Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS6401				
Subject Title	Advanced Methods in Qualitative Health Care Research				
Credit Value	3				
Level	6				
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion					
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	Group Assessment		
	1. Class Participation	10 %			
	2. Seminar Presentation and Report	30 %			
	3. Written Assignment	60 %			
	 a. introducing the theories and the practices of qualitative research to students b. clarifying the misunderstanding of qualitative research methodologies c. helping students to catch up the current debates on methodologies d. helping students to enhance their capacity of using qualitative methodology e. helping students to determine methodology in their research 				
Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1)	 Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. contrast the differences and similarities between the two major paradigms of health care research b. appraise the nature of knowledge developed from quantitative and interpretive research c. explore and appraise the methodology of qualitative and interpretive research d. evaluate different interpretive research methods in the inquiry into issues of nursing and health care concerns e. critically appraise the methodological issues particular to research grounded in lived experience f. critically discuss the ethical and practical issues in the conduct of interpretive research in health care settings g. develop skills to use interpretive methods in conducting health-related research 				
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus (Note 2)	 Epistemological considerations Quantitative and interpretive research Relevance of quantitative and interpretive paradigms in building knowledge relevant to health care and nursing practice 3 Defining quantitative and interpretive research questions Methodological considerations in interpretive research Approaches to data collection Researcher as human instrument 				

	 2.3 Issues of objectivity, subjectivity and intersubjectivity 2.4 Defining qualitative data set in different sampling procedures 2.5 Ethics in studies in natural settings, into lived experience and into sensitive topics 2.6 Possibilities and issues in triangulation 						ensitive	
	 2.6 Possibilities and issu 3. Building knowledge thro 3.1 Ethnography and the 3.2 Grounded theory and 3.3 Phenomenology and 3.4 Action-oriented reses 3.5 Extended case metho 3.6 Narrative inquiry and 4. Approaches to interpretive 4.1 The art of interpretion 4.2 Comparing data anal 4.3 Theorizing qualitative 4.4 Rigor in data manage 5. Practical considerations 5.1 Developing a qualitative 5.2 Ethical review of the 5.3 Managing qualitative 5.4 Writing up a qualitative 	augh interpret e study of cult e study of cult d the study of the study of arch and the e od, reflexive s d the understa we data analys g qualitative ysis methods re data: from o ement: Issues tive research pro- e data ive research pro-	ive resea ural card illness t quality of empowe cience a nding the is data in diffe decontes of trust proposa cess	e, profes rajector of life, c rment ir and theo ne struct rent inte xtualiza worthind	ssional i y, nursi aring pr h helping ry recon ure and erpretive tion to r	issues ng wor cactices g profes nstructio way of e resear econtex	k organ ssion on telling rch tradi tualizat	ization tions
Teaching/Learning Methodology	<u>Lecture</u> The normal practice is to introduce the theory and the practice of qualitative research							
(Note 3)	to students and to help students catch up what the current debates on methodologies. Seminar							
	Individual or group preser Students are required to con- research or other people's w puzzles and questions as w subject matter.	ritically evalu vorks. It prov	ate and ides the	l challer e opport	nge the unity fo	classics or studer	s of quants to ra	alitative ise their
Assessment Methods in Alignment with	Specific assessment methods/tasks%Intended subject learning outcomes to assessed (Please tick as appropriate)				o be			
Intended Learning Outcomes			a	b	с	d	e	g
(Note 4)	1. Class Participation	10 %		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2. Seminar Presentation and report	30 %	V		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
	3. Written Assignment	60 %		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
	Total	100 %						
	Explanation of the appro intended learning outcomes 1. As we emphasize stud	5 5:						-

	participate in class discussion. Dialogue between the lecturer and students is a good way to cultivate students' capacity of critical thinking and reflection.			
	2. Individual or group presentation and report provide good chance for students to learn to evaluate, appraise and challenge other people's works. Through the process, students are able to contrast the differences and similarities between the two major paradigms of health care research and appraise the practices of research.			
	3. Written assignment is an important way to student to develop their own interpretative skill and build up their own literatures on qualitative research methods they intended to use.			
Student Study	Class contact:			
Effort Expected	Lecture	13 Hrs.		
	Seminar	26 Hrs.		
	Other student study effort:			
	Lecture & Seminar Preparation	56 Hrs.		
	Presentation Preparation	56 Hrs.		
	Report Writing	56 Hrs.		
	Total student study effort	207 Hrs.		
Medium of Instruction	English			
Medium of Assessment	English			
Reading List and References	Essential Savin-Baden, M. & Major, C. H. (2013).	_		
	essential guide to theory and practice. London: Routledge.			
	Benner, P. (Ed.). (1994). Interpretative Phenomenology: Embodiment, Caring, and Ethics in Health and Illness. London: Sage.			
	Burawoy, M. (Ed.) (1991) <i>Ethnography Unbound</i> . Berkeley: California University Press.			
	Burawoy, M. (Ed.) (2000) <i>Global Ethnography</i> . Berkeley: California University Press.			
	Denzin, YS Lincoln (Eds.). (2003) The Landscape of Qualitative Research, Theories and Issues (2nd Edition). Thousand Oaks, Sage.			
	Field, P.A. & Morse, J.M. (1995). <i>Qualitative Research Methods for Health Professionals</i> (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.			
	Jessor, R.; Colby, A. & Shweder, R.A. (Eds.). (1996) Development: Context and Meaning in Social Inquiry.			

Chicago Press.
Strauss, A.L. & Corbin, J.M. (1998). <i>Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques</i> . 2nd edition. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage.
Van Maanen, J. (1988). <i>Tales of the Field: On Writing Ethnography</i> . Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
Yin, RK. (2003) Applications of case study research (second edition). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
Zuber-Skerritt, Ortrun (Eds.) 1996. New Directions in Action Research. London: The Falmer Press.
陈 向 明 (2000) 《 质 的 研 究 方 法 与 社 会 科 学 研 究 》 , 教 育 科 学 出 版 社 。

Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

Note 2: Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus

The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time over-crowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

Note 4: Assessment Method

This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.