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## 1. Introduction

Hankel tensors have important applications in signal processing [2, 3, 7, 10], automatic control [28], and geophysics [20, 30]. For example, the positive semidefiniteness of Hankel tensor can be a condition for the multidimensional moment problem is solvable or not [4, 16, 24].

In [23], two classes of positive semi-definite (PSD) Hankel tensors were identified: even order strong Hankel tensors and even order complete Hankel tensors. It was proved in [18] that complete Hankel tensors are strong Hankel tensors, and even order strong Hankel tensors are SOS (sum-of-squares) tensors. In [17], generalized anti-circulant tensors were studied, which are one special class of Hankel tensors. The necessary and sufficient conditions for positive semi-definiteness of even order generalized anti-circulant tensors in some cases were given, and the tensors are strong Hankel tensors and SOS tensors in these cases. Inheritance property was given in [11], which means that if a lower-order Hankel tensor is positive semidefinite (or positive definite, or negative semi-definite, or negative definite, or SOS), then its associated higher-order Hankel tensor with the same generating vector, where the higher order is a multiple of the lower order, is also positive semi-definite (or positive definite, or negative semi-definite, or negative definite, or SOS, respectively). The SOS decomposition of strong Hankel tensors have also been given in [11]. The inheritance property established in [23] about strong Hankel tensor can be regarded as a special case of this inheritance property. There are other results about PSD Hankel tensors, SOS Hankel tensors and PNS non-SOS (short for PNS as in [8]) Hankel tensors and some regions which do not exist PNS Hankel tensors were given [6]. A recent detailed study on general SOS tensors can be found in [5].

Denote $[n]:=\{1, \cdots, n\}$. The tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a symmetric tensor if its entries $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}$ is invariant under any index permutation. Denote the set of all the real symmetric tensors of order $m$ and dimension $n$ by $S_{m, n}$.
Let $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, \cdots, v_{(n-1) m}\right)^{\top}$. Define $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in S_{m, n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}=v_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}-m}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m} \in[n]$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hankel tensor $[18,21,23]$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is called the generating vector of $\mathcal{A}$. An order $m$ dimensional $n$ Hilbert tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hankel tensor with $\mathbf{v}=\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n m}\right)^{\top}$, and even order Hilbert tensors are positive definite [29].
Let $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{n}$. Then $\mathbf{x}^{m}$ is a rank-one symmetric tensor with entries $x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}$. For $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m, n}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{n}$, we have a homogeneous polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ of $n$ variables and degree $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m} \equiv \sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that there is a one to one relation between homogeneous polynomials and symmetric tensors. If $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{n}$, then homogeneous polynomial
$f(\mathbf{x})$ and symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}$ are called positive semi-definite(PSD). If $f(\mathbf{x})>0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{n}, \mathbf{x} \neq 0$, then $f(x)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are called positive definite (PD). The concepts of positive semi-definite and positive definite symmetric tensors were introduced in [22]. The problem for determining a given even order symmetric tensor is positive semi-definite or not has important applications in engineering and science $[10,15,27]$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hankel tensor, then $f(\mathbf{x})$ is called a Hankel polynomial.
Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $\left\lceil\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}\right\rceil \times\left\lceil\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}\right\rceil$ matrix with $a_{i j} \equiv v_{i+j-2}$, where $v_{2\left\lceil\frac{(n-1) m}{2}\right\rceil}$ is an additional number when $(n-1) m$ is odd. Then $A$ is a Hankel matrix, associated with the Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$. When $(n-1) m$ is even, such an associated Hankel matrix is unique. Recall from [23] that $\mathcal{A}$ is called a strong Hankel tensor if there exists an associated Hankel matrix $A$ which is positive semi-definite.
Let $g(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{y}^{\top} A \mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}}\right)^{\top}$ and $A$ is an associated Hankel matrix of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor if and only if $g$ is PSD for at least one associated Hankel matrix $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

Another class of Hankel tensors given by Qi in [23] are complete Hankel tensors. A vector $\mathbf{u}=\left(1, \gamma, \gamma^{2}, \cdots, \gamma^{n-1}\right)^{\top}$ for some $\gamma \in \Re$ is called a Vandermonde vector [23]. If tensor $\mathcal{A}$ has the form

$$
\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i \in[r]} \alpha_{i}\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{\otimes m},
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, are all Vandermonde vectors, then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ has a Vandermonde decomposition. It was shown in [23] that a symmetric tensor is a Hankel tensor if and only if it has a Vandermonde decomposition. If the coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, are all nonnegative, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a complete Hankel tensor [23]. It was proved in [23] that even order strong or complete Hankel tensors are positive semi-definite.
Let $m=2 k$. If $f(\mathbf{x})$ can be decomposed into a sum of squares of polynomials of degree $k$, then $f(\mathbf{x})$ is called a sum-of-squares (SOS) polynomial, which means that there exist forms $g_{1}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, g_{k}(\mathbf{x})$ of degree $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}(\mathbf{x})^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is called an SOS tensor [14] (for a recent study see also [5]).
Clearly, an SOS polynomial (tensor) is a PSD polynomial (tensor), but not vice versa. In 1888, young Hilbert [13] proved that for homogeneous polynomials, only in the following three cases, a positive semi-definite form definitely is a sum-ofsquares polynomial: (1) $m=2$; (2) $n=2$; (3) $m=4$ and $n=3$, where $m$ is the degree of the polynomial and $n$ is the number of variables. Hilbert proved that in
all the other possible combinations of $n$ and even $m$, there are PNS homogeneous polynomials. The first PNS homogeneous polynomial is the Motzkin function [19] with $m=6$ and $n=3$. Other examples of PNS homogeneous polynomials was found in $[1,8,9,26]$.
Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m, n}$. If there are positive integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and vectors $\mathbf{x}_{j} \in \Re^{n}$ for $j \in[r]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\sum_{j \in[r]} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\otimes m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely decomposable tensor. If $\mathcal{A}$ admits a decomposition (4) with $\mathbf{x}_{j} \in \Re_{+}^{n}$ for all $j \in[r]$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a completely positive tensor [25]. Clearly, a complete Hankel tensor is a completely decomposable tensor.
By [23], a necessary condition for $\mathcal{A}$ to be PSD is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{(i-1) m} \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \in[n] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know many properties of strong Hankel tensors. However, we know little about PSD Hankel tensors but not strong Hankel tensors. In this paper, we present some classes of Hankel tensors which are PSD but not strong Hankel tensors, including truncated Hankel tensors and quasi-truncated Hankel tensors. Then we show that strong Hankel tensors are always completely decomposable, and give a class of SOS Hankel tensors which are not completely decomposable.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows.
In the next section, we introduce truncated Hankel tensors which are of odd dimension, i.e., $n$ is odd, and whose generating vector $v$ has only three nonzero entries: $v_{0}, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}$ and $v_{(n-1) m}$. Since we are only concerned about PSD Hankel tensors, by (5), we assume that these three entries are all nonnegative. Under this assumption, we show that a truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is not a strong Hankel tensor as long as $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}$ is positive. Then we show that when $m$ is even, $n=3$ and $v_{0}=v_{2 m}$, there are two numbers $d_{1}=d_{1}(m)$ and $d_{2}=d_{2}(m)$ with $0<d_{2} \leq d_{1}$, such that if $v_{0} \geq d_{1} v_{m}, \mathcal{A}$ is an SOS tensor; and if $v_{0} \geq d_{2}, \mathcal{A}$ is an PSD tensor. Then, for $m=6$ and $n=3$, we show that for a truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$, the following three statements are equivalent: (1) $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathrm{PSD} ;(2) \mathcal{A}$ is SOS; (3) $v_{0} v_{12} \geq v_{6}^{2} d ;$ and we give an explicit value of $d$.

In Section 3, we introduce quasi-truncated Hankel tensors which are of odd dimension, i.e., $n$ is odd, and whose generating vector $v$ has only five nonzero entries: $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}, v_{(n-1) m-1}$ and $v_{(n-1) m}$. Again, since we are only concerned about PSD Hankel tensors, by (5), we assume that $v_{0}, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}$ and $v_{(n-1) m}$ are nonnegative. Under this assumption, we show that a quasi-truncated Hankel tensor is not a strong Hankel tensor as long as $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}$ is positive. Then we give a necessary condition for a sixth order quasi-truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ to be PSD, a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{A}$ to be SOS, respectively.

In Section 4, we define completely decomposable tensors, show that strong Hankel tensors generated by absoluate integrable functions are always completely decomposable, and give a class of SOS Hankel tensors which are not completely decomposable.

## 2. Truncated Hankel Tensors

In this section, we consider the cases that the Hankel tensors $\mathcal{A}$ are generated by $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{(n-1) m}\right)^{\top}$ where $n$ is odd. We call such Hankel tensors truncated Hankel tensors.
If $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{(n-1) m}\right)^{\top}$ where $n$ is odd, $(2)$ and $g(\mathbf{y})$ have the simple form

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mathbf{x})= & v_{0} x_{1}^{m}+v_{(n-1) m} x_{n}^{m} \\
& +v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}^{2} \sum\left\{\begin{array}{c}
m \\
t_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{m-t_{1}}{t_{2}} \cdots\binom{m-t_{1}-t_{2} \cdots-t_{n-2}}{t_{n-1}} x_{1}^{t_{1}} x_{2}^{t_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{m-t_{1}-t_{2}-\cdots-t_{n-1}} \\
& \left.:(n-1) t_{1}+(n-2) t_{2}+\cdots+t_{n-1}=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\mathbf{y})= & v_{0} y_{1}^{2}+v_{(n-1) m} y_{\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}}^{2} \\
& +v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}\left(y_{\frac{(n-1) m}{4}+1}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left\{y_{i} y_{j}: i+j=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}+2\right\}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are only concerned about PSD Hankel tensors, we may assume that (5) holds. From (6) and (7), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that (5) holds. If $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}=0$, then the truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor, and furthermore an SOS Hankel tensor if $m$ is even. If $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}>0$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is not a strong Hankel tensor.

Proof. When $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}=0$, from (6) and (7), we see that the truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor, and furthermore an SOS Hankel tensor if $m$ is even. If $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}>0$, consider $\overline{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{j}$ where $i+j=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}+2, i \neq j$ and $i \neq 1$ or $\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}$. We see that $g(\overline{\mathbf{y}})=-2 v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}<0$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is not a strong Hankel tensor in this case.

We consider the case that $m \geq 6$ with $m$ is even and $n=3$. Assume that $v_{0}=v_{2 m}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right)$ where $m \geq 6, m$ is even and $n=3$ is a truncated Hankel tensor and (5) holds. Assume that $v_{0}=v_{2 m}$. Let $\mathbf{v}=$ $\left(v_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{m}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{0}\right)^{\top}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}(m)=\inf \left\{d>0: \mathcal{A} \text { is an SOS tensor for all } \mathbf{v} \text { such that } v_{0} \geq d v_{m}\right\}, \\
& d_{2}(m)=\inf \left\{d>0: \mathcal{A} \text { is a PSD tensor for all } \mathbf{v} \text { such that } v_{0} \geq d v_{m}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (1) $d_{2}(m)=d_{1}(m)=0$ if $v_{m}=0$; (2) $0 \leq d_{2}(m) \leq d_{1}(m)<+\infty$ if $v_{m}>0$.
Proof. If $v_{m}=0$, it is clear that $f(\mathbf{x})=v_{0} x_{1}^{m}+v_{0} x_{3}^{m}$ is SOS (and in particular PSD) because $v_{0}, v_{2 m} \geq 0$ and $m$ is even. Thus, $d_{1}(m)=d_{2}(m)=0$ in this case. Now, let us consider the case where $v_{m}>0$. We rewrite (6) as

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=f_{1}(\mathbf{x})+f_{2}(\mathbf{x})+f_{3}(\mathbf{x})
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{v_{m}}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}}\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p}\left(x_{1}^{p}+x_{3}^{p}\right)^{2}, \\
f_{2}(\mathbf{x})=v_{0} x_{1}^{m}+\frac{v_{m}}{2} x_{2}^{m}-\frac{v_{m}}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}}\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p} x_{1}^{2 p}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
f_{3}(\mathbf{x})=v_{0} x_{3}^{m}+\frac{v_{m}}{2} x_{2}^{m}-\frac{v_{m}}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}}\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p} x_{3}^{2 p} .
$$

Clearly, $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is PSD and SOS. We now consider the terms in $f_{2}(\mathbf{x})$. For each $p=1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}$, choose a positive constant $\delta(p)$ such that

$$
1-\sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{m-2 p}{m} \delta(p)>0
$$

For each $p=1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}$, let $\Delta(p)$ be another positive constant such that

$$
\Delta(p)^{\frac{2 p}{m}} \delta(p)^{\frac{m-2 p}{m}}=\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} .
$$

Then, by the arithmetic-geometric inequality, for each $p=1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p} x_{1}^{2 p} & =\left(\delta(p) x_{2}^{m}\right)^{\frac{m-2 p}{m}}\left(\Delta(p) x_{1}^{m}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{m}} \\
& \leq \frac{m-2 p}{m}\left(\delta(p) x_{2}^{m}\right)+\frac{2 p}{m}\left(\Delta(p) x_{1}^{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that, for each $p=1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}$,

$$
\frac{m-2 p}{m}\left(\delta(p) x_{2}^{m}\right)+\frac{2 p}{m}\left(\Delta(p) x_{1}^{m}\right)-\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p} x_{1}^{2 p}
$$

is a PSD diagonal minus tail form, and hence $\operatorname{SOS}$ [12]. Note that $f_{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}(\mathbf{x})= & \frac{v_{m}}{2}\left(1-\sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{m-2 p}{m} \delta(p)\right) x_{2}^{m} \\
& +\frac{v_{m}}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}}\left(\frac{m-2 p}{m} \delta(p) x_{2}^{m}-\binom{m}{p}\binom{m-p}{m-2 p} x_{2}^{m-2 p} x_{1}^{2 p}+\frac{2 p}{m} \Delta(p) x_{1}^{m}\right) \\
& +v_{0} x_{1}^{m}-\frac{v_{m}}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{2 p}{m} \Delta(p) x_{1}^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
v_{0} \geq \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{p}{m} \Delta(p) v_{m}
$$

then $f_{2}$ is PSD and SOS. Similarly, we may show that under the same condition, $f_{3}$ is also PSD and SOS. This, in particular, shows that

$$
0 \leq d_{2}(m) \leq d_{1}(m) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{p}{m} \Delta(p)<+\infty
$$

We consider the simple case that the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ are sixth order three dimensional truncated Hankel tensors. Here we allow $v_{0} \neq v_{12}$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition that the sixth order three dimensional truncated Hankel tensors to be PSD, and show that such tensors are PSD if and only if they are SOS.
The sixth order three dimensional truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, 0,0,0,0,0, v_{6}, 0,0,0,0,0, v_{12}\right)^{\top}$. Now, (6) and (7) have the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+v_{6}\left(x_{2}^{6}+30 x_{1} x_{2}^{4} x_{3}+90 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}+20 x_{1}^{3} x_{3}^{3}\right)+v_{12} x_{3}^{6} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathbf{y})=v_{0} y_{1}^{2}+v_{6}\left(y_{4}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{7}+2 y_{2} y_{6}+2 y_{3} y_{5}\right)+v_{12} y_{7}^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a sixth order three dimensional truncated Hankel tensor, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) The truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is a PSD Hankel tensor;
(ii) The truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is an SOS Hankel tensor;
(iii) The relation (5) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}} \geq(560+70 \sqrt{70}) v_{6} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite if and only if $v_{0}, v_{6}, v_{12}>0$ and strict inequality holds in (10).

Proof. $\left[(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow\right.$ (iii)] Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is PSD, then clearly $v_{0}, v_{6}, v_{12} \geq 0$. To see (iii), we only need to show (10) holds. Let $t \geq 0$ and let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \bar{x}_{3}\right)^{\top}$, where

$$
\bar{x}_{1}=v_{12}^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \bar{x}_{2}=\sqrt{t}\left(v_{0} v_{12}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}}, \quad \bar{x}_{3}=-v_{0}^{\frac{1}{6}} .
$$

Substitute them to (8). If $\mathcal{A}$ is PSD, then $f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \geq 0$. It follows from (8) that

$$
v_{0} v_{12}+v_{6}\left(t^{3}-30 t^{2}+90 t-20\right) \sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}+v_{0} v_{12} \geq 0
$$

From this, we have

$$
\sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}} \geq \frac{-t^{3}+30 t^{2}-90 t+20}{2} v_{6} .
$$

Substituting $t=10+\sqrt{70}$ to it, we have (10).
$[(\mathrm{iii}) \Rightarrow$ (ii)] We now assume that (5) and (10) hold. We will show that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\operatorname{SOS}$. If $v_{6}=0$, then by Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{A}$ is an SOS Hankel tensor. Assume that $v_{6}>0$. By (10), $v_{0}>0$ and $v_{12}>0$. We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\mathbf{x})=10 v_{6}\left(\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{1}^{3}+\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}^{3}\right)^{2} \\
&+v_{6}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10-\sqrt{70}}{2}} x_{2}^{3}+\sqrt{150+15 \sqrt{70}} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{2}+f_{1}(\mathbf{x}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) & =\left(v_{0}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) x_{1}^{6}+\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6} x_{2}^{6} \\
& +\left(v_{12}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) x_{3}^{6}-(60+15 \sqrt{70}) v_{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is a diagonal minus tail form [12]. By the arithmetic-geometric inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(v_{0}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) x_{1}^{6}+\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6} x_{2}^{6}+\left(v_{12}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) x_{3}^{6} \\
\geq 3\left(\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6}\left(\sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}-10 v_{6}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6}\left(\sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}-10 v_{6}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} \geq(60+15 \sqrt{70}) v_{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $f_{1}$ is a PSD diagonal minus tail form. By [12], $f_{1}$ is an SOS polynomial. Hence, $f$ is also an SOS polynomial if (5) and (10) hold.
$[(i i) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})]$ This implication follows direct from the definition.
We now prove the last conclusion of this theorem. First, we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite. Then, $v_{6}=f\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right)>0$ as $\mathbf{e}_{2} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Similarly, $v_{0}=f\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)>0$ and $v_{12}=f\left(\mathbf{e}_{3}\right)>0$. Note that in the above $[(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (iii)] part, $f(\overline{\mathbf{x}})>0$ as $\overline{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Then strict inequality holds for the last two inequalities in the above $[(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (iii)] part. This implies that strict inequality holds in (10).

On the other hand, assume that $v_{0}, v_{6}, v_{12}>0$ and strict inequality holds in (10). Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)^{\top} \neq \mathbf{0}$. If $x_{1} \neq 0, x_{2} \neq 0$ and $x_{3} \neq 0$, then strict inequality holds in (12) as $v_{6}>0$ and strict inequality holds in (10). Then $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})>0$. If $x_{2} \neq 0$ but $x_{1} x_{3}=0$, then from (11), we still have $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})>0$. If $x_{2}=0$ and one of $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ are nonzero, then we still have $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})>0$ by (11). Thus, we always have $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})>0$ as long as $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. This implies $f(\mathbf{x})>0$ as long as $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite.

## 3. Quasi-Truncated Hankel Tensors

In this section, we consider the case that the Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}, 0, \cdots, 0, v_{(n-1) m-1}, v_{(n-1) m}\right)^{\top}$ where $n$ is odd. Adding $v_{1}$ and $v_{(n-1) m-1}$ to the case in the last section, we get this case. We call such a Hankel tensor a quasi-truncated Hankel tensor. Hence, truncated Hankel tensors are quasi-truncated Hankel tensors.

Since we are only concerned about PSD Hankel tensors, we may assume that (5) holds. Now, (2) and $g(\mathbf{y})$ have the simple form

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mathbf{x})= & v_{0} x_{1}^{m}+m v_{1} x_{1}^{m-1} x_{2}+m v_{(n-1) m-1} x_{n-1} x_{n}^{m-1}+v_{(n-1) m} x_{n}^{m} \\
& +v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}} \sum\left\{\binom{m}{t_{1}}\binom{m-t_{1}}{t_{2}} \cdots\binom{m-t_{1}-t_{2} \cdots-t_{n-2}}{t_{n-1}} x_{1}^{t_{1}} x_{2}^{t_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{m-t_{1}-t_{2}-\cdots-t_{n-1}}\right. \\
& \left.:(n-1) t_{1}+(n-2) t_{2}+\cdots+t_{n-1}=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\mathbf{y})= & v_{0} y_{1}^{2}+2 v_{1} y_{1} y_{2}+2 v_{(n-1) m-1} y_{\frac{(n-1) m+2}{}}^{2} y_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}+v_{(n-1) m} y_{\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}}^{2} \\
& +v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}\left(y_{\frac{(n-1) m}{4}+1}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left\{y_{i} y_{j}: i+j=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}+2\right\}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We first show that a result that Proposition 2.1 continues to hold in this case.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that (5) holds and $m$ is even. If $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}=0$, then the quasi-truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is PSD if and only if $v_{1}=v_{(n-1) m-1}=0$. In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor and an SOS Hankel tensor. If $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}>0$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is not a strong Hankel tensor.

Proof. Suppose that $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}=0$. Assume that $v_{1} \neq 0$. If $v_{0}=0$, consider $\hat{\mathbf{x}}=\left(1,-v_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)^{\top}$. Then $f(\hat{\mathbf{x}})=-m v_{1}^{2}<0$. If $v_{0}>0$, consider $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=$ $\left(1,-\frac{v_{0}}{v_{1}}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)^{\top}$. Then $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=(1-m) v_{0}<0$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is not PSD in these two cases. Similar discussion holds for the case that $v_{(n-1) m-1}=0$. Assume now that $v_{1}=v_{(n-1) m-1}=0$. By Proposition 2.1, we see that the quasi-truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor and an SOS Hankel tensor in this case. This proves the first part of this proposition.
Suppose that $v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}>0$. Consider $\overline{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{j}$ where $i+j=\frac{(n-1) m}{2}+2, i \neq j$ and $i \neq 1$ or $\frac{(n-1) m+2}{2}$. We see that $g(\overline{\mathbf{y}})=-2 v_{\frac{(n-1) m}{2}}<0$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is not a strong Hankel tensor in this case.

We consider the simple case that the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ are sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensors. We give a necessary condition that the sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensors to be PSD, and a sufficient condition that the sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensors to be SOS.

The sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, 0,0,0,0, v_{6}, 0,0,0,0, v_{11}, v_{12}\right)^{\top} \in \Re^{13}$. (13) and (14) have the simple form

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mathbf{x})=v_{0} x_{1}^{6} & +6 v_{1} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+v_{6}\left(x_{2}^{6}+30 x_{1} x_{2}^{4} x_{3}+90 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}+20 x_{1}^{3} x_{3}^{3}\right) \\
& +6 v_{11} x_{2} x_{3}^{5}+v_{12} x_{3}^{6}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\mathbf{y})=v_{0} y_{1}^{2} & +2 v_{1} y_{1} y_{2}+v_{6}\left(y_{4}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{7}+2 y_{2} y_{6}+2 y_{3} y_{5}\right) \\
& +2 v_{11} y_{6} y_{7}+v_{12} y_{7}^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

To present a necessary condition for a sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensor to be PSD, we first prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Consider $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+6 v_{1} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+v_{6} x_{2}^{6}$.
Then $\hat{f}$ is PSD if and only if $v_{0} \geq 0, v_{6} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1}\right| \leq\left(\frac{v_{0}}{5}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} v_{6}^{\frac{1}{6}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $v_{0} \geq 0, v_{6} \geq 0$ and (17) holds. Then, by the arithmeticgeometric inequality, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+v_{6} x_{2}^{6} & =\frac{1}{5} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+\frac{1}{5} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+\frac{1}{5} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+\frac{1}{5} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+\frac{1}{5} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+v_{6} x_{2}^{6} \\
& \geq 6\left(\left(\frac{v_{0}}{5}\right)^{5} x_{1}^{30} v_{6} x_{2}^{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \geq 6\left|v_{1} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 0$ for any $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top} \in \Re^{2}$, i.e., $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is PSD.
Suppose that $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is PSD. It is easy to see that $v_{0} \geq 0$ and $v_{6} \geq 0$. Assume now that (17) does not hold, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1}\right|>\left(\frac{v_{0}}{5}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} v_{6}^{\frac{1}{6}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v_{0}=v_{6}=0$, let $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{2}=-v_{1}$. Then $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<0$. We get a contradiction. If $v_{0}=0$ and $v_{6} \neq 0$, let $x_{1}=v_{6}^{\frac{1}{5}}$ and $x_{2}=-v_{1}^{\frac{1}{5}}$. Again, $\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<0$. We get a contradiction. Similarly, if $v_{0} \neq 0$ and $v_{6}=0$, we may get a contradiction. If $v_{0} \neq 0$ and $v_{6} \neq 0$, let $x_{1}=\left(5 v_{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}$ and $x_{2}=-\frac{v_{1}}{\left|v_{1}\right|} v_{0}^{\frac{1}{6}}$. Then by (18),

$$
\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=6 v_{0} v_{6}-6\left|v_{1}\right|\left(5 v_{6}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} v_{0}^{\frac{1}{6}}<0 .
$$

We still get a contradiction. This completes the proof.
We now present a necessary condition for a sixth order three dimensional quasitruncated Hankel tensor to be PSD.
Proposition 3.3. Suppose that (5) holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a sixth order three dimensional PSD quasi-truncated Hankel tensor, then (17) and the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1}\right| \leq\left(\frac{v_{12}}{5}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} v_{6}^{\frac{1}{6}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}} \geq 10 v_{6} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. If furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1} v_{12}^{\frac{5}{6}}=v_{11} v_{0}^{\frac{5}{6}}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (10) also holds.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is PSD. In (15), let $x_{3}=0$. By Lemma 3.2, (17) holds. In (15), let $x_{1}=0$. By an argument similar to Lemma 3.2, (19) holds. In (15), let $x_{2}=0$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is PSD, we may easily get (20).

Suppose further that (21) holds. As in the part $[(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (iii)] of the proof of Theorem 2.3, we let $t \geq 0$ and let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \bar{x}_{3}\right)^{\top}$, where $\bar{x}_{1}=v_{12}^{\frac{1}{6}}, \bar{x}_{2}=$ $\sqrt{t}\left(v_{0} v_{12}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}}, \bar{x}_{3}=-v_{0}^{\frac{1}{6}}$. It follows from (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 v_{1} \bar{x}_{1}^{5} \bar{x}_{2}+6 v_{11} \bar{x}_{2} \bar{x}_{3}^{5}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (15) implies that

$$
f(\overline{\mathbf{x}})=v_{0} v_{12}+v_{6}\left(t^{3}-30 t^{2}+90 t-20\right) \sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}+v_{0} v_{12} \geq 0 .
$$

Proceed as in the part $[(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (iii)] of the proof of Theorem 2.3: we see that (10) holds in this case. This completes the proof.

We can also present a sufficient condition for a sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensor to be SOS.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sixth order three dimensional quasi-truncated Hankel tensor. Suppose that $v_{0}, v_{6}, v_{12}>0$. If there exist $t_{1}, t_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|v_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{t_{1}}-\frac{10 v_{6}}{t_{1} \sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}}  \tag{23}\\
\left|v_{11}\right| \leq \frac{1}{t_{2}}-\frac{10 v_{6}}{t_{2} \sqrt{v_{0} v_{12}}}  \tag{24}\\
\left|v_{1}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{1} v_{0}}\right)^{5}+\left|v_{11}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{2} v_{12}}\right)^{5} \leq \frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(v_{0}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left|v_{1}\right| t_{1} v_{0}\right)\left(v_{12}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left|v_{11}\right| t_{2} v_{12}\right) \\
\times\left(\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6}-\left|v_{1}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{1} v_{0}}\right)^{5}-\left|v_{11}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{2} v_{12}}\right)^{5}\right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{27} v_{6}^{3}(60+15 \sqrt{70})^{3} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold, then $\mathcal{A}$ is $S O S$.
Proof. We write $f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(\mathbf{x})= & \left(v_{0}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left|v_{1}\right| t_{1} v_{0}\right) x_{1}^{6} \\
& +\left(\frac{\sqrt{70}-8}{2} v_{6}-\left|v_{1}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{1} v_{0}}\right)^{5}-\left|v_{11}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{2} v_{12}}\right)^{5}\right) x_{2}^{6} \\
& -\left(v_{12}-10 v_{6}\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left|v_{11}\right| t_{2} v_{12}\right) x_{3}^{6}-v_{6}(60+15 \sqrt{70}) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{2}(\mathbf{x})=10 v_{6}\left(\left(\frac{v_{0}}{v_{12}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{1}^{3}+\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}^{3}\right)^{2}, \\
f_{3}(\mathbf{x})=\left|v_{1}\right| t_{1} v_{0} x_{1}^{6}+6 v_{1} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+\left|v_{1}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{1} v_{0}}\right)^{5} x_{2}^{6} \\
f_{4}(\mathbf{x})=\left|v_{11}\right| t_{2} v_{12} x_{3}^{6}+6 v_{11} x_{3}^{5} x_{2}+\left|v_{11}\right|\left(\frac{5}{t_{2} v_{12}}\right)^{5} x_{2}^{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
f_{5}(\mathbf{x})=v_{6}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10-\sqrt{70}}{2}} x_{2}^{3}+\sqrt{150+15 \sqrt{70}} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{2} .
$$

Clearly, $f_{2}$ and $f_{5}$ are squares. From Lemma 1 , we deduce that $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ are PSD. Since each of $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ has only two variables, they are SOS. If (23)-(26) hold, by the arithmetic-geometric inequality, $f_{1}$ is PSD. In this case, $f_{1}$ is a PSD diagonal minus tail form. By [12], $f_{1}$ is SOS. Thus, if (23)-(26) hold, then $f$, hence $\mathcal{A}$, is SOS.

## 4. A Class of SOS Hankel Tensors

In this section, we provide further classes for SOS Hanke tensors and examples for SOS Hankel tensors which are not strong Hankel tensors.

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued function $h:(-\infty,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ if it is a Hankel tensor and its generating vector $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \cdots, v_{(n-1) m}\right)^{\top}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{k} h(t) d t, \quad k=0,1, \cdots,(n-1) m . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a real valued function $h$ is called the generating function of the strong Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$. It has been shown in [23] that any strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function is a strong Hankel tensor.

We now define the completely decomposable tensor.
Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m, n}$. If there are positive integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and vectors $\mathbf{x}_{j} \in \Re^{n}$ for $j \in[r]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\sum_{j \in[r]} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\otimes m}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely decomposable tensor.

Then in the following theorem, we will show that when the order is even, a strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function is indeed a limiting point of complete Hankel tensors, which is a completely decomposable tensor.

Theorem 4.2. (Completely decomposability of strong Hankel tensors)
Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an mth-order $n$-dimensional strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function. If the order $m$ is an even number, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely decomposable tensor and a limiting point of complete Hankel tensors. If the order $m$ is an odd number, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely $r$-decomposable tensor with $r=(n-1) m+1$.

Proof. Let $h$ be the generating function of the strong Hankel tensor $\mathcal{A}$. Then, for any $\mathrm{x} \in \Re^{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mathbf{x}):=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m} & =\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} v_{i_{1}+i_{2}+\ldots+i_{m}-m} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{m}} \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^{i_{1}+i_{2}+\ldots+i_{m}-m} h(t) d t\right) x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{m}} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} t^{i_{1}+i_{2}+\ldots+i_{m}-m} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{m}}\right) h(t) d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{i-1} x_{i}\right)^{m} h(t) d t=\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} f_{l}(x), \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f_{l}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{-l}^{l}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{i-1} x_{i}\right)^{m} h(t) d t
$$

By the definition of Riemann integral, for each $l \geq 0$, we have $f_{l}(\mathbf{x})=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{l}^{k}(\mathbf{x})$, where $f_{l}^{k}(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial defined by

$$
f_{l}^{k}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{j=0}^{2 k l} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{i-1} x_{i}\right)^{m} h\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)}{k}
$$

Fix any $l \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{l}^{k}(\mathbf{x}): & =\sum_{j=0}^{2 k l} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{i-1} x_{i}\right)^{m} h\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)}{k} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{2 k l}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{i-1} h\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{k^{\frac{1}{m}}} x_{i}\right)^{m} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{2 k l}\left(\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle\right)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{j}=\frac{h\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{k^{\frac{1}{m}}}\left(1, \frac{j}{k}-l, \ldots,\left(\frac{j}{k}-l\right)^{n-1}\right)$. Here $\mathbf{u}_{j}$ are always well-defined as $h$ takes nonnegative values [23]. Define $\mathcal{A}_{l}^{k}$ be a symmetric tensor such that
$f_{l}^{k}(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A}_{l}^{k} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m}$. Then, it is easy to see that each $\mathcal{A}_{k}^{l}$ is a complete Hankel tensor and thus a completely decomposable tensor. Note that the completely decomposable tensor cone $C D_{m, n}$ is a closed convex cone when $m$ is even. It then follows that $\mathcal{A}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_{k}^{l}$ is a completely decomposable tensor and a limiting point of complete Hankel tensors.

To see the assertion in the odd order case, we use a similar argument as in [23]. Pick real numbers $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ with $r=(n-1) m+1$ and $\gamma_{i} \neq \gamma_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Consider the following linear equation in $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ with

$$
v_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}^{k}, k=0, \ldots,(n-1) m
$$

Note that this linear equation always has a solution say $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{r}\right)$ because the matrix in the above linear equation is a nonsingular Vandermonde matrix. Then, we see that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}}=v_{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{m}-m}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{\alpha}_{i} \gamma_{i}^{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{m}-m}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{\alpha}_{i}\left(\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}},
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{i} \in \Re^{n}$ is given by $\mathbf{u}_{i}=\left(1, \gamma_{i}, \ldots, \gamma_{i}^{n-1}\right)^{T}$. This shows that $\mathcal{A}=$ $\sum_{i \in[r]} \bar{\alpha}_{i}\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{\otimes m}$. Now, as $m$ is an odd number, we have $\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{\frac{1}{m}} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{\otimes m}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely decomposable tensor and the last conclusion follows.

In [23], the author has provided an example of positive semi-definite Hankel tensors with order $m=4$, which is not a strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function. We now extend this example to the general case where $m=2 k$ for any integer $k \geq 2$. We will also further show that such tensors are indeed SOS tensors but not completely decomposable (and so, are not strong Hankel tensors generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function by Theorem 4.2).
Let $m=2 k, n=2, k$ is an integer and $k \geq 2$. Let $v_{0}=v_{m}=1, v_{2 l}=v_{m-2 l}=$ $-\frac{1}{\binom{m}{2 l}}, l=1, \ldots, k-1$, and $v_{j}=0$ for other $j$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right)$ be defined by $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}=v_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}-m}$, for $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m}=1,2$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an even order Hankel tensor. For any $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{2}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m}=x_{1}^{m}-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{1}^{m-2 j} x_{2}^{2 j}+x_{2}^{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\left(x_{1}^{k-j} x_{2}^{j}-x_{1}^{k-j-2} x_{2}^{j+2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is an SOS-Hankel tensor, hence a positive semi-definite Hankel tensor. On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}$ is not a completely decomposable tensor. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a completely decomposable tensor. Then there are vectors $\mathbf{u}_{j}=\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right)^{\top}$ for
$j \in[r]$ such that $\mathcal{A}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{u}_{j}^{m}$. Then for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m}=\sum_{p=1}^{r}\left(a_{p} x_{1}+b_{p} x_{2}\right)^{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{r}\binom{m}{j} a_{p}^{m-j} b_{p}^{j} x_{1}^{m-j} x_{2}^{j} .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{\otimes m}=x_{1}^{m}-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{1}^{m-2 j} x_{2}^{2 j}+x_{2}^{m} .
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $x_{1}^{m-2} x_{2}^{2}$ in the above two expressions of $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}$, we have

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{r}\binom{m}{2} a_{p}^{m-2} b_{p}^{2}=-1
$$

This is impossible. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is not completely decomposable (and so, is not a strong Hankel tensor generated by an absolutely integrable real valued nonnegative function).

We now have two further questions:

1. Is a completely decomposable Hankel tensor always a strong Hankel tensor?
2. Is a truncated Hankel tensor completely decomposable?
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