(Revised) Checklist for Evaluating Rubric Quality

After you have created a rubric, use the checklist below for evaluation.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Concerning task description | | |
| Is the purpose of the rubric clearly stated in terms of what task the rubric is for? | | |
| Does the task description align with the intended learning outcome(s)? | | |
| Concerning rubric type to use | | |
| Is the rubric the appropriate type (holistic, analytic or item structure) for the assessment task and the purpose of the assessment? | | |
| Concerning performance criteria | | |
| Are the criteria observable and measurable? | | |
| Do the criteria reflect the most important elements of the assessment task? | | |
| Do the criteria reflect the skills/knowledge expected to be demonstrated according to the intended learning outcomes? | | |
| Do the criteria reflect the skills/attributes featured in the Institutional Level Subject Grading Descriptors (ILSGDs)? | | |
| Concerning levels of performance | | |
| Are the grading labels consistent with those of the ILSGDs? | | |
| Do the levels of performance match those of the ILSGDs? | | |
| Is information concerning modifier grades (+ and –) included? | | |
| Concerning grade descriptors | | |
| Is the variance between each level clear? | | |
| Are the descriptors written in consistent and parallel language across levels? | | |
| Are the descriptors written in positive language so as to focus on the qualities expected from the student rather than on the absence of them? | | |
| Are the descriptors clearly distinguishable across levels by indicating amount, frequency and intensity? | | |
| Are the descriptors written in an objective manner? | | |
| Concerning rubric design | | |
| Is the rubric user-friendly in terms of layout? | | |
| Does the rubric fit onto one page? | | |
| Are levels of performance arranged from left/top (A) to right/bottom (F)? | | |
| Are parallel descriptors aligned across the page to make it easier for users to compare them? | | |