Subject Code	ENGL2016				
Subject Title	Language in Social Contexts				
Credit Value	3				
Level	2				
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	None				
Objectives	This core proficiency subject develops English language and communication skills while introducing students to the core issues about the social dimensions of language. In particular, the course explores answers to the following question: how does language affect society and, in reverse, how does society affect language? The subject canvasses some of the main themes in contemporary sociolinguistics, especially multilingualism in society, English as a world language, and language variation as it concerns dialects, gender, and speech communities. This subject enables students to develop the English language and communication skills needed to understand and produce autonomous, academic discourses – both in written and spoken forms – that will serve them as students of the Faculty of Humanities.				
Intended Learning Outcomes	<ul> <li>Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:</li> <li>Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills <ul> <li>a. practice their literacy skills by reading relevant academic texts and writing reflections on these texts.</li> <li>b. communicate effectively in academic discussions, group work, and presentations relevant to the humanities.</li> <li>c. apply key sociolinguistic concepts to develop reasoning and argumentation skills.</li> <li>d. reflect critically on their own language and communication development.</li> <li>e. identify, analyse, and discuss key themes and issues in contemporary sociolinguistics.</li> <li>f. show aptitude for independent and collaborative critical thinking.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness <ul> <li>g. work creatively, both independently and as a member of a team.</li> <li>h. demonstrate a global outlook and an awareness of cultural diversity as it relates to language in society.</li> <li>i. develop the ability to appreciate and analyse ambiguous real-life</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				

Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	<ol> <li>Domains of language use.</li> <li>Code choice, diglossia, code-switching/code-mixing.</li> <li>Languages vs. dialects, including regional and social dialects.</li> <li>Style and register: addressee as an influence on style (special focus on speech accommodation theory).</li> <li>Speech communities.</li> <li>Linguistic varieties and multilingual nations: vernacular vs. standard languages, world Englishes, lingua francas, pidgins, and creoles.</li> <li>Attitudes towards varieties of English: overt vs. covert prestige, standard vs. vernacular forms.</li> <li>Introduction to language and gender.</li> </ol>						
Teaching/ Learning Methodology	Teaching and learning is oriented toward developing students' academic English language and communication skills, with contemporary sociolinguistics as the topic of inquiry. In this way, ENGL2016 functions according to the tenets of a content-based proficiency course. The lectures are supported by the guided reading of a course book which introduces students to core issues by discussing seminal sociolinguistic research. Students are expected to engage with and discuss assigned readings from the course book throughout the semester; moreover, further exploration of these topics takes place through written and oral discussion. During the seminar portion of the subject, students apply their understanding of new concepts and ideas learned in class while practicing their language and communication skills. Through guided advancement of language and communication skills in a sheltered classroom setting, this subject trains students to engage with academic literature that is relevant to the humanities. As students' language and communication skills will improve at a faster rate through frequent deployment of these skills, active participation is required and reflected in the overall assessment.						
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific assessment methods/tasks       % weighting       Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)         a       b       c       d       e       f       g       h       i						

		1	1	1	r	1	1		1	1	-
	1. Midterm test	35%			~		~	~	~	~	~
	2. Final Assessment	50%	~		~	~	~		~	~	~
	3. Class Participation	15%	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
	Total	100 %									
	Assessment for the examining the stu- humanities. The mid-term test sociolinguistic co- The final assessm concepts in sociol judgement and cle language use scen Oral communicati assessed via the cl interactive tasks st activities. These activities and the fulfillment of language and com foundation for stu- skills and written in future subjects	dents' langu assesses stu ncepts cover ent also mea inguistics, al ear expressio arios. on and other ass participa uch as group nd assessmen the subject's imunication dents' long-t	age a dent ed so sure long on w forr tion disc nts co inte requ term nat w	and co s' cor o far c s stud with hen a grade ussio ontrib nded ireme devel ill all	omm npre on th ents their pply writt e, wh ns ar ute, learn nts. lopm ow t	unic hense e co ' unc abil ing t ten c iich : nd re iin an ning Mor ment hem	sion urse derst lity t them on int outc eove of hi	n ski of th andi o use i to c nuni ides tive egra come er, th gher	ills for ne key ng of e prece letaile cation a vari writin ted m es and iey pro- r-orde	core ise an are ety of anner, the ovide r thinl	to the cing
Student Study Effort Expected	Class contact:										
	Lectures     26 Hr								6 Hrs.		
	Seminars     13 Hrs.								3 Hrs.		
	Other student stud	ly effort:									

	<ul> <li>Class preparation (including reading)</li> </ul>	44 Hrs.					
	<ul> <li>Assessment preparation</li> </ul>	40 Hrs.					
	Total student study effort12						
Reading List and References							
	<ul> <li>Holmes, J. and Wilson, N. (2017). <i>An Introduction to Sociolinguistics</i> (5<sup>th</sup> edition). London, U.K.: Taylor and Francis.</li> <li>Indicative in-class resources</li> </ul>						
	Albury, N., Eisenchlas, S. & Schalley, A. (2018, February 2 heritage is a child's right, so let's celebrate International Language Day. <u>http://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-02-20/l</u> <u>speak-the-languages-of-their-ancestors/9446860?section=</u>	Mother et-children-					
	<ul> <li>Eades, D. (2012). The social consequences of language ideologies in courtroom cross-examination. <i>Language in Society</i>, <i>41</i>(04), 471-497</li> <li>Grosjean, F. (2017, July 28). Maybe you are bilingual after all! <u>https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/opinion_maybe-you-are-bilingual-after all/43361136</u></li> </ul>						
	Henry, K-A. (2018, January 28). Saying goodbye to sexist la <u>https://www.themiamihurricane.com/2018/01/28/saying-g</u> sexist-language/						
	Hilgendorf, S. K. (2007). English in Germany: contact, sprea attitudes. <i>World Englishes</i> , 26(2), 131-148.	ad and					
	Pavlenko, A. (2017, April 12). Misunderstanding in the Mul Workplace. <u>https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/life</u> <u>bilingual/201704/misunderstanding-in-the-multilingual-w</u>	=					
	South China Morning Post. (2018, February 5). English skill essential for Hong Kong to remain a 'world city'. http://www.scmp.com/comment/letters/article/2132085/es are-essential-hong-kong-remain-world-city						
	Tsang, G. & Shan, M. O. (2017, August 5). Time to change I language policy so city can succeed. <u>http://www.scmp.com/comment/letters/article/2105454/ti</u> <u>hong-kongs-language-policy-so-city-can-succeed</u>						

	Wang, X., & Chong, S. L. (2011). A hierarchical model for language maintenance and language shift: focus on the Malaysian Chinese community. <i>Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development</i> , 32(6), 577-591.
--	--

Edited by Scott Daly, June 2023