Subject Code	ENGL5007		
Subject Title	Multimodality & Semiotics Studies		
Credit Value	3		
Level	5		
Pre- / Co-requisite / Exclusion	None		
Objectives	 The subject intends to introduce the major theoretical tools of multimodal discourse analysis for the MA in English Studies for the Professions (MAESP). This subject aims to Extends students understanding of the important role of non-linguistic resources in various professional contexts in the contemporary society; Enable students to understand the basic working mechanism of visual images and multimodal discourse; Enhance students' competence in using multimodal resources in professional communication (e.g. business, teaching, etc.). 		
Intended Learning Outcomes Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. recognize the important role of visual images in contemporary communication; b. understand the working mechanism of visual images and multimodal discourse from different theoretical approaches c. develop multimodal analytical skills to understand complex discourse practices in professional communication d. use the knowledge to produce materials for professional communication (e.g. business, teaching) more effectively Designed to suit the four specialisms of MAESP, this subject contains the following contents: (1) Theories and approaches to the multimodal analysis as an extension of studies of English language; (2) Analysis of advertising discourse for promotional communication, including promotional language and images		
	in traditional and new media; (3) Analysis of multimodal discourse in the educational context, including textbooks and classroom teaching; (4) Analysis of various visual art forms, such as paintings, comics, and film, as an extension of studies of language art.		

Teaching/ Learning Methodology	The subject will be conducted as interactive lectures. The assignments will involve student-led seminars, a mid-term paper and a final project. Students are expected to analyze a range of multimodal discourse types, such as advertisements, websites, textbooks, and paintings, throughout the course. Each assessment					
	activity requires independent research and analytical skills.					
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific Assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	a	b	С	d
	1.Participation and on class performance	20%	√	✓	1	✓
	2. Mid-term paper	40%	✓	✓	✓	
	3. Final Project	40%	✓	√	√	√
	Total	100%				
	The student-led seminars are designed for students to take up own learning responsibility through researching on example multimodal discourse, and discussing and presenting them with rest of the group members in class. The mid-term paper and project aim to address the understanding of the analytical tools theoretical frameworks introduced in the subject. The materials to interpreted and analyzed in these assessments are authorized multimodal discourse so as to keep in alignment with professional communication practice.					
Student Study Effort Required	Class contact:					
Enort Required	Lecture	39 Hrs.		Irs.		
	Seminar			0 Hrs.		
	Other student study ef	ffort:				
	 Assignments presentations 	& j	presentation		30 H	rs.

presentations

Research & self study

57 Hrs.

Reading List and References Cope, B. & Kalantzis, M. (Eds.). (2000). Multiliteracies: Literacy Learning and the Design of Social Futures. South Yarra, VIC: Macmillan. Guo, S. & Feng, D. (2015). The Visual Construction of Knowledge in English Textbooks from an Ontogenetic Perspective. Linguistics and Education, 31: 115-129.		Total student study effort	126 Hrs.
Forceville, C. (1996). Pictorial metaphors in advertising. London: Routledge. Jewitt, C. & Kress, G. R. (2003). Multimodal Literacy. New York: Peter Lang. Jewitt, C. (Ed.). (2014). The Routledge handbook of multimodal analysis. London: Routledge. Kress, G. & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design. London: Routledge. Mills, K. A. (2011). The Multiliteracies Classroom. Bristol: Multilingual Matters. O'Halloran, K.L. (2004). (Ed.). Multimodal discourse analysis: Systemic-functional perspectives. London and New York: Continuum. O'Toole, M. (2010). The Language of Displayed Art. London: Routledge.	_	Cope, B. & Kalantzis, M. (Eds.). (2000). Multil Learning and the Design of Social Futures. S Macmillan. Guo, S. & Feng, D. (2015). The Visual Construin English Textbooks from an Ontogenetic F Linguistics and Education, 31: 115-129. Forceville, C. (1996). Pictorial metaphors in ad Routledge. Jewitt, C. & Kress, G. R. (2003). Multimodal L Peter Lang. Jewitt, C. (Ed.). (2014). The Routledge handboom analysis. London: Routledge. Kress, G. & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Reading Imform of Visual Design. London: Routledge. Mills, K. A. (2011). The Multiliteracies Classroom Multilingual Matters. O'Halloran, K.L. (2004). (Ed.). Multimodal disconsistency of the Continuum. O'Toole, M. (2010). The Language of Disconsistency of Disc	literacies: Literacy South Yarra, VIC: action of Knowledge Perspective. vertising. London: aiteracy. New York: ok of multimodal ages: The Grammar m. Bristol: ourse analysis: New York:

Revised by William Feng, April 2017