Subject Code	ENGL5006
Subject Title	Oral Language Arts
Credit Value	3
Level	5
Pre- / Co- requisite / Exclusion	N/A
Objectives	This course focuses on developing students' oral English skills through inclass participation, performance, and reflection. Class members will also learn how to ethically use Generative AI to brainstorm and develop speeches in conjunction with taking ownership via careful fact-checking, revision, and referencing. Class members will extensively practice and be assessed on what they have learned in both prepared and impromptu situations as individuals and in teams.
Intended	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:
Learning Outcomes	Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills a. Demonstrate the ability to speak clearly and engage with diverse audiences effectively by using excellent eye contact, posture, gestures, voice projection, intonation, and overall confidence b. Generate AI prompts to brainstorm and develop content for oral performances c. Evaluate AI suggestions critically and take ownership of the final product d. Assess oral performances in an evaluative essay Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness e. display critical and creative thinking f. develop skills and strategies for lifelong learning including autonomous and collaborative learning and computer literacies g. enhance self-understanding and understanding of others
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	This subject will introduce various spoken genres and their language components, and then focus on practicing them so that students gain a satisfactory level of communicative competency. Speech types may include individual poetry readings, group poetry readings, readers' theatre, theatre games, scenarios and simulations, role plays, debates, as well as persuasive, impromptu, informational, explanatory, demonstrative, after-dinner, farewell, explanatory, funeral, motivational speeches, etc.
Teaching/ Learning Methodology	Interactive seminars and lectures, supported by interactive and small group oral exercises exploring and practising relevant techniques.

Assessment Methods in	Specific assessment methods/tasks	% Intended subject learning weighting outcomes to be assessed							
Alignment with Intended			a	b	c	d	e	f	g
Learning Outcomes	1. In class participation and performance	10%	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
	2. Group presentation	30%	✓					✓	✓
	3. Individual presentation	40%	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
	4. Written project	20%		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Total	100%							
	demonstrate active enga 2. The group presentation performance which may or simulations, role play 3. The individual presentation following type: persuademonstrative, after-dim speeches, etc. 4. The written project will experiences and material of GenAI.	engages sty involve gr rs, readers' ion may invasive, impr ner, farewe	udents oup pot theatre tolve comptu ll, exp	e in coetry e, deb ne or i, infalanat	read ate, of mor formatory, egrat	ings, etc. e pre ation fune	groom esent al, ral, a	up so atior expla moti	ns of the anatory, vational
Student Study Effort Required	Class contact:								
	■ Lecture-seminar			39 Hrs.					
	Other student study effort:								
	Assessment preparation	n 45 Hrs.							
	Content preparation			28 Hrs.					
	Total student study effort		112 Hrs.						
Reading List and References	Aravani, E. (2012). The role of teaching poetry in developing literacy in Greek primary school: A case study. <i>Australasian Journal of Early Childhood</i> , <i>37</i> (4), 51-58.								

- Shepard, A. (2017). *Readers on Stage: Resources for Reader's Theater*, Shepard Publications: USA, 2004.
- Blatner, A. (2006). *Interactive and Improvisational Theatre: Varieties of Applied Theatre and Performance*. iUniverse.
- Boal, A. (2002). Games for Actors and Non-Actors. Routledge.
- Carson, L. (2012). The Role of Drama in Task-Based Learning: Agency, Identity and Autonomy. *Scenario: A Journal of Performative Teaching, Learning, Research*, VI(2), 47-60.
- Cialdini, R. B. (2007). *Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion*. (EPub edition.). HarperCollins Publishers.
- Clarke, S. (1995). Ushering In the Tigers of Wrath: Playfulness and Rationality in Learning to Argue. In P. Costello & S. Mitchell (Eds.), *Competing and Consensual Voices: The Theory and Practice of Argument* (pp. 23-34). Multilingual Matters.
- Counsel, C. & Wolf, L. (2001). *Performance Analysis: An Introductory Coursebook*. Routledge.
- Csizer, K., & Dornyei, Z. (2005). Language Learners' Motivational Profiles and Their Motivated Learning Behavior. *Language Learning*, 55(4), 613-659.
- Culpeper, J., Short, M., & Verdonck, P. (1998). Exploring the Language of Drama: From Text to Context. Routledge.
- Davison, J., Daly, C., & Moss, J. (2011). *Debates in English Teaching*. Routledge.
- Delpit, L., & Dowdy, J. K. (2002). The Skin That We Speak: Thoughts on Language and Culture in the Classroom. In *Trilinguialism*. The New Press.
- Eva-Wood, L. A. (2008). Does Feeling Come First? How Poetry Can Help Readers Broaden Their Understanding of Metacognition. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 81(7).
- Flood, J. (Ed.). (2003). Handbook of Research on Teaching the English Language Arts. Psychology Press.
- Furniss, T., & Bath, M. (1996). Reading Poetry: An Introduction. Longman's.
- Furniss, T., & Bath, M. (2007). Figurative Language. In *Reading Poetry: An Introduction* (pp. 145-174). Pearson Longman.

- Gantt, P. M., & Meeks, L. L. (Eds.). (2004). *Teaching Ideas for 7-12 English Language Arts*. Christopher-Gordon.
- Genard, G. (2016). How to Give a Speech. Cedar & Maitland Press.
- Guggenheim, D. E. (2023). *Public Speaking-From Competent to Captivating*. Abyssal Scribe Publications LLC.
- Harvard, G., & Dunn, R. (1995). Argument as a Key Concept in Teacher Education. In P. Costello & S. Mitchell (Eds.), *Competing and Consensual Voices: The Theory and Practice of Argument* (pp. 50-67). Multilingual Matters.
- Heath, S. B. (2001). Protean Shapes In Literacy Events: Ever-Shifting Oral And Literate Traditions. In E. Cushman, E. R. Kintgen, B. M. Kroll, & M. Rose (Eds.), *Literacy: A Critical Sourcebook* (pp. 443-466). Bedford/St. Martin's.
- Hill, S. (1990). Reader's Theatre: Performing the Text. E. Curtain.
- Ladousse, G. P. (1987). Role Play. Oxford University Press.
- Mitchell, S. (1995). Competing and Consensual Voices: Theory and Practice of Argument. Multilingual Matters.
- Neelands, J., & Goode, T. (2000). *Structuring Drama Work*. Cambridge University Press. Online Access:

 http://2012books.lardbucket.org/pdfs/public-speaking-practice-and-ethics.pdf
- Rastelli, R. L. (2006). Drama in Language Learning. *Encuentro*, 16, 82-94.
- Sather, T. (1999). *Pros and Cons: A Debater's Handbook* (18th ed.). Routledge.
- Schneider, J. J., Crumpler, T. P., & Rogers, T. (2006). *Process Drama and Multiple Literacies: Addressing Social, Cultural and Ethical Issues*. Heinneman.
- Shepard, A. (2004). *Readers on Stage: Resources for Reader's Theater*. Shepard Publications.
- Sze, P. M. (2006). Developing Students' Listening and Speaking Skills through ELT Podcasts. *Education Journal*, *34*(2), 115-134.
- The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. (2023, June 27). Guidelines for Students on the Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence. Retrieved

 $from \ \underline{https://www.polyu.edu.hk/ar/docdrive/polyu-students/Student-guide-on-the-use-GenAI.pdf}$

The Rundown AI. (2024, January 15). <u>The AI Starter Kit</u>. Retrieved from: https://vaulted-polonium-23c.notion.site/The-AI-Starter-Kit-22796eeba17f44e69445e1f63ca3a247 through Debate." *English Journal* 94.1: 34-40, 2004.

Tuan, Y. F. (2001). Space and Context (Enacting space). In C. Counsel & L. Wolf (Eds.), *Performance Analysis: An Introductory Coursebook* (pp. 156-163). Routledge.

Wretch, J., Goding, A., Johnson, D. & Bernardo, A., L. (2012). *Public Speaking: Practice and Ethics v. 1.0.*

Yellin, D., Blake, M. E., & DeVries, B. (2007). *Integrating the language arts*. Holcomb Hathaway.

Revised as of January 2024 by Prof. Kathleen Ahrens Dr. Nate Ming Curran Dr. Margo Turnbull