

-driven Education and Scholarship

School of ACCOUNTING & FINANCE 會計及金融學院



## Academic Perspective on ESG investing – ESG Data & Rating

Munhee Han, Research Assistant Professor School of Accounting and Finance Hong Kong Polytechnic University



#### Outline

- Background of ESG Investing
- What is ESG Data?
- ESG Rating Provider (Agency)
- Divergence of ESG Rating?









### Background of ESG Investing

- Recently, there has been a rapidly increasing appetite for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investing.
- According to the report by Global Sustainable Investment Alliance, global sustainable investment reached USD 35.3 trillion in five major markets, a 15% increase in the past two years (2018-2020) and 55% increase in the past four years (2016-2020).
- Also, COVID-19 pandemic has been accelerating the trend.



Proportion of sustainable investing assets relative to total managed assets





Global sustainable investment at \$35.3 trillion







#### **Recent Asset Pricing Theories on ESG**

- There are several studies on taste-based portfolio theory and asset pricing in the presence of green investors.
- Baker, Bergstresser, Serafeim, and Wurgler (2018), Baker, Hollield, and Osambela (2020), Zerbib (2020), Pastor, Stambaugh, and Taylor (2021), and Pedersen, Fitzgibbons, and Pomorski (2021)









#### Assets under management (US\$ trillion) **N°** Signatories Number of Signatories Assets under management Number of Asset Owners Asset Owners' Assets under management

The PRI is the world's leading proponent of responsible investment







- When it comes to 'individual investor'...
- Sustainable investing is growing fast and mutual funds that invest according to ESG ratings experience sizable inflow (Hartzmark and Sussman, 2019, Journal of Finance)



#### The paper in one picture

Hartzmark and Sussman

CHICAGO BOOTH

Do Investors Value Sustainability?

DNG CUNIVERSITY



School of ACCOUNTING & FINANCE 會計及金融學院

 COVID-19 has increased institutional investors' focus on ESG.



What extra percentage of corporate value would you attribute to a company if they had an extremely positive/high ESG rating?







 COVID-19 has increased institutional investors' focus on ESG.



Base (August): Global institutional investors (n-267), representing a total of over \$10trillion assets under management. Base (February): Global institutional investors (n-250), representing a total of over \$10trillion assets under management.







- According to the report by Morningstar (Q4 2021), assets in sustainable funds hit a record high of USD 1.258 billion as of the end of September 2020 with Europe surpassing the USD 1 trillion mark.
- Global sustainable fund assets expanded by 9% in the fourth quarter to USD 2.74 trillion at the end of December 2021.
- Investor's interest in ESG issues has been driving the increase in inflows the sustainable funds, there was a 12% increase relative to the third quarter (Q3 2021).

USD,Bn	Sustainable Fu	nds	Conventional Fu	nds	Overall Fund Un	iverse
2021	Q3*	04	03*	04	03	04
Allocation	16.3	16.6	29.2	21.6	45.5	38.3
Alternative	0.1	0.4	5.3	4.4	5.4	4.9
Commodities	0.0	0.2	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.9
Convertibles	0.4	0.4	0.4	-0.5	0.8	-0.1
Equity	56.0	70.0	12.6	15.0	68.6	84.9
Fixed Income	20.9	24.7	70.8	38.0	91.7	62.6
Miscellaneous	0.5	0.7	-0.6	0.2	0.0	0.8
Property	-0.1	0.1	2.4	2.9	2.3	3.0
Total	94.2	113.1	121.1	82.2	215.3	195.3

Exhibit 6 Sustainable Fund Flows Compared With Conventional Fund Flows (USD Billion)

Source: Morningstar Direct, Manager Research. Data as of December 2021. \* 0.3 data has been restated as explained above.







- Along with the growing interest from investors and institutional investors has come growing scrutiny.
- In Europe, the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) is a set of EU rules which aim to make the sustainability profile of funds more comparable and better understood by end-investors.
- In the U.S., the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has been considering to propose mandatory ESG disclosure requirements.

Where Will ESG Investing Be in We asked 10 experts to look into their crystal balls and predict the fate of socially responsible investing. They had some very different views. HNIC UNIVERSITY Business

- There is growing evidence that investors and financial intermediaries are increasingly factoring ESG assessments into investment decisions.
- There has been a growing influence of ESG data and ratings.
- Thus, the importance of quality of well-structured ESG data and ratings has been important.









#### What is ESG Data?



THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UN 香港理工大學





CCOUNTING

FINANCE

#### Which information? How to collect?









#### **Example: ESG Report**

reduction

of emissions

We've reduced Apple's overall carbon footprint by nearly 35 percent since our emissions peaked in 2015. And we've now committed to carbon

UN Climate Neutral Now

The United Nations recognized our achievements in reducing carbon emissions.

neutrality by 2030.



#### Operations

100%0 renewable We continue to use 100 percent electricity renewable electricity at Apple stores, data centers, and offices across

44 countries.

suppliers committed 70+ More than 70 suppliers have committed to clean energy to 100 percent renewable electricity for Apple production.

For our work to reduce carbon emissions, we were recognized for the sixth consecutive year in CDP's Climate Change "A List."

#### Design

100%0 recycled rare earth elements in iPhone The Teptic Engine in iPhone 11, Phone 11 Pro, and Phone 11 Pro Max is built from 100 percent recycled rare earth elements—a first for Apple and for any smartphone.

#### 40%

recycled content in MacBook Air The 13-inch MacBook Air with Retina display, introduced in July 2019, contains over 40 percent recycled content.

A+

Mind the Store Apple was the only retailer to receive the top rating in the "Mind the Store" campaign for our work to eliminate harmful chemicals in products and packaging.

PEOPLE







CDP A









### **ESG Rating Provider**

- The ESG Rating Provider (Agencies) are organizations that investigate a firm's environmental, social, and corporate governance policies to determine its sustainability.
- Given growing importance of ESG data and ratings, there have been a growing number of players. But, there are several key players.<sup>1</sup>

1. ISS acquired Oekom Research in 2018; MSCI acquired GMI Ratings in 2014 and Carbon Delta in 2019; Moody's acquisition of Vigeo Eiris in 2019; S&P acquired Trucost in 2016 and ESG rating business of RobecoSAM in 2019; Morningstar acquired Sustainalytics in 2020; Deutsche Borse;s acquisition of ISS in 2020.







#### ESG Rating Provider (cont.)

#### Table 1 - Main ESG Data and Ratings Providers

Research Process	Sustainalytics	MSCI	ISS ESG	V.E (Moody's)	S&P ESG	Refinitiv	FTSE Russell	CDP	RepRisk	Arabesque
Team Size	200+	270+	180+	120+	100+	150+	-	150+	100+	35
Coverage	12,000+	14,000+	6,000+	5,000+	7,000+	9,000+	7,000+	9,000+	170,000+ **	7,000+
Score Upper	100	AAA	A+	100	100	100	5	A	100 AAA	100
Score Lower	о	ссс	D-	0	0	0	0	F	1 D	0
Rating Cycle	Annual*	Annual*	Annual*	Annual*	Annual*	Weekly	Annual	Annual	Daily	Daily
Ratings Made Public	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Indices Supplied	Solactive, STOXX, S&P	MSCI, Bloomberg	STOXX, Solactive	Euronext	S&P, DJSI	Refinitiv	FTSE	Euronext, STOXX	FTSE, DowJones, S&P	S&P
Data Verification by Companies	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Second Party Opinion*	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

Yes

Source: SquareWell

\*Although full review of all rated companies is conducted annually, company information such as involvement in controversies is updated on a daily basis, which could lead to a score change any time during the year.

\*\*Includes private companies







### ESG Rating Provider (cont.)

#### Chart 1 – The Number of ESG Ratings and Data Providers Used By The Largest 50 Asset Managers











#### Institutional investors care...

 Sustainable investing is growing fast and mutual funds that invest according to ESG ratings experience sizable inflow (Hartzmark and Sussman, 2019, Journal of Finance)

#### The paper in one picture



Hartzmark and Sussman

CHICAGO BOOTH



Do Investors Value Sustainability?







#### Conflicts of Interest?

- In the past two years, U.S. firms in the financial-services sector have spent more than \$3.5 billion buying greenratings firms and data providers. (The Wall Street Journal)
- In many cases, firms that rate or evaluate companies based on issues such as climate risk also sell services to help companies address these issues.
- Like the credit rating industry, there can be potential conflicts of interests.



#### Conflicts of Interest?

- Morningstar gives out performance awards that are available for only to companies that pay it for an ESG assessment.
- Credit-rating firm's sales of ESG services create a further, set of potential conflicts.
- Moreover, credit-ratings agencies are more likely to be reluctant to downgrade companies that pay them big fees.



### Academic studies with ESG Rating

 There is a growing number of academic studies that rely on ESG ratings.

e.g. Servaes and Tamayo (2013), Flammer (2015, 2021), Albuquerque et al. (2018), Avramov et al. (2021)

- Flammer (2021, Journal of Financial Economics) examines corporate green bonds and finds that green bond issuers improve their environmental performance post issuance (an increase in the firm's ESG rating).
- Avramov et al. (2021, Journal of Financial Economics) analyzes the asset pricing and portfolio implications of an important barrier to sustainable investing: uncertainty about the corporate ESG profile. By employing the ESG ratings from six ESG rating provider as proxy for ESG uncertainty, they provide supporting evidence for model prediction.





#### Divergence of ESG ratings (Berg et al. (2022))

 Berg et al. (2022) document the rating divergence of environmental, social, and governance (ESG), based on six key ESG rating agencies: KLD, Sustainalytics, Moody's ESG, S&P Global, Refinitiv (Asset4), and MSCI.

#### Correlations between ESG Ratings

Correlations between ESG ratings at the aggregate rating level (ESG) and at the level of the environmental dimension (E), the social dimension (S), and the governance dimension (G) using the common sample. The results are similar using pairwise common samples based on the full sample. SA, SP, MO, RE, KL, and MS are short for Sustainalytics, S&P Global, Moody's ESG, Refinitiv, KLD, and MSCI, respectively.

	$\mathbf{KL}$	$\mathbf{KL}$	KL	KL	$\mathbf{KL}$	SA	SA	SA	SA	MO	MO	MO	SP	SP	RE	Average
	SA	MO	SP	RE	MS	MO	SP	$\mathbf{RE}$	MS	SP	$\mathbf{RE}$	MS	$\mathbf{RE}$	MS	MS	
ESG	0.53	0.49	0.44	0.42	0.53	0.71	0.67	0.67	0.46	0.7	0.69	0.42	0.62	0.38	0.38	0.54
E	0.59	0.55	0.54	0.54	0.37	0.68	0.66	0.64	0.37	0.73	0.66	0.35	0.7	0.29	0.23	0.53
s	0.31	0.33	0.21	0.22	0.41	0.58	0.55	0.55	0.27	0.68	0.66	0.28	0.65	0.26	0.27	0.42
G	0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.05	0.16	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.16	0.76	0.76	0.14	0.79	0.11	0.07	0.30







### Divergence of ESG ratings (cont.)

- Chatterji et al. (2016) provides two reasons for the divergence: (1) how ESG raters define what they intend to measure; (2) whether it is measured reliably.
- Christensen et al. (2021) examine whether a firm's ESG disclosure helps explain disagreement from ESG ratings. They find that ESG disclosure generally exacerbates ESG rating disagreement instead of resolving it.
- Brandon et al. (2021) has asked a fundamental question about whether ESG rating disagreement affects stock returns. They find that stock returns are positively related to ESG rating disagreement.







### Divergence of ESG ratings (cont.)

- Berg et al. (2022) argues that there are three distinct sources of divergence; (1) Scope divergence, (2) Measurement divergence, (3) Weight divergence
- They categorize 709 indicators provided by the different data provider into a common taxonomy of 64 categories.
- They find that measurement divergence is the main driver of ESG rating divergence. Furthermore, their findings show that the disagreement of ESG rating is mainly driven by a fundamental disagreement about the underlying data rather than a matter of varying definitions.







## Divergence of ESG ratings (cont.)

- ESG ratings from different providers significantly disagree.
- This disagreement has important consequences.
- 1. Difficult to evaluate the ESG performance of firms, funds, and portfolios
- 2. ESG rating divergence can discourage firm from improving their ESG performance. (Mixed signals from rating agencies  $\rightarrow$  lead to underinvestment)
- 3. The divergence of ratings poses a challenge for empirical research, as using one rater versus another may alter a study's results and conclusion!







# Thank you for your attention!

- Munhee Han







#### Reference

- Boffo, R., and R. Patalano (2020), "ESG Investing: Practices, Progress and Challenges", OECD Paris.
- GSIA (2021), "Global Sustainable Investment Review 2020", Global Sustainable Investment Alliance.
- Morningstar Manager Research (2022), "Global Sustainable Fund Flows—Q4 2021 | January 2022", Morningstar.
- Hirai, A., and A. Brady (2021), "Managing ESG Data and Rating Risk", Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance
- Berg, F., Koelbel, J., Rigobon, R., (2022), "Aggregate confusion: the divergence of ESG ratings", Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- "Where Will ESG Investing Be in Five Years?" The Wall Street Journal, November 18, 2021







#### Reference

- Chatterji, A. K., Durand, R., Levine, D. I., and Touboul, S. (2016). Do ratings of firms converge? Implications for managers, investors and strategy researchers. Strategic Management Journal, 37(8):1597–1614.
- Christensen, D. M., Serafeim, G., and Sikochi, A. (2021). Why is corporate virtue in the eye of the beholder? The case of ESG ratings. The Accounting Review.







