The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Subject Description Form

Subject Code	ELC3421
Subject Title	English for Construction and Environmental Professionals
Credit Value	3
Level	3
Pre-requisite	LCR English subjects
Objectives	This subject aims to develop the English language skills required by students to communicate effectively in their future careers.
Intended Learning Outcomes (Note 1)	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. plan, organise and produce effective workplace correspondence; b. interact professionally in job interviews; c. participate actively in workplace discussions; and d. plan, organise and produce professional technical documents. To achieve the above outcomes, students are expected to use language and text structure appropriate to the context, select information critically, and present and support stance and opinion.
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	This syllabus is indicative. The balance of the components, and the corresponding weighting, will be based on the specific needs of the students.
(Note 2)	1. Professional portfolio and technical documents Improving comprehension of technical texts; understanding and applying organisation structures and language features to produce professional technical documents such as proposals and portfolios; achieving cohesion and coherence; using an appropriate style, format, structure and layout.
	2. Job interviews and work-related discussions Practising the specific verbal and non-verbal skills required in job-seeking interviews for communication with potential employers, and in workplace discussions with a range of participants such as co-workers, clients and staff of government departments.
	3. Workplace correspondence Selecting and using relevant content; organising ideas and information; maintaining appropriate tone, distance and level of formality; achieving cohesion and coherence; using an appropriate style, format, structure and layout.
	4. Language appropriacy Using context-sensitive language in spoken and written English.
	5. Language development Improving and extending relevant features of grammar, vocabulary and pronunciation.

Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3) Assessment	The study method is primarily seminar-based. Activities include teacher input as well as individual and group work involving drafting and evaluating texts, mini-presentations, discussions and simulations. Contexts that involve the different fields of construction, surveying and property management, and environmental management will be used in the teaching and learning activities. Students will be referred to information on the Internet and the ELC's Centre for Independent Language Learning. Learning materials developed by the English Language Centre are used throughout this course. Additional reference materials will be recommended as required.								
Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning	Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)						
Outcomes			a	b	С	d			
(Note 4)	1. Workplace correspondence	30%			✓				
	2. Job interview and discussion	40%	√	✓					
	3. Professional portfolio for chartered assessment	30%				✓			
	Total	100 %							
	This subject adopts the me and writing skills are evaluoutcome areas. Students at	es: ethod of 100% uated through re assessed o	of the assessment methods in assessing the own out of class assessment. Students' oral gh assessment tasks related to the learning on the accuracy and the appropriacy of the ment tasks, as well as the selection and						
Student Study	Class contact:								
Effort Expected	Online seminars					39 hrs.			
	Other student study effort:								
	 Classwork-related, assessment-related, and self- access work 					78 hrs.			
	Total student study effort 117 hrs.						7 hrs.		
Reading List and References	Required reading								
	Course materials prepared by the English Language Centre								
	Recommended readings								

- Beer, D. F. (2015). Writing and speaking in the technology professions: A practical guide (2nd ed.). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119134633
- De Robbio, M., Curlis, J. Tosi, G., Garner, S., Kilgour, L., Grossi, L., & Adam, M. (2010). Video Education Australasia. *Answering interview questions*. VEA.
- Hoevemever, V. A. & Falcone, Paul. (2017). *High-Impact Interview Questions*. AMACOM
- Houp, K. W., Pearsall, T. E., Tebeaux, E. & Dragga, S. (2006). *Reporting technical information* (11th ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Johnson-Sheehan, R. (2008). *Writing proposals* (2nd ed.). New York: Pearson/Longman.
- Kilgore, D., Sattler, B. & Turns, J. (2013). From fragmentation to continuity: engineering students making sense of experience through the development of a professional portfolio. *Studies in Higher Education (Dorchester-on Thames)*, 38(6), 807–826. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.610501
- Lindsell-Roberts, S. (2004). *Strategic business letters and e-mail*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Northey, M. & Jewinski, J. (2009). *Making sense: A student's guide to research and writing: Engineering and the technical sciences* (3rd ed.). Don Mills, Ontario: Oxford University Press.
- Reep, D. C. (2011). *Technical Writing: Principles, strategies and readings* (8th ed.). Boston: Longman.

Students are encouraged to use the range of services and initiatives provided by the ELC including our <u>Speaking Assistance Programme</u>, <u>Writing Assistance Programme</u>, <u>workshops</u>, and <u>Open Online Courses</u>.