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(1) Let $\mathcal{S}^{n}$ be set of $n$ by $n$ symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}$ be the cone of positive semidefinite matrices in $\mathcal{S}^{n}$.
(2) Let $X \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$ have the following spectral decomposition

$$
X=P \Lambda P^{\mathbb{T}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} p_{i} p_{i}^{\mathbb{T}},
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ of $X$ and $P$ is a corresponding orthogonal matrix of orthonormal eigenvectors. Then

$$
X_{+}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}}(X)=P \Lambda_{+} P^{\mathbb{T}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{+} p_{i} p_{i}^{\mathbb{T}} .
$$

Here $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}}(X)$ is the unique optimal solution to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\|Z-X\|_{F}^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & Z \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

(1) Let $f: \Re \rightarrow \Re$ be a scalar function. The corresponding Löwner operator $F: \mathcal{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n}$ is defined by ${ }^{1}$

$$
F(X):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\lambda_{i}\right) p_{i} p_{i}^{\mathbb{T}}, \quad X \in \mathcal{S}^{n}
$$

(2) Let $g: \Re \rightarrow \Re$ be an odd scalar function satisfying $g(-t)=-g(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ (naturally $g(0)=0$ ). One may define Löwner's operator $G: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (assuming $m \leq n$ ) by

$$
G(Z):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(\sigma_{i}(Z)\right) u_{i} v_{i}^{\mathbb{T}}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
$$

where for any given $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \sigma_{1}(Z) \geq \sigma_{2}(Z) \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{m}(Z)$ denotes the singular values of $Z$ (always nonnegative and counting multiplicity) and $\sigma(Z)$ denotes the vector of the singular values of $Z ; \mathbb{O}^{m, n}(Z)$ denotes the set of matrix pairs $(U, V) \in \mathbb{O}^{m} \times \mathbb{O}^{n}$ satisfying the singular value decomposition

$$
Z=U\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[\Sigma(Z)} & 0]
\end{array} V^{\mathbb{T}}\right.
$$

where $\Sigma(Z)$ is an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix whose $i$-th diagonal entry is $\sigma_{i}(Z) \geq 0$.

[^0]Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ admit the following singular value decomposition:

$$
X=\bar{U}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma(X) & 0
\end{array} \bar{V}^{T}=\bar{U}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma(X) & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{V}_{1} & \bar{V}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{array}\right]^{T}=\bar{U} \Sigma(X) \bar{V}_{1}^{T},\right.
$$

where $\bar{U} \in \mathcal{O}^{m}, \bar{V} \in \mathcal{O}^{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \bar{V}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times(n-m)}$ and $\bar{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\bar{V}_{1} & \bar{V}_{2}\end{array}\right]$. The set of such matrices $(U, V)$ in the singular value decomposition (1) is denoted by $\mathcal{O}^{m, n}(X)$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{O}^{m, n}(X):=\left\{(U, V) \in \Re^{m \times m} \times \Re^{n \times n} \mid X=U[\Sigma(X) \quad 0] V^{T}\right\} .
$$

For any positive constant $\varepsilon>0$, denote the closed convex cone $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \varepsilon^{-1} t \geq x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(\cdot)$ be the metric projector over $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ under the Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. That is, for any $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Pi_{\mathcal{D}_{n}^{s}}(t, x)$ is the unique optimal solution to the following convex optimization problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\left((\tau-t)^{2}+\|y-x\|^{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\text { s.t. } & \varepsilon^{-1} \tau \geq y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $x^{\downarrow}$ be the vector of components of $x$ being arranged in the non-increasing order $x_{1}^{\downarrow} \geq \ldots \geq x_{n}^{\downarrow}$. Let $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ be the sign vector of $x$, i.e., $(\operatorname{sgn})_{i}(x)=1$ if $x_{i} \geq 0$ and -1 otherwise. We use " $\circ$ " to denote the Hadamard product operation either for two vectors or two matrices of the same dimensions.

## Proposition

Assume that $\varepsilon>0$ and $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are given. Let $\pi$ be a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $x^{\downarrow}=x_{\pi}$, i.e., $x_{i}^{\downarrow}=x_{\pi(i)}, i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\pi^{-1}$ the inverse of $\pi$. For convenience, write $x_{0}^{\downarrow}=+\infty$ and $x_{n+1}^{\downarrow}=-\infty$. Let $\bar{\kappa}$ be the smallest integer $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}^{\downarrow} \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}^{\downarrow}+\varepsilon t\right) /\left(k+\varepsilon^{2}\right)<x_{k}^{\downarrow} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\bar{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, respectively, by

$$
\bar{y}_{i}:= \begin{cases}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\bar{\kappa}} x_{j}^{\downarrow}+\varepsilon t\right) /\left(\bar{\kappa}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq \bar{\kappa}, \\ x_{i}^{\downarrow} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\tau}:=\varepsilon \bar{y}_{1}=\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\bar{k}} x_{j}^{\downarrow}+\varepsilon t\right) /\left(\bar{k}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
$$

The metric projection $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(t, x)$ is computed by $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(t, x)=\left(\bar{\tau}, \bar{y}_{\pi^{-1}}\right)$.

For any positive constant $\varepsilon>0$, define the matrix cone $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{S}^{n}$ as the epigraph of the convex function $\varepsilon \lambda_{\max }(\cdot)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^{n} \mid \varepsilon^{-1} t \geq \lambda_{\max }(X)\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition

Assume that $(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^{n}$ is given. Let $X$ have the eigenvalue decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\bar{P} \operatorname{diag}(\lambda(X)) \bar{P}^{T}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{O}^{n}$. Let $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the metric projector over $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ under Frobenius norm in $\mathcal{S}^{n}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(t, X)=\left(\bar{t}, \bar{P} \operatorname{diag}(\bar{y}) \bar{P}^{T}\right) \quad \forall(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\bar{t}, \bar{y})=\Pi_{\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(t, \lambda(X)) \in \Re \times \Re^{n}$.

For any positive constant $\varepsilon>0$, denote the closed convex cone $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \varepsilon^{-1} t \geq\|x\|_{\infty}\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\varepsilon}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the metric projector over $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ under the Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. That is, for any $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{n}^{s}}(t, x)$ is the unique optimal solution to the following convex optimization problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\left((\tau-t)^{2}+\|y-x\|^{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\text { s.t. } & \varepsilon^{-1} \tau \geq\|y\|_{\infty}
\end{array}
$$

In the following discussions, we frequently $\operatorname{drop} n$ from $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ when its size can be found from the context.

Assume that $\varepsilon>0$ and $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are given. Let $\pi$ be a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|x|^{\downarrow}=|x|_{\pi}$, i.e., $|x|_{i}^{\downarrow}=|x|_{\pi(i)}, i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\pi^{-1}$ be the inverse of $\pi$. Let $|x|_{0}^{\downarrow}=+\infty$ and $|x|_{n+1}^{\downarrow}=0$. Let $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}|x|_{i}^{\downarrow}, k=1, \ldots, n+1$. Let $\bar{k}$ be the smallest integer $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{k+1}^{\downarrow} \leq\left(s_{k}+\varepsilon t\right) /\left(k+\varepsilon^{2}\right)<|x|_{k}^{\downarrow} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\bar{k}=n+1$ if such an integer does not exist. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x):=\left(s_{\bar{k}}+\varepsilon t\right) /\left(\bar{k}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ be the index sets of $|x|^{\downarrow}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=\left\{\left.i| | x\right|_{i} ^{\downarrow}>\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right\}, \quad \beta:=\left\{\left.i| | x\right|_{i} ^{\downarrow}=\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma:=\left\{\left.i| | x\right|_{i} ^{\downarrow}<\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\bar{\tau} \in \Re_{+}$, respectively, by

$$
\bar{y}_{i}:= \begin{cases}\max \left\{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x), 0\right\} & \text { if } i \in \alpha, \\ |x|_{i}^{\downarrow} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\tau}:=\varepsilon \max \left\{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x), 0\right\} .
$$

## Proposition

Assume that $\varepsilon>0$ and $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are given. The metric projection $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon(t, x)$ of $(t, x)$ onto $\mathcal{C}^{\varepsilon}$ can be computed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mathcal{C}^{\varepsilon}}(t, x)=\left(\bar{\tau}, \operatorname{sgn}(x) \circ \bar{y}_{\pi^{-1}}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem

Assume that $(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is given. Let $X$ have the singular value decomposition (1). Let $\Pi_{\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the metric projector over $\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}$ under Frobenius norm in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \mid \varepsilon^{-1} t \geq\|X\|_{2}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $(t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we have

$$
\left.\Pi_{\mathcal{K}^{\varepsilon}}(t, X)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{t}, \bar{U}[\operatorname{diag}(\bar{y}) & 0 \tag{16}
\end{array}\right] \bar{V}^{T}\right)
$$

where

$$
(\bar{t}, \bar{y})=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}^{\varepsilon}}(t, \sigma(X)) \in \Re \times \Re^{m}
$$

(1) Löwner operators are inadequate for applications
(2) For a given unitarily invariant proper closed convex function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$, in matrix optimization one often considers the proximal mapping of $f$ at $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{f}(X):=\operatorname{argmin}_{Y \in \mathcal{X}}\left\{f(Y)+\frac{1}{2}\|Y-X\|^{2}\right\}, \quad X \in \mathcal{X} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ is either the real vector subspace $\mathbb{S}^{m}$ of $m \times m$ real symmetric (or complex) Hermitian matrices, or the real vector subspace $\mathbb{V}^{m \times n}$ of $m \times n$
(3) For example, for $f(Y)=\|Y\|_{2}=\sigma_{\max }(Y)$, the spectral norm of $Y, \mathrm{P}_{f}(\cdot)$ is no longer the Löwner operator [it is the Löwner operator for $f(Y)=\|Y\|_{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i}(Y)$ ].
(4) If $f(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of a matrix cone, then the proximal mapping $\mathrm{P}_{f}(\cdot)$ is the metric projector over the corresponding matrix cone.

Let $s$ be a positive integer and $0 \leq s_{0} \leq s$ be a nonnegative integer. For given positive integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$ and $n_{s_{0}+1}, \ldots, n_{s}$, define the real vector space $\mathcal{X}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}:=\mathbb{S}^{m_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{S}^{m_{s_{0}}} \times \mathbb{V}^{m_{s_{0}+1} \times n_{s_{0}+1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{V}^{m_{s} \times n_{s}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $m_{k} \leq n_{k}, k=s_{0}+1, \ldots, s$.

For any $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{X}$, we have for $1 \leq k \leq s_{0}, X_{k} \in \mathbb{S}^{m_{k}}$ and $s_{0}+1 \leq k \leq s$, $X_{k} \in \mathbb{V}^{m_{k} \times n_{k}}$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}:=\mathbb{R}^{m_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{s_{0}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{s_{0}}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{s}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, define $\kappa(X) \in \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$
\kappa(X):=\left(\lambda\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(X_{s_{0}}\right), \sigma\left(X_{s_{0}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(X_{s}\right)\right) .
$$

Define the set $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$
\mathcal{P}:=\left\{\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}\right) \mid Q_{k} \in \mathbb{P}^{m_{k}}, 1 \leq k \leq s_{0} \text { and } Q_{k} \in \pm \mathbb{P}^{m_{k}}, s_{0}+1 \leq k \leq s\right\} .
$$

Let $g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a given mapping. For any $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $x_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{k}}$, we write $g(x) \in \mathcal{Y}$ in the form $g(x)=\left(g_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{s}(x)\right)$ with $g_{k}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{k}}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$.

## Definition

The given mapping $g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is said to be mixed symmetric, with respect to $\mathcal{P}$, at $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $x_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{k}}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(Q_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s} x_{s}\right)=\left(Q_{1} g_{1}(x), \ldots, Q_{s} g_{s}(x)\right) \quad \forall\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{P} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mapping $g$ is said to be mixed symmetric, with respect to $\mathcal{P}$, over a set $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ if (20) holds for every $x \in \mathcal{D}$. We call $g$ a mixed symmetric mapping, with respect to $\mathcal{P}$, if (20) holds for every $x \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Note that for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the function value $g_{k}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{k}}$ is dependent on all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$. When there is no danger of confusion, in later discussions we often drop the phrase "with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ " from Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a given nonempty set in $\mathcal{X}$. Define $\kappa_{\mathcal{N}}:=\{\kappa(X) \in \mathcal{Y} \mid X \in \mathcal{N}\}$. The following definition of the spectral operator with respect to a mixed symmetric mapping $g$.

## Definition

Suppose that $g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is mixed symmetric on $\kappa_{\mathcal{N}}$. The spectral operator $G: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with respect to $g$ is defined as $G(X):=\left(G_{1}(X), \ldots, G_{s}(X)\right)$ for $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$
G_{k}(X):= \begin{cases}P_{k} \operatorname{Diag}\left(g_{k}(\kappa(X))\right) P_{k}^{\mathbb{T}} & \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq s_{0} \\ U_{k}\left[\operatorname{Diag}\left(g_{k}(\kappa(X))\right)\right. & 0] V_{k}^{\mathbb{T}} \\ \text { if } s_{0}+1 \leq k \leq s\end{cases}
$$

where $P_{k} \in \mathbb{O}^{m_{k}}\left(X_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq s_{0},\left(U_{k}, V_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{O}^{m_{k}, n_{k}}\left(X_{k}\right), s_{0}+1 \leq k \leq s$.

Next, we will focus on the study of spectral operators for the case that $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathbb{V}^{m \times n}$. The corresponding extensions for the spectral operators defined on the general Cartesian product of several matrix spaces can be considered in a similar fashion.
Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a given nonempty open set in $\mathbb{V}^{m \times n}$. Suppose that $g: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is mixed symmetric with respect to $\mathcal{P} \equiv \pm \mathbb{P}^{m}$ (i.e., absolutely symmetric), on an open set $\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ containing $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}:=\{\sigma(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{N}\}$. The spectral operator $G: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{m \times n}$ with respect to $g$ then takes the form of

$$
G(X)=U[\operatorname{Diag}(g(\sigma(X))) \quad 0] V^{\mathbb{T}}, \quad X \in \mathcal{N},
$$

where $(U, V) \in \mathbb{O}^{m, n}(X)$. For a given $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$, consider the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $\bar{X}$, i.e.,

$$
\bar{X}=\bar{U}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma(\bar{X}) & 0 \tag{21}
\end{array}\right] \bar{V}^{\mathbb{T}},
$$

where $\Sigma(\bar{X})$ is an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix whose $i$-th diagonal entry is $\sigma_{i}(\bar{X}), \bar{U} \in \mathbb{O}^{m}$ and $\bar{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\bar{V}_{1} & \bar{V}_{2}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{O}^{n}$ with $\bar{V}_{1} \in \mathbb{V}^{n \times m}$ and $\bar{V}_{2} \in \mathbb{V}^{n \times(n-m)}$.
(1) Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be two finite-dimensional real Euclidean spaces
(2) $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ a locally Lipschitz continuous function.

Since $F$ is almost everywhere differentiable [Rademacher, 1912], we can define

$$
\partial_{B} F(x):=\left\{\lim F^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right): x^{k} \rightarrow x, x^{k} \in D_{F}\right\} .
$$

Here $D_{F}$ is the set of points where $F$ is differentiable. Hence, Clarke's generalized Jacobian of $F$ at $x$ is given by

$$
\partial F(x)=\operatorname{conv} \partial_{B} F(x)
$$

## Definition

Let $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{X} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a nonempty, compact valued and upper-semicontinous multifunction. We say that $F$ is semismooth $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with respect to $\mathcal{K}$ if (i) $F$ is directionally differentiable at $x$; and (ii) for any $\Delta x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $V \in \mathcal{K}(x+\Delta x)$ with $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x+\Delta x)-F(x)-V(\Delta x)=o(\|\Delta x\|) \quad(g-\text { semismooth }) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if (22) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x+\Delta x)-F(x)-V(\Delta x)=O\left(\|\Delta x\|^{1+\gamma}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0$ is a constant, then $F$ is said to be $\gamma$-order (strongly if $\gamma=1$ ) semismooth at $x$ with respect to $\mathcal{K}$.

Assume that $F(\bar{x})=0$.
Given $x^{0} \in \mathcal{X}$. For $k=0,1, \ldots$
Main Step Choose an arbitrary $V_{k} \in \mathcal{K}\left(x^{k}\right)$. Solve

$$
F\left(x^{k}\right)+V_{k}\left(x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right)=0
$$

Rates of Convergence: Assume that $\mathcal{K}(\bar{x})$ is nonsingular and that $x^{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\bar{x}$. If $F$ is g -semismooth at $\bar{x}$, then

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-\bar{x}\right\|=\| \underbrace{V_{k}^{-1}}_{\text {bounded }} \underbrace{\left[F\left(x^{k}\right)-F(\bar{x})-V_{k}\left(x^{k}-\bar{x}\right)\right.}_{\text {g-semismooth }}] \|=\underbrace{o\left(\left\|x^{k}-\bar{x}\right\|\right)}_{\text {superlinear }} .
$$

It takes $o\left(\left\|x^{k}-\bar{x}\right\|^{1+\gamma}\right)$ if $F$ is $\gamma$-order g -semismooth at $\bar{x}$ [the directional differentiability of $F$ is not needed in the above local convergence analysis]
(1) The nonsmooth equation approach is popular in the complementarity and variational inequalities (nonsmooth equations) community (Robinson, Pang, ...)
(2) Josephy (1979) introduced Newton and quasi-Newton methods for generalized equations (in terms of Robinson).

3 Kojima and Shindo (1986) investigated Newton's method for piecewise smooth equations.
(4) Kummer $(1988,1992)$ gave a sufficient condition (22) to extend Kojima and Shindo's work.
(3) L. Qi and J. Sun (1993) proved what we know now.
(0 Since then, many exciting developments, in particular in the large-scale settings ...
Why nonsmooth Newton methods important in solving large-scale optimization problems? We illustrate this with an example.

Consider the nearest correlation matrix (NCM) problem:

$$
\min \left\{\left.\frac{1}{2}\|X-G\|_{F}^{2} \right\rvert\, X \succeq 0, X_{i i}=1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

The dual of the above problem can be written as (in its minimization format)

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\|\Xi\|^{2}-\langle b, y\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\|G\|^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & S-\Xi+\mathcal{A}^{*} y=-G, \quad S \succeq 0
\end{array}
$$

or via eliminating $\Xi$ and $S \succeq 0$, the following

$$
\min \left\{\varphi(y):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{*} y+G\right)\right\|^{2}-\langle b, y\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\|G\|^{2}\right\}
$$

which is equivalent to the strongly semismooth system (S. \& Sun, 02) of equations

$$
\nabla \varphi(y)=\mathcal{A} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}_{+}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{*} y+G\right)-b=0 .
$$

Test the second order nonsmooth Newton-CG method [H.-D. Qi \& S. 06] ([X,y] = CorrelationMatrix(G,b,tau,tol) in Matlab from Sun's webpage) and two popular first order methods (FOMs) [APG of Nesterov; ADMM of Glowinski (steplength 1.618)] all to the dual forms for the NCM with real financial data:
$G$ : Cor3120, $n=3,120$, obtained from [N. J. Higham \& N. Strabić, SIMAX, 2016] [Optimal sol. rank $=3,025$, high rank]

| $n=3,120$ | Newton-CG | ADMM | APG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rel. KKT Res. | $2.7-8$ | $2.9-7$ | $9.2-7$ |
| time (s) | 26.8 | 246.4 | 459.1 |
| iters | 4 | 58 | 111 |
| avg-time/iter | 6.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 |

Newton's method only takes at most $40 \%$ time more than ADMM \& APG (or FISTA) per iteration (Newton will take less time on average per iteration if it took more iterations).

## Theorem

Suppose that $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$ has the SVD (21). The spectral operator $G$ is continuous at $\bar{X}$ if and only if $g$ is continuous at $\sigma(\bar{X})$.

## Theorem

Suppose that $\bar{X}$ has the SVD (21). The spectral operator $G$ is locally Lipschitz continuous near $\bar{X}$ if and only if $g$ is locally Lipschitz continuous near $\bar{\sigma}=\sigma(\bar{X})$.

Let $\eta(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be the vector defined by $(i \in\{1, \ldots, m\})$

$$
(\eta(\sigma))_{i}:= \begin{cases}\left(g^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)_{i i}-\left(g^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)_{i j} & \text { if } \exists j \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \text { and } j \neq i \text { such that } \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j},  \tag{24}\\ \left(g^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)_{i i} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

Define the corresponding divided difference matrix $\mathcal{E}_{1}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, the divided addition matrix $\mathcal{E}_{2}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, the division matrix $\mathcal{F}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times(n-m)}$, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}(\sigma)\right)_{i j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{g_{i}(\sigma)-g_{j}(\sigma)}{\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{j}} & \text { if } \sigma_{i} \neq \sigma_{j}, \\
(\eta(\sigma))_{i} & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\},\right.  \tag{25}\\
\left(\mathcal{E}_{2}(\sigma)\right)_{i j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{g_{i}(\sigma)+g_{j}(\sigma)}{\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{j}} & \text { if } \sigma_{i}+\sigma_{j} \neq 0, \\
\left(g^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)_{i i} & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\},\right.  \tag{26}\\
(\mathcal{F}(\sigma))_{i j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{g_{i}(\sigma)}{\sigma_{i}} & \text { if } \sigma_{i} \neq 0, \\
\left(g^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)_{i i} & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array} \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, \quad j \in\{1, \ldots, n-m\} .\right. \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Define the matrix $\mathcal{C}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ to be the difference between $g^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{Diag}(\eta(\sigma)$ ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\sigma):=g^{\prime}(\sigma)-\operatorname{Diag}(\eta(\sigma)) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the dependence of $\eta, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ on $\sigma$ is clear from the context, we often drop $\sigma$ from the corresponding notations. Note that the divided difference matrix $\mathcal{E}_{1}(\sigma)$ is the same with the commonly defined for the symmetric matrix case. The divided addition matrix $\mathcal{E}_{2}(\sigma)$ and the division matrix $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ are particular to general non-Hermitian matrices.

Denote $\bar{\eta}=\eta(\bar{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ to be the vector defined by (24). Let $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{1}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the real matrices defined in (25)-(28) with respect to $\bar{\sigma}$.

## Theorem

Suppose that the given matrix $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$ has the SVD (21). Then the spectral operator $G$ is F-differentiable at $\bar{X}$ if and only if $g$ is $F$-differentiable at $\bar{\sigma}$. In that case, the derivative of $G$ at $\bar{X}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(\bar{X}) H=\bar{U}\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{1} \circ S(A)+\operatorname{Diag}(\overline{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{diag}(S(A)))+\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2} \circ T(A) \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ B\right] \bar{V}^{\mathbb{T}} \quad \forall H \in \mathbb{V}^{m \times n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A:=\bar{U}^{\mathbb{T}} H \bar{V}_{1}, B:=\bar{U}^{\mathbb{T}} H \bar{V}_{2}$ and for any $X \in \mathbb{V}^{m \times m}, \operatorname{diag}(X)$ denotes the column vector consisting of all the diagonal entries of $X$ being arranged from the first to the last.

Here the two linear matrix operators $S: \mathbb{V}^{p \times p} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p}$ and $T: \mathbb{V}^{p \times p} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{p \times p}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y):=\frac{1}{2}\left(Y+Y^{\mathbb{T}}\right), \quad T(Y):=\frac{1}{2}\left(Y-Y^{\mathbb{T}}\right), \quad Y \in \mathbb{V}^{p \times p} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a finite dimensional real Euclidean space equipped with an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and its induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open set in $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{\prime}$ be another finite dimensional real Euclidean space. The function $F: \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}$ is said to be $B$ (ouligand)-differentiable at $z \in \mathcal{O}$ if for any $h \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$
F(z+h)-F(z)-F^{\prime}(z ; h)=o(\|h\|) .
$$

A stronger notion than B-differentiability is $\rho$-order B-differentiability with $\rho>0$. The function $F: \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}$ is said to be $\rho$-order B-differentiable at $z \in \mathcal{O}$ if for any $h \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$
F(z+h)-F(z)-F^{\prime}(z ; h)=O\left(\|h\|^{1+\rho}\right)
$$

## Theorem

Suppose that $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$ has the SVD (21). Let $0<\rho \leq 1$ be given.
(i) If $g$ is locally Lipschitz continuous near $\sigma(\bar{X})$ and $\rho$-order B-differentiable at $\sigma(\bar{X})$, then $G$ is $\rho$-order $B$-differentiable at $\bar{X}$.
(ii) If $G$ is $\rho$-order $B$-differentiable at $\bar{X}$, then $g$ is $\rho$-order $B$-differentiable at $\sigma(\bar{X})$.

## Theorem

Suppose that $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$ has the singular value decomposition (21). Let $0<\rho \leq 1$ be given. $G$ is $\rho$-order $g$-semismooth at $\bar{X}$ if and only if $g$ is $\rho$-order $g$-semismooth at $\bar{\sigma}$.

Assume that $g$ is locally Lispchitz continuous. Then since the spectral operator $G$ is locally Lipschitz continuous near $\bar{X}, \Psi=G^{\prime}(\bar{X} ; \cdot)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous if exists. In that case, $\partial_{B} \Psi(0)$ and $\partial \Psi(0)$ are well-defined. Furthermore, we have the following characterization of the B-subdifferential and Clarke's subdifferential of the spectral operator $G$ at $\bar{X}$.

## Theorem

Suppose that the given $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{N}$ has the decomposition (21). Suppose that there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of $\bar{\sigma}$ in $\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $g$ is differentiable at $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $g^{\prime}(\bar{\sigma} ; \cdot)$ is differentiable at $\sigma-\bar{\sigma}$. Assume further that the function $d: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(h):=g(\bar{\sigma}+h)-g(\bar{\sigma})-g^{\prime}(\bar{\sigma} ; h), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly differentiable at zero. Then, we have

$$
\partial_{B} G(\bar{X})=\partial_{B} \Psi(0) \quad \text { and } \quad \partial G(\bar{X})=\partial \Psi(0)
$$

Many more to be developed ...
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