Subject Code	ENGL2003				
Subject Title	English for Advanced Academic Writing				
Credit Value	3				
Level	2				
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil				
Objectives	This is one of the core English proficiency subject which explicitly focuses on developing students' academic writing skills. Students taking this subject will already have followed the subjects <i>English for Effective Communication, English for Academic Communication,</i> and will have an advanced level in listening, speaking, reading and writing, in both academic and business contexts. This subject will elevate students to an advanced level in academic writing. The subject aims to develop students' advanced literacy skills and a critical understanding of the nature and function of academic discourse in the context of research reports. Students will learn to construct texts that deal with supporting or conflicting points of views on issues of academic and research interest.				
Intended Learning Outcomes	 Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills a. analyze academic composition processes; b. understand different models and genres of academic writing; c. utilize techniques of drafting and revising; d. attend to textual organization, style, correctness, and visual layout according to the needs of different academic contexts; e. formulate research problems and questions; f. select, organize and present research ideas and arguments in a logical and effective manner; g. write academic research papers with appropriate means of argumentation; h. produce academic texts with appropriate linguistic and discoursal sophistication. Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness i. derive enjoyment and self-satisfaction through the self-study of English: 				
	Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness				

	k. pursue develop		, lear	ning	for	perso	onal	and	prof	essio	nal		
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	 Critical Knowle Writing Abstract Draftin Acader Argume Acader structure Acader 	edge and g with sc eting, pa g and re nic disco ent deve nic lang ure	l info ource raph visin ourse lopn uage	orma s rasin g e stru hent : voo	tion ag, su cture proce cabul	searc imm e and esses lary (arizi l org choio	aniza	ation			nce	
Teaching/ Learning Methodology	The teaching interactive, and cooperative intereports in vi- social/political interests will b	d reflecter-depe isual at /econon	tive. nder nd nic is	The nt lea print ssues	re is rnin fo , as	a b g. A rms, well	alano cade ma as a	ce of mic ajor udic	f ind texta loc visu	ividu s and al a ual a	ual ef l prof und of ids of	fort a ession	nd nal eas
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific Assessment methods/ tasks1. Writing Task 12. Writing Task 23. Attendance and participationTotalThe main pu understanding learned to proor research intere for learning and philosophy in The first writin narrowed down gives students	of the luce aca st. The s well a the way	cours adem sylla as <i>of</i> y the s an	b	c	d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	e tasks abilit apers ants acad	f	to app topio smen	h mea oly w cs of e that t tas	i v sure vhat t acad asses ks re vhich	hey h emic ssmen flect they l	k

	The second task asks them to expand the outline into a full of 1,500–1,800 words. This gives students the opportunity their mastery of academic writing techniques while compl text. For the attendance and participation grade, students will be their attendance and their active engagement in classroom discussion. Some of the larger tasks will be formally integr participation grade, for example, a peer feedback activity v are asked to review and offer constructive comments on a writing task 1 submission. Other tasks that could be integra participation grade include contributing to Blackboard disc and performing short, in-class writing activities.	to demonstrate leting a finished e marked on tasks and rated into the where students classmate's ated into the
Student Study	Class contact:	
Effort Expected	Seminars	39 Hrs.
	Other student study effort:	
	Private study	58 Hrs.
	■ Take-home assignments	29 Hrs.
	Total student study effort	126 Hrs.
Reading List and References	 Reading List Bell, J. (2005). Doing Your Research Project: A Guide fr Researchers in Education, Health and Social Scie Philadelphia: Open University Press. Cooper, S. (2004). Writing Logically, Thinking Critically New York: Pearson/Longman. Hahn, L. & Dickerson, W. (1999). Speechcraft Workbook, Discourse. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Mid Harmon, C. (2000). Using the Internet, Online Servic ROMs for Writing Research and Term Papers New York; London: Neal-Schuman. Mcllroy, D. (2003). Studying @ University: How To Be Student. London & Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. Merrigan, G. (2004). Communication Research Method CA: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning. Mitchell, S. & Andrews, R. (Eds.) (2000). Learning to Arg Education. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook: Heir 	ence (4 th Ed.). (4 th edition). for Academic chigan Press. ces, and CD- (2 nd edition). e a Successful ds. Belmont, gue in Higher

[]	Ruane, J. M. (2005). Essentials of Research Methods: A Guide to Social
	Science Research. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
	Sotiriou, P. E. (2002). Integrating College Study Skills: Reasoning in
	Reading, Listening, and Writing (6th edition). Belmont, CA:
	Wadsworth Thomson Learning.
	Swales, J. M. & Feak, C. B. (2000). English in Today's Research
	World: A Writing Guide. Ann Arbor, MI: University of
	Michigan Press.
	Upton, T. A. (2004). Reading Skills for Success: A Guide to Academic
	Texts. Ann Arbor, MI.: University of Michigan Press.
	Wingersky, J. (2004). Writing Sentences and Paragraphs: Integrating
	Reading, Writing, and Grammar Skills. Boston, MA: Thomson
	Wadsworth.
	Authentic materials produced by the English Department

Revised by Scott Daly, June 2023