Subject Description Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Code</th>
<th>APSS5778</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject Title</td>
<td>Advanced Seminars in Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Value</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion</td>
<td>2 Required Subjects in specialism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Methods</th>
<th>100% Continuous Assessment</th>
<th>Individual Assessment</th>
<th>Group Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reflective Notes</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consultation &amp; Seminar Presentation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Term paper</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objectives

The subject is designed with the view to heighten students’ awareness of the complexity and multifarious forces e.g. societal, organization, personal and professional, impinging on practitioners e.g. social workers, counselors, teachers, therapists and administrators etc. in human services. The sources and nature of the resulting tension are identified leading to a widened perspective on the roles of the practitioners, and expanded repertoire of adaptive functioning in the context of the practitioners’ life-worlds and work settings.

Intended Learning Outcomes

Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:

a. develop a reflective and reflexive stance facing the complexity of practice situations in human services;

b. gain perspective of various issues in human services including the use of self, the moral and emotional dimensions of practice;

c. understand the nature and importance of practice wisdom;

d. develop a dialectical approach in examining intra- and inter-personal dynamics at work in human services;

e. identify the various inhibiting forces at work in the contemporary scene and to develop resilient adaptation in providing quality human services.

---

1 In the context of the MA in Social Work course, referents and examples would be drawn mainly from social work. Students in other fields of human services can reflect on their experiences and use it for discussion and presentation.
| Subject Synopsis/Indicative Syllabus | 1. Self in action in human services  
- Examining the ‘self in action’  
- Developing reflexive practice  
2. Spirituality and hope in human services  
- Understanding the spiritual dimension and its importance  
- Understanding hope and its importance  
3. Understanding practice wisdom  
- Understanding practice wisdom and its importance  
- Bringing back the element of time and the importance of *kairos*  
4. Orality and Literacy in human services  
- Understanding the differences between orality and literacy  
- Differential use of oral/literate mindsets and modes of communication  
5. Dialectics in human services  
- Understanding dialectics  
- Embracing polarities in life and practice  
- Working with polarities and opposite forces  
6. Challenges and Opportunities in human services  
- Examining the challenges and opportunities in the contemporary scene  
- Developing resilient adaptation  
- Practicing at the interface of ideals and reality |

| Teaching/Learning Methodology | Lectures will be given to provide understanding of key issues and concepts as well as to stimulate self-reflection and integrative thinking. Students will be asked to study and present their understanding of a chosen topic in the context of their life worlds and practice settings. By writing reflective notes and academic papers, they are provided with opportunities to exercise their intellectual, aesthetic and moral capacities as helping agents in human services. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Specific assessment methods/tasks</th>
<th>% weighting</th>
<th>Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Reflective notes</td>
<td>30 %</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consultation &amp; Presentation</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Written academic paper</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes:

- the reflective notes encourages open, authentic review and reflection on feelings, struggles, assumptions and values in relation to the practice issues raised, and to generate insights for personal and professional development; openness and ingenuity are encouraged with this form of more exploratory and open-ended assignments;
- consultation with subject teacher provide guidance to students in examining rigorously a chosen topic of their interest; students would then present their findings in seminar presentation; feedbacks from classmates and teacher in the seminars would further stimulate students for critical reflection and to generate alternative views and ideas; hence dialogue, discussion and debate in oral form with visual aids are appropriate;
- upon hearing feedbacks from teacher and classmates, the student refines his or her work and presents it in the form of an academic paper; hence written work is required.

*outcomes c, d, & e would vary in emphasis among individual students according to their chosen pieces of reflective notes and topic of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Study Effort Expected</th>
<th>Class contact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lecture</td>
<td>21 Hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar</td>
<td>18 Hrs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other student study effort:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading course materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation with subject teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation and writing up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total student study effort 109 Hrs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium of Instruction</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium of Assessment</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading List and References</th>
<th>Essential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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