Erratum to: On the Equivalence of Inexact Proximal ALM and ADMM for a Class of Convex Composite Programming

Liang Chen^{*} Xudong Li[†] Defeng Sun[‡] and Kim-Chuan Toh[§]

January 20, 2022

Abstract

The original paper [1] has a gap in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Here we make the corresponding corrections.

1 Introduction

The original paper [1] has a gap in the proof of Lemma 3.3. The reason is that there in the inequality (3.25), we have made the following estimation:

$$\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_h + \mathcal{S} - \Sigma_k)(w^{k+1} - w^k)\|^2 \le \|\widehat{\Sigma}_h + \mathcal{S}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^k, (\widehat{\Sigma}_h + \mathcal{S})(w^{k+1} - w^k)\rangle.$$
(R1)

However, such an estimation may not hold in general. Here, we will prove the following inequality (by adding a factor of 4 to the right hand of (R1))

$$\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + S - \Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\|^{2} \le 4\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + S\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + S)(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle.$$
(R2)

With this new inequality, all the conclusions in [1] remain true. The details for the changes will be provided in the next section. In the final section, we give the proof to (\mathbf{R}^2) .

2 Revision details

Besides $(\mathbf{R2})$, we make the following corrections to the original paper:

- (1) In (3.23) of Lemma 3.3, we need revise the term $\frac{2\|\hat{\Sigma}_h + S\|}{\tau \sigma} \|w^{k+1} w^k\|_{\Theta}^2$ to be $\frac{8\|\hat{\Sigma}_h + S\|}{\tau \sigma} \|w^{k+1} w^k\|_{\Theta}^2$.
- (2) The term $\frac{2\|\hat{\Sigma}_h + \mathcal{S}\|}{\tau\sigma} \|\bar{w}^{k+1} w^k\|_{\Theta}^2$ in (3.34) should be replaced by $\frac{8\|\hat{\Sigma}_h + \mathcal{S}\|}{\tau\sigma} \|\bar{w}^{k+1} w^k\|_{\Theta}^2$.
- (3) Between (3.26) and (3.27), we should let $\zeta > 0$ be the smallest real number such that $\zeta \Xi \succeq 4\Theta$ instead of $\zeta \Xi \succeq \Theta$.

There is nothing more to be revised.

^{*}School of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, China (chl@hnu.edu.cn)

[†]School of Data Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China, and Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China (lixudong@fudan.edu.cn).

[‡]Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong (defeng. sun@polyu.edu.hk).

[§]Department of Mathematics, and Institute of Operations Research and Analytics, National University of Singapore, 10 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119076 (mattohkc@nus.edu.sg).

3 Proof for (R2)

By using the assumption $\mathcal{S} \succeq -\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Sigma}_h$ and $0 \preceq \Sigma_k \preceq \widehat{\Sigma}_h$, we have

$$0 \preceq \widehat{\Sigma}_h + S - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k \preceq \widehat{\Sigma}_h + S \text{ and } 0 \preceq \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k \preceq \widehat{\Sigma}_h + S,$$

which imply

$$\begin{split} \|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\|^{2} \\ &= \|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k}) - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\|^{2} \\ &\leq 2\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\|^{2} + 2\left\|(-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\right\|^{2} \\ &= 2\|(\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\|^{2} + 2\left\|\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\right\|^{2} \\ &\leq 2\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle + 2\|\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle \\ &\leq 2\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle + 2\|\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{k}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle \\ &\leq 2\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle + 2\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S}\|\langle w^{k+1} - w^{k}, (\widehat{\Sigma}_{h} + \mathcal{S})(w^{k+1} - w^{k})\rangle, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the simple fact that for any two self-adjoint and positive semidefinite linear operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , one has $\|\mathcal{A}\| \ge \|\mathcal{B}\|$ if $\mathcal{A} \succeq \mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof of (R2).

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Mr Zijian Shi at Guangxi University for kindly letting us know the error in the proof of Lemma 3.3 of [1].

References

 L. Chen, X.D. Li, D.F. Sun, and K.-C. Toh: On the equivalence of inexact proximal ALM and ADMM for a class of convex composite programming, Math. Program., 2021, 185: 111-161