan="6" data-bbox="919 887 1394 958"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="488 981 1394 1308">All assignments are designed to assess students' critical understanding of theoretical concepts and frameworks and the ability to apply these to data samples and, at the same time, to reflect on their own language use. They provide students with tasks that require the evaluation, synthesis and application of knowledge of pragmatics to the critical analysis, interpretation and discussion of spoken and written professional interaction. All of the data studied in the subject are authentic and most are drawn from professional contexts in Hong Kong.</p> | | | | | | | | Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | | a | b | c | d | e | F | 1. In-class tests | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | 2. Oral presentation | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | 3. Written paper | 40% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Total | 100 % | | | | | | |
| Specific assessment methods/tasks | % weighting | Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | a | b | c | d | e | F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. In-class tests | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Oral presentation | 30% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Written paper | 40% | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 100 % | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student Study Effort Expected | Class contact: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ▪ Lectures | | | | | | | 39 Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ▪ Seminars | | | | | | | 0 Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other student study effort: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ▪ Private study | | | | | | | 58 Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ▪ Take-home assignments | | | | | | | 29 Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total student study effort | | | | | | | 126 Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reading List and References | <p data-bbox="488 1850 1394 2045">Recommended reading Brown, P. and Levinson, S. (1987). <i>Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Grundy, P. (2008). <i>Doing Pragmatics</i>. (3rd ed.). London: Edward Arnold.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>Thomas, J. (1995). <i>Meaning in Interaction</i>. London: Longman.</p> <p>Yule, G. (1996). <i>Pragmatics</i>. Oxford: Oxford University Press.</p> <p>Further reading</p> <p>Austin, J. L. (1962). <i>How to Do Things with Words</i>. Oxford: Oxford University Press.</p> <p>Culpeper, J. (2011). <i>Impoliteness</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.</p> <p>Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole, & J. L. Morgan, (Eds.), <i>Syntax and Semantics III: Speech Acts</i>. (pp. 44-58). New York: Academic Press.</p> <p>Kasper, G., & Rose, K.S. (2002). <i>Pragmatic Development in a Second Language</i>. Oxford: Blackwell.</p> <p>Levinson, S. (1983). <i>Pragmatics</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.</p> <p>Mey, J. (2001). <i>Pragmatics: An Introduction</i>. (2nd ed.). Oxford; Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell.</p> <p>Searle, J. R. (1969). <i>Speech Acts</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.</p> <p>Searle, J. R. (1975). Indirect speech acts. In P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (eds.), <i>Syntax and Semantics Vol. 3: Speech Acts</i>. New York: Academic Press, 59-82.</p> <p>Wharton, T. (2009). <i>Pragmatics and Non-verbal Communication</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.</p> |
|--|---|

Revised as of August 2017