

## Collaborative Forum on Service-Learning

Title of open lecture: **Research in Service-Learning: Past, Present & Future**

Facilitator: Dr Andrew Furco

Date: 23 March 2015

## Participants' Feedback Questionnaire

**No. of responses: 26**

| 1.                               | The open lecture has enabled me to:   | Mean | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly agree | Total |
|----------------------------------|---|------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|-------|
| a.                               | Understand the state of the research on service-learning  | 4.38 | 0%                | 0%       | 4%      | 54%   | 42%            | 26    |
| b.                               | Understand what is known about the impacts of service-learning  | 4.31 | 0%                | 0%       | 0%      | 69%   | 31%            | 26    |
| c.                               | Understand some of the methodological challenges and debates in conducting research on service-learning | 4.35 | 0%                | 0%       | 6%      | 50%   | 44%            | 26    |
| d.                               | Identify possible areas for research in the future  | 4.24 | 0%                | 0%       | 12%     | 53%   | 35%            | 26    |
| Overall view about the seminar   |   |      | Poor              |          | Okay    |       | Excellent      |       |
| e.                               | Overall rating on your <u>learning experience</u> of the open lecture                                   | 4.36 | 0%                | 0%       | 8%      | 53%   | 39%            | 26    |
|                                  |   |      | Not useful        |          | Okay    |       | Very useful    |       |
| f.                               | Overall rating on the <u>usefulness</u> of the open lecture to your practice                            | 4.31 | 0%                | 0%       | 8%      | 53%   | 39%            | 26    |
| 2. The open lecture facilitation |   |      | Poor              |          | Okay    |       | Excellent      |       |
| a.                               | Organisation and structure of the open lecture  | 4.12 | 0%                | 0%       | 10%     | 70%   | 20%            | 25    |
| b.                               | Interaction between speaker and participants  | 4.24 | 0%                | 0%       | 4%      | 68%   | 28%            | 25    |
| c.                               | Relevance and interest of content   | 4.20 | 0%                | 0%       | 4%      | 72%   | 24%            | 25    |
| d.                               | Usefulness of handouts and materials  | 4.13 | 0%                | 0%       | 21%     | 54%   | 33%            | 24    |

3. Which aspects of the workshop are most stimulating/useful to you?

- The examples
- The general overview (including history) and specifically the future direction of service learning
- 1. The interrelationship between Service Learning and Academic Achievement/  
Educational Success, i.e. Service Learning act as a mediating factor in boosting

students' personal growth and eventually enhancing academic competence. 2. The introduction of different preferences and their characteristics is innovative to me. My scope of understanding in Service Learning was widened.

- the use of different lenses to write research on service learning
- to understand more about the research conducted relevant to SL.
- review on student outcomes
- the impact of SL on students
- the examples of the studies, the content of the open lecture
- sharing on useful research findings
- the polls and surveys showing that researches regarding SL are far more than normally expected
- dynamics & debates on researching service learning

4. Please suggest how the workshop could be improved?

- Provide background information of the research in Service-Learning
- Difficult to say anything here as it was excellent. perhaps at one stage there was a little too much detail about statistical analysis of some of the research that was discussed.
- More real life examples could be given.
- Include few practitioners voices [can be live or recorded]
- NIL
- increase the capacity
- it is good enough
- I guess more examples could be demonstrated, eg. SL for different faculties and how they are different from each other
- larger venue would be better

5. Please indicate what you would like to know more about the topic if future workshops are to be organised.

- The future direction of service learning subjects in tertiary education. Should the subject be compulsory or optional for students?
- More case studies may be useful in explicating the concepts and theory of Service Learning.
- How to do research and write research papers in SL area.
- Options of collaboration between practitioners
- the impact of SL research on government/social policy
- specific impact of SL to students (more in-depth)
- more on the limitations of the current research on SL
- assessment and how to facilitate student's learning

- the impact of service-learning on students and community
- More subject based information. For example, how the subjects could be designed to suit the characteristic of different disciplines
- views of community on service learning